1		
1	APPEARANCES: For the Debtors:	STEPHEN KAROTKIN, ESQ.
2		JESSICA LIOU, ESQ. Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
3		767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153
4		(212) 310-8000
5		KEVIN J. ORSINI, ESQ. Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP
6		825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019
7		(212) 474-1000
8	For the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors:	
9	or onsecured eredreers.	55 Hudson Yards New York, NY 10001
10		(212) 530-5770
11	For the Official Committee of Tort Claimants:	ROBERT A. JULIAN, ESQ. Baker & Hostetler LLP
12	of fore ordinanes.	11601 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 1400
13		Los Angeles, CA 90025 (310) 442-8887
14		CECILY A. DUMAS, ESQ.
15		KIMBERLY S. MORRIS, ESQ. Baker & Hostetler LLP
16		11601 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 1400
17		Los Angeles, CA 90025 (310) 820-8800
18		
19	For the Ad Hoc Committee of Bondholders:	ABID QURESHI, ESQ. Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars
20		Suite 600
21		Los Angeles, CA 90067 (310) 229-1000
22	For the Ad Hoc Group of	BENJAMIN P. MCCALLEN, ESQ.
23	Subrogation Claim Holders:	Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP 787 Seventh Avenue
24		New York, NY 10019 (212) 728-8651
25		

1	For California Department	
2	of Toxic Control:	Felderstein Fitzgerald Willoughby Pascuzzi & Rios LLP
3		500 Capitol Mall Suite 2250 Sacramento, CA 95814
4		(916) 329-7400
5	For California Public Utilities Commission:	ALAN W. KORNBERG, ESQ. SEAN MITCHELL, ESQ.
6	OCTITCIES COMMITSSION.	Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP
7		1285 Avenue of the Americas
8		New York, NY 10019 (212) 373-3000
9	For the Indenture Trustee,	
10	BOKF, NA:	Arent Fox LLP 1301 Avenue of the Americas
11		42nd Floor New York, NY 10019
12		(212) 484-3900
13		Dreyer Babich Buccola Wood
14	Pest Control, Inc., Lara Balas, Adam Balogh, Brian	Campora, LLP 20 Bicentennial Circle
15	Bolton, Sharon Britt, and Heather Blowers:	Sacramento, CA 95826 (916) 379-3500
16	For North Bay Cases:	FRANK M. PITRE, ESQ.
17		Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP 840 Malcolm Road
18		Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010
19		(650) 697-6000
20	For PGE Shareholders:	JAMES O. JOHNSTON, ESQ. Jones Day
21		555 South Flower Street Los Angeles, CA 90071
22		(213) 489-3939
23	For SLF Fire Victim Claimants:	RICHARD A. MARSHACK, ESQ. Marshack Hays LLP
24		870 Roosevelt Irvine, CA 92620 (949) 333-7777
25		T.

			4	
_				
1	For Various Insurance Companies:	CRAIG S. SIMON, ESQ. Berger Kahn		
2		1 Park Plaza Suite 340		
3		Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 748-4444		
4	For Wildfire Victims:	AMANDA L. RIDDLE, ESQ.		
5		Corey, Luzaich, de Ghetaldi & Riddle LLP		
6		700 El Camino Real Millbrae, CA 94030		
7		(650) 871-5666		
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20	Court Recorder:	LORENA PARADA		
21	Transcriber:	CLARA RUBIN		
22		eScribers, LLC 7227 N. 16th Street		
23		Suite #207 Phoenix, AZ 85020		
24		(973) 406-2250		
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript provided by transcription service.			
200: 10	20000 Doc# 2720 Filed: 00/20/10 Felicibers /20/10 11:46:40 Dogo 4 of			

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, AUGUST 27, 2019, 10:08 AM

2 -000-

3 THE COURT: Okay, who's up? Mr. Karotkin, are you with us today? I hope?

I got two matters other than status conference, so where do you want me to start? I mean, I'd like -- the status conference, I presume, will take a lot of various counsel, and we only have a couple of matters that are short. Well, maybe not.

- MS. LIOU: Good morning, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Oh.

5

6

7

8

9

- MS. LIOU: Jessica Liou from --
- 13 THE COURT: Oh, Ms. Liou --
- MS. LIOU: -- Weil, Gotshal & Manges --
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 16 MS. LIOU: -- on behalf of the debtors.
- 17 THE COURT: Good morning.
- MS. LIOU: I'm here to address the second item on the
- 19 agenda; it's the uncontested matter going forward today on the
- debtors' second lease extension motion.
- 21 THE COURT: Oh, yeah, that's -- no problem. That's --
- MS. LIOU: Yeah.
- THE COURT: I've reviewed that.
- MS. LIOU: So I have --
- THE COURT: That's fine.

```
PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co.
```

- 1 MS. LIOU: -- a revised proposed order here for you,
- 2 Your Honor --
- 3 THE COURT: Okay.
- 4 MS. LIOU: -- if you would like to view it.
- 5 THE COURT: No, just go ahead and upload it.
- 6 MS. LIOU: Great.
- 7 THE COURT: I've --
- 8 MS. LIOU: Okay.
- 9 THE COURT: I've reviewed the motion and seems fine to
- 10 me, too, so --
- MS. LIOU: Sure. The only change would be reflecting
- 12 | the supplemental declarations --
- 13 THE COURT: Right.
- MS. LIOU: -- filed, which include the additional
- 15 stipulations --
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MS. LIOU: -- for extension.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Liou.
- MS. LIOU: Thank you.
- 20 THE COURT: Are you taking the Trident Bank (sic)
- 21 | motion also?
- MS. LIOU: No, that's going to be handled by Mr.
- 23 Karotkin.
- THE COURT: Mr. Karotkin. Okay, thank you, Ms. Liou.
- Oh, there you are. Good morning, Mr. Karotkin.

1 MR. KAROTKIN: Good morning, Your Honor.

the TCC needs the services of Trident?

2 THE COURT: So is the only issue you've -- and the 3 only issue with the debtor, I quess, is you don't believe that

5 MR. KAROTKIN: No. And we're prepared to rest on our 6 papers.

THE COURT: Ms. Dumas, are you -- Mr. Julian? I have a very minor question. The engagement (sic) suggests that the TCC on its own can continue Trident's engagement past November if it chooses to. What would be the circumstance? I mean, as I understand from the papers, the theory behind this request is to help the victims and get the kind of assistance that you think they're entitled to. Is that something that would continue after the claims deadline? Likely to be the case?

MR. JULIAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you know -- can you just tell me what might be an example of what would happen?

MR. JULIAN: Yes, Your Honor. The committee, as you know, under 1103, has a statutory duty to advise the constituency of what's going on with respect to plan --

21 THE COURT: Right.

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

MR. JULIAN: -- negotiations and the like, in this case. There are always issues coming up where the members and the lawyers are getting calls. And so we communicate through the website and other methods. And what we anticipate doing is

answering questions through frequently asked questions on the website or press releases and the like --

THE COURT: Um-hum.

MR. JULIAN: -- dealing with the estimation process, pendency of claims, plan negotiations, and the like.

So we anticipate this going forward. And we have found that it's simply cheaper for a specialist to charge us way lower rates than a lawyer at the Baker firm to help the --

THE COURT: Or any other firm.

MR. JULIAN: Yeah -- help the members of the --

THE COURT: But what if --

MR. JULIAN: -- of the committee.

THE COURT: -- one of the victims calls one of the Trident people who's not law-trained and it ends up getting bounced over to one of your lawyers anyway? I mean, I grant you that Trident may be performing a very critical service, but they also may be fielding -- they may be just intermediaries to some of these questions that are so uniquely bankruptcy -- or the bankruptcy procedure that I would assume many of the victims don't have knowledge about. Why would Trident have any knowledge about that?

MR. JULIAN: Well, we've -- here's what we did. So we operated without them for a while, and there was heavy draw on the lawyers. Now, since they've been on board for a month helping out, the lawyer time still was involved but it's much

farther reduced. Basically what I'm looking at is insider information, securities issues like that.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

It's really been truncated now. They've been a great help and they're much cheaper for us. So I think it's working out this way.

THE COURT: Okay, I'm willing to overrule the objection by the debtor. I don't -- I'll take your word for it and the word of the victims. I guess I would make one minor -- first of all, I think your opposition pointed out -- or your response, rather, pointed out that much like other professionals, the engagement here has similar language. It wasn't true in the employment application or the engagement, because the negligence issue was not added on. But it's in the order. So it's just my -- it's a personal thing about making sure you don't get indemnified for your own negligence.

The only other thought, then, would be, if the committee chooses to extend, by a month or two or three or whatever period of time, Trident's engagement beyond the mid-November, I think it would be appropriate at least to give the official creditors' committee and the debtor just a quick heads-up on it, maybe a ten-day notice on a scream-or-die basis. So I would propose -- I don't know, sitting here, what our November dates are. But I would say, as you get near the end of October when -- if your client decides to extend Trident or Trident convinces you that's the right thing to do, we don't

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. have to turn this into a big deal; it can be just ten days to

give both the OCC and the debtors -- and I guess we include the

3 U.S. Trustee; they should be included -- an opportunity just to

4 be heard and, if there's no response, you could just submit an

order. You shouldn't have any problem with that; would you?

6 MR. JULIAN: That's fine, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

1

15

16

8 MR. JULIAN: We'll add that to the order and upload 9 it.

10 THE COURT: Okay. So ten-day to extend. And I

11 believe now -- you've persuaded me that it seems like a good

12 thing to do to -- not only to ease the burden on you and your

13 colleagues in the firm but also perhaps nonlawyers being able

14 to communicate on a less lawyerly-like manner to the people

Okay. Thanks very much.

that need the information.

MR. JULIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So are we going to go forward on the third-party contractor document? Is that still viable for today, or not?

MS. MORRIS: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. MORRIS: Kimberly Morris from BakerHostetler, for

24 the official committee of tort claimants.

25 THE COURT: And --

- 1 MS. MORRIS: Your Honor --
- THE COURT: Yes?
- MS. MORRIS: Do you have a question?
- 4 THE COURT: Well, no. Who -- someone started to
- 5 | speak; I don't know who.
- 6 MS. MORRIS: Oh, on the phone?
- 7 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Orsini, you're not on this one
- 8 today?
- 9 MR. ORSINI: I am, Your Honor. I'll be responding for
- 10 the debtors.
- 11 THE COURT: Well, my question is, is it something that
- 12 | should be taken separately today or part of the -- sort of the
- whole question of discovery generally? What do you think?
- MR. ORSINI: Well, candidly, Your Honor, from our
- perspective, the motion is an example of further meet-and-
- 16 | confer by motion. There are a whole series of issues that they
- 17 raise for the first time with us in the reply brief, at which
- point they've abandoned all their initial ones. I propose we
- meet and confer on those, because, candidly, we're willing to
- 20 look into most, if not all, of that, to see if we can get them
- 21 | the documents they're looking for. We never heard of these
- 22 issues until the reply.
- 23 THE COURT: Is there any reason why we can't just
- defer this, Ms. Morris?
- MS. MORRIS: Your Honor, this request has been

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. outstanding since April.

THE COURT: No, I know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MS. MORRIS: And these documents are critical to our ability to analyze many of the issues that relate to the Camp fire, which is the most --

THE COURT: Well, but are they critical to the estimation process? In other words, we are now moving up the ladder of intensity: different judges, different focus. And investigation or discovery of third-party contractors seems a bit of a stretch beyond the immediacy of the importance of the estimation process.

MS. MORRIS: They are related to the estimation process, Your Honor, but these are not minor third-party contractors.

THE COURT: No, I know.

MS. MORRIS: PG&E used a number of significant thirdparty contractors to perform essential services on the CaribouPalermo line, and one of the reasons why we asked for this
discovery back in April is because the Camp fire is the one
fire that was not subject of any litigation prior to this --

21 THE COURT: No --

MS. MORRIS: -- bankruptcy proceeding.

23 | THE COURT: -- I'm aware of that. I'm aware of that.

MS. MORRIS: Many of the documents are still being held up by criminal investigations. And this information is

essential for us to start to understand even how PG&E operated the line that contained the parts that failed and caused the Camp fire.

THE COURT: But we're going to talk later today, and Judge Donato and others -- somebody -- other people will be talking about the kind of discovery that's appropriate for the estimation, the timing of it, and so on. It seems to me that, to the extent that there's a third-party contractor document or issue that somehow is part of what has to be framed as the ground rules for the estimation, should be considered in that context.

Again, I'm not saying no. I'm saying it's kind of an isolated thing that isn't the same as -- from my point of view, as it was in April. So if you were frustrated that you didn't get it in April, that's unfortunate. But isn't it, sort of, of lesser importance, relative (sic) speaking, to the question of the estimation? I mean, Mr. Orsini and people on your side have focused on these issues that are critical to the estimation. And I'm having trouble knowing that third-party contractor issues are sort of front and center on the estimation; estimation are what are PGE's defenses and what are the damages, not who else might be liable.

MS. MORRIS: Your Honor, we're not looking at these documents just for who else may be liable.

THE COURT: Well, I think that's what's said in the

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 motion. I mean, there's something about that in the motion, 2 so --3 MS. MORRIS: It is. And at the time, Your Honor, 4 PG&E's arguments as to the fact that they are not accepting 5 legal responsibility for all of these fires wasn't entirely clear to the tort claimants' committee at that time. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MS. MORRIS: However, we were seeking the documents at 9 10 because these contractors could have liability and they have 11 significant pools of insurance, given the size and nature of 12 these contractors --13

the time we filed, but we were seeking these documents not only

THE COURT: Right.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MORRIS: -- but also because they were essential for the tort claimants' committee to start to understand the work that was performed.

Just so Your Honor understands, the work performed by some of these contractors goes to the heart of --

THE COURT: Oh, I know that.

MS. MORRIS: -- the negligence cases here.

THE COURT: I know that. I know that. If third-party contractor did some careless, negligent work, maybe that person should be held accountable, but so should the debtor, unless the debtor has an absolute defense that the contractor wouldn't. My point is that the estimation process is designed

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. to get the right amount of money -- an appropriate, right amount of money, consistent with AB1054 and the concepts of what the plan is supposed to deal with. And if there's an adequate resolution there -- I don't want to say the thirdparty contractors are off the hook. Maybe they are on the hook. But the point is it's not the front priority. The

company itself might have claims against those third-party 8 contractors.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MORRIS: It's not only about the claims against the third-party contractors; it's the product that was provided to the company from those third-party contractors. performed services like assessing the life expectancy of the parts, the very part that failed in connection with the Camp fire. And PG&E used those reports; they used information to determine how often to inspect the line, to determine how often -- whether they were going to let the part run to failure, as they called it, and let it fail before they replaced it.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. MORRIS: All of that information is critical to the estimation process, and it's a specific pool of documents that relate just to the third-party contractors that I believe that Your Honor should order that they produce now. It's been outstanding for four months. And PG&E trying to push it off into the estimation discovery when they've known about it for

1 | four months, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Well, again, I'm not trying to talk you out of it, because you're being a lawyer who's advocating your cause. But what I'm telling you is it seems like it's part of the big nut that has to be cracked here --

MS. MORRIS: Um-hum.

THE COURT: -- sooner rather than later. And so whatever happened in April or May or June doesn't count. It's now the end of August, and the clock is ticking, and another court is getting ready to be involved in estimation, and we're all focusing on that. So it seems like this is one of those things that is part of the bigger whole.

One other question before I call on Mr. Orsini. If you came across, in your discovery, culpability by a third-party contractor, the TCC wouldn't have any claim against the contractor, would it, any direct claim? So it'd be a claim that would belong to the debtor anyway, wouldn't it?

MS. MORRIS: It could be, Your Honor, but it's --

THE COURT: Well --

MS. MORRIS: -- something that could be assigned as part of the resolution of the whole bankruptcy case.

THE COURT: I agree, it could be. But the point is, if you found something critical, if you opened up the file and said, my God, I got this third-party contractor big-time, I don't think the TCC would be in a position to do anything about

it today. It would go into the mix of part of the negotiation of the result. But at the end of the day, it's still the debtors who owe the victims. And if the debtors make good -do the right thing for the victims, proper result, maybe this

MS. MORRIS: That's part of it, Your Honor, but part of it also relates to PG&E's own conduct and what PG&E knew, itself, and how --

THE COURT: I agree.

problem goes away, right? Right?

MS. MORRIS: -- PG&E operated the lines themselves.

THE COURT: I agree.

MS. MORRIS: Just by way of one example, Your Honor;
PG&E -- this request called for -- would have called for all
documents that were used by third parties to risk score the
line. We have emails that were produced in other forums, in
other courts, that show that McKinsey Consulting (sic), one of
their third-party contractors, for which they produced not one
single document in response to our request -- that that
contractor was part of a "team", as it's described on an email,
that determined that, on the Caribou-Palermo line -- and I'm
taking this quote directly from the email -- that "although the
likelihood of failed structures happening is high, the affected
customers are less than 1,000. And it's not likely a publicsafety issue with live wires down because it was in a remote
area." This goes to the heart of the negligence case.

1 THE COURT: Yeah. I understand.

MS. MORRIS: PG&E employees as well as McKinsey

consultants were on that email, yet they're taking the

hairsplitting position that it wasn't called for because they

actually didn't go out and look at the lines while they're

scoring -- they're evaluating the lines and working with PG&E

to do that. And it created the entire risk model upon which

PG&E based their scoring system.

So it goes to the heart of this negligence case against PG&E and not just the third-party contractors; that could be part of it, but it goes to the heart of PG&E's negligence case against the third-party contractors. And there's no reason to wait when we know and can identify at least some of those third-party contractors now, based upon what they've already provided to us, that they shouldn't be obligated to produce emails and invoices, of which we've received none as part of their production and were specifically called for by our request. There's no reason to delay that and push that off into the estimation discovery, when it should be easily identifiable now.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear what Mr. Orsini says about that.

Oh, okay, counsel in the other courtroom, go ahead and speak up.

25 Yes.

1 MS. RIDDLE: Yes, Your Honor. Can you hear me?

THE COURT: Yes.

3 MS. RIDDLE: Amanda Riddle for the wildfire

4 plaintiffs. I just wanted to add something to what Ms. Morris

5 said. It's not about the third-party contractors' liability.

6 PG&E has a nondelegable duty to maintain their lines, to

7 maintain their infrastructure, and to deliver electricity

8 through Northern California safely. So regardless of whether

9 or not it was a contractor who did the work or whether it was a

10 PG&E employee with a PG&E uniform and a PG&E truck, PG&E is

11 liable for the negligence.

The documents that Ms. Morris is speaking of, as she

13 | said, not only relate to contractor negligence, but they

relate, more important and very specifically for this

proceeding, to PG&E's negligence because even if it's done by a

16 | contractor, PG&E is responsible for those actions.

17 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

MS. RIDDLE: Thank you.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Orsini. Yeah, I don't want to get

hung up on the literal words of a 2004 exam request. You

21 | know --

20

MR. ORSINI: Well, I --

THE COURT: You know the deal: you got to give them

24 the information.

MR. ORSINI: I do, Your Honor.

1 Kevin Orsini on behalf of the debtors, for the record.

2 So there's the question of what discovery they're

3 ultimately going to get as part of the estimation, and there's

4 the fact that they filed a motion to compel for documents they

5 didn't even ask for and didn't raise with us as being documents

6 that they actually wanted. Right? The request here that's at

7 issue -- and this is just the context because they're talking

8 about it's been months. The requests they served were for

9 documents for each third-party contractor who performed work on

10 any portion of the line --

11

THE COURT: No, I know, and I --

MR. ORSINI: -- at issue.

THE COURT: Again, I'm --

MR. ORSINI: And --

15 THE COURT: I read literally -- as a literal

16 | constructionist, you were right; they didn't ask for it the

17 | right way. But --

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

MR. ORSINI: Right. And so I've said -- I've said,

19 Your Honor, today, I've said in repeated correspondence with

20 | the TCC -- that we're willing to engage on other documents they

21 | want, including documents about McKinsey, about the risk

22 | assessment, about all of that. We're willing to engage and

23 produce those documents. There've been four categories of

documents that they raise in their opening brief they had never

25 | asked for before that we've since said we'll give to you -- a

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. number of them that we've already given to them. Right? So this is just a waste of the Court's time and the debtors' time, for them to be filing a motion to compel on things they never raised with us, that are not responsive to their request, and that ought to be dealt with, as Your Honor said, as part of the broader discussion we're having about discovery. THE COURT: Well, but doesn't that mean that, if there be part of your "show my why you're not liable". Your letter -- your second -- your August 24th letter and the

are some documents in the file, in the folder -- whether it's McKinsey or somebody else; I don't care who it is -- the TCC should be getting it as part of the information that's going to response sort of said, okay, I mean, I know that I got to tell you what my legal facts and theories are to say the company isn't liable.

MR. ORSINI: So --

18 THE COURT: Right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. ORSINI: So stated differently, Your Honor, do I agree that there's going to have to be discovery on these types of documents? Absolutely. Absolutely.

22 THE COURT: Right.

> MR. ORSINI: Right? What we're here on is a motion to compel on requests that don't cover these. So my point is, very simply, there's no relief warranted on the motion.

1 THE COURT: Right.

MR. ORSINI: Your Honor is absolutely right that we ought to address what -- the broader issue of the discovery on the other documents that they didn't ask for in these requests but that they want in the conversation about how we're getting to estimation. That's my point.

THE COURT: Okay, well, I'm going to -- I'm going to take your counsel on that subject.

And Ms. Morris or Ms. Little (sic), I'm not denying your request. I'm really sticking with what I just said a few minutes ago. This has all got to be part of a big inquiry, and we start with the kind of positions that are set forth in two important documents, to me: one is the request that accompanies the -- let me keep my dates straight -- the response to Mr. Orsini's August 22nd letter from the TCC, and then his August 24th response, and then some of the arguments that have been made by others who've weighed in on the whole subject of what kind of discovery needs to go forward as part of the estimation.

So I'm just going to defer it and not act on this motion. I'm neither going to grant or deny it. I'm going to fold it into the bigger discussion. Okay?

MR. ORSINI: Thank you.

THE COURT: So let me come back now -- Mr. Karotkin, are you going to be more involved in responding to the kind of

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. items that I asked to talk about on the status conference, or 1 2 Mr. Orsini'll get into that? 3 MR. KAROTKIN: I think both of us will be tag-teaming 4 on that. 5 THE COURT: Okay, well, I -- when I did my order for 6 today's proceeding, I wasn't -- I mean, a lot was going on -- a 7 lot's been going on for all of you and your clients, but a 8 lot's been going on our end of it also, with decisions that I 9 made about the Camp fire, and -- of course unrelated 10 specifically but still a lot going on -- exclusivity, then the 11 question of withdrawal of the reference, and the district 12 court's response to that, and so on. 13 So when I prepared the order that I issued on the 20th 14 of August, it was really to get a -- to get a conversation 15 going about a number of things. And I'm not even wedded to the 16 sequence that I put in there, but let's do that. I'll stick 17 with that for the moment. 18 So, Mr. Karotkin, I'll really ask you, are you on 19 track for September 9th filing? Is that still on schedule? MR. KAROTKIN: Very much so, sir. We intend to file 20 21 the plan --22 THE COURT: And is --

MR. KAROTKIN: -- by that date.

24 THE COURT: And is it -- I mean, leaving aside all the things that have to go with any plan that any debtor files, is

it a plan that, in your sense -- your mind, is the plan the
debtors are going to try to seek to get confirmed in some way,
even if there's some loose ends? Clearly, the estimation is a
critical fact but, I mean, it's not going to be one of these
plans that says "more later"? I mean, it's going to do the
classification and set forth who's going to get impaired and

8 MR. KAROTKIN: Yes, sir.

who isn't?

THE COURT: And is there enough of a -- meat on the bones for the financial end of it, that --

MR. KAROTKIN: Well, I believe so, Your Honor. And again, as you know, there is some uncertainty here because of the upcoming estimation process --

THE COURT: Um-hum.

MR. KAROTKIN: -- and how that pans out in terms of exactly what the fire claimants and the insurance companies would be entitled to, under a plan. So I think that, as time moves forward, there'll be more clarity on those issues, and the plan may have to be adjusted to address some of those issues. But again, we'll have to see how that plays out both in this court and in the district court.

THE COURT: Well, tell me if I've got this basic concept right: that -- and I think I mentioned in my order for today that I looked at the competing plans that did not get passed the last time around. But should I -- may I assume

that, for the most part, the impaired classes are those same two classes and that everybody else is going to be unimpaired?

3 Is that a fair presumption?

MR. KAROTKIN: That's a fair presumption in addition to we believe that the public entities are impaired as well.

THE COURT: Okay. So therefore -- again, I'll risk an oversimplification. You'll recall, when we had the discussion about the motions for redetermining exclusivity, that the senior bondholders and the ad hoc subrogation group, they both had a fund; they had the amount of money, a target. There was some range. But I seem to recall one of their lawyers -- I think it was -- well, I don't remember which of the lawyers it was, but it was one of the lawyers representing the subrogation group -- sort of said that maybe the numbers change depending on the estimation.

So is that still a safe assumption? If the estimation is fixed at X, the plan will read, well, we're going to deal with a pot of X -- a fund of X; if it's 2X, you just have to -- it sounds simple, you just have to change the number. Then how you get the money is a different story.

MR. KAROTKIN: Well --

THE COURT: Right?

MR. KAROTKIN: -- again, Your Honor, if there's a finding that with respect to the subrogation claims we have to address X and with respect to the individual claims we have to

- 1 address Y --
- THE COURT: Correct.
- 3 MR. KAROTKIN: -- the plan, in order to comply with
- 4 AB1054, will have to be modified to address that.
- 5 THE COURT: Correct.
- 6 MR. KAROTKIN: And then the funding --
- 7 THE COURT: Yeah, but what I'm --
- MR. KAROTKIN: -- sources as well --
- 9 THE COURT: The funding sources.
- 10 MR. KAROTKIN: -- will have to be there to address
- 11 that, as well.
- 12 THE COURT: But that's what I'm driving at. I just
- wanted to see if you and I are on the same page, and I think we
- 14 are. So --
- MR. KAROTKIN: Think we are.
- 16 THE COURT: So if we go back to sort of basic Chapter
- 17 | 11 101, one of the things you learn in 101 school is you got to
- 18 say what the treatment is and then you got to say the means of
- 19 execution.
- MR. KAROTKIN: Correct.
- 21 THE COURT: It seems to me there are two deltas here,
- 22 big ones. One is, how much; that's the estimation: Tubbs,
- 23 district court, bankruptcy court, negotiation. But all those
- forces come to bear on how much dollars goes to the two
- 25 different classes of victims. And then the means of execution

1 vary on how much you have to pay is how much you have to put

2 | in, whether it's public offering or new legislation or equity,

3 or any number of other things. Am I right?

4 MR. KAROTKIN: You're right. And just --

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KAROTKIN: -- obviously, to keep in mind, Your

Honor, and I think I'm not telling you anything you don't know,

funding sources are not going to say, I'm going to provide

unlimited funding.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. KAROTKIN: So that may be a fluid concept, moving forward.

THE COURT: No, but the point is, in terms of -- at some point the managers and the lawyers representing the debtors and the managers and the representatives representing the funding sources are going to have to come to an agreement that, based upon either what we're negotiating with the victims or the subrogation or what the courts are fixing, we know what has to be the amount; therefore, we have to negotiate how we're going to do it.

And to me, that gets back to my point. There're really two halves of this plan process. And one side says, as I said in my papers, I don't think you need a fancy disclosure statement to tell the victims how much they're going to get and when. But you do need, I -- and I'm going to ask Mr. Kornberg

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 or others from the Commission to tell me -- you need to get a 2 much more expansive explanation of how you're going to do it. 3 And AB1054 itself lays out, for the CPUC and the bankruptcy 4 court, what kind of considerations have to be taken into 5 account. But they all seem to relate, for the most part, to 6 that second half of the problem: how're you going to do it, 7 not what are you going to do. Again, are we on the same page? 8 MR. KAROTKIN: I think we are. And all --9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. KAROTKIN: -- I think I'm saying, Your Honor, is 11 that there are sources today who we have who are willing to 12 provide funding --13 THE COURT: Right. 14 MR. KAROTKIN: -- based on certain numbers and certain 15 assumptions. And if the estimation comes in at something 16 different, then those issues are going to have to be, 17 obviously, revisited, and people are going to have to address 18 those issues. 19 THE COURT: And then it goes both ways. I mean, if the Tubbs fire comes in for the debtor, that might --20 21 Exactly right. MR. KAROTKIN: 22 THE COURT: -- not drive it a different way. 23 MR. KAROTKIN: All I'm saying, Your Honor, is we can't 24 get --25 THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. KAROTKIN: People are not going to come in on September 9th and say I'm going to give you unlimited amounts of money.

4 THE COURT: I got it.

5 MR. KAROTKIN: Okay. That's all I'm saying.

6 THE COURT: I got it.

MR. KAROTKIN: So as we move forward, that will be adjusted to address what happens in the estimation process, because under 1054 we are required to do certain things with respect to the wildfire claims, both the victims and the insurance companies.

THE COURT: Well, right, but the way I read 1054 -- and again, I can't read it all, it's so long, but I read the critical sections, and it says that you've got to either reach a resolution or a determination by the court -- a court.

MR. KAROTKIN: Correct.

THE COURT: And then that's really all that I think it says for the victims. And again, I'm using "victims" and "subrogation" --

MR. KAROTKIN: Um-hum.

THE COURT: -- "victims" -- on the one hand. The flipside of that is what I, again, want Mr. Kornberg or others to help me on, is what are the requirements to fill in the blanks on the how you're going to do it, not what are you going to do. So it looks to me like the Commission has to decide is

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

the funding source adequate, does it impact rates, is it

feasible, is it in the best interest; all the magic words.

But what I read from 1054 was there's very little as

to what goes into that pot for the victims, as long as it's the

right amount that the Court has determined or the parties have

7 MR. KAROTKIN: Correct.

8 THE COURT: Right?

agreed to.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

MR. KAROTKIN: I agree with that.

THE COURT: So this gets back to my point about wanting to do the sequencing. I want to break the -- and I want to hear from you and the other lawyers, obviously -- break the traditional linear process of plan and disclosure statement, lots of time on disclosure statement and then go to plan issues. I'd like to put them on parallel tracks. And to me, the track for the disclosure statement is the track that stops at the estimation station until that is done. Meanwhile, I would think that some portion of the "how you're going to do it" process could go forward with the Commission. Now, if I'm wrong about that, I --

MR. KAROTKIN: No, I think --

22 THE COURT: -- I need to be --

MR. KAROTKIN: -- I think you're right. And Mr.

24 Kornberg, I think, will address some of those things. We --

25 THE COURT: So when I went to your time line -- see,

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 that goes back to the time line that you filed when -- back on 2 the 12th when you said plan, September 9th, and then switching 3 over to deadline for hearing on disclosure statement, October 4 That's what seems completely unnecessary, for me. To me, 5 the disclosure statement ought to be over on the side. 6 maybe it's too soon to know what the amount of funding will be, 7 but I would hope that some of the -- some of the concepts of 8 the plan could be fleshed out and vetted by the CPUC. 9 MR. KAROTKIN: I think that -- I think that's right. 10 I think what Mr. -- I don't want to speak for Mr. Kornberg but 11 I'll try anyway. I think what Mr. Kornberg will say is that 12 for the CPUC to get started, they will need to see the plan. 13 THE COURT: Um-hum. 14 MR. KAROTKIN: It not necessarily will need to see the 15 disclosure statement. 16 THE COURT: Right. 17 MR. KAROTKIN: And --18 THE COURT: That's fine. 19 MR. KAROTKIN: And --20

THE COURT: That's what I want him to say.

MR. KAROTKIN: Yeah. Well, I think that's what he's going to -- I hope that's what he's going to say.

And I noticed in your order, Your Honor, where you were -- you referenced Bankruptcy Rules 3016 and 3017. And 3016, obviously, gives Your Honor the right to defer the filing

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 of a disclosure statement.
- THE COURT: Right. Right.
- 3 MR. KAROTKIN: And reflecting on that, and in view of

the circumstances we're faced with here with the estimation

- 5 hearing coming up and the details of that not even been set
- 6 yet, and then with Judge Donato involved --
- 7 THE COURT: Right.
- 8 MR. KAROTKIN: -- it seems to us -- again, subject to,
- 9 obviously, what you have to say -- that the filing of the
- 10 actual disclosure statement should be deferred for some period
- of time until we get more clarity on some of these issues. But
- 12 | we would --

4

- 13 THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. KAROTKIN: -- we would leave that to you. It
- 15 seems --
- 16 THE COURT: Well, I would like -- "meet and confer" is
- 17 becoming a common term here. But to me, you and the debtors'
- 18 | representatives and the two affected committees' counsel ought
- 19 to sit around and come up with what is an adequate disclosure
- 20 | statement. And I said in here, I don't want it to look like a
- 21 phone book. You should have seen what the earlier drafts
- 22 | called it.
- MR. KAROTKIN: Well --
- THE COURT: And I didn't -- it doesn't need to be much
- 25 of anything. I'm not --

MR. KAROTKIN: We got a good phone book in the works,

2 Your Honor --

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Yeah.

4 MR. KAROTKIN: -- let me tell you.

5 THE COURT: Well, I don't want a big one.

MR. KAROTKIN: No, I understand.

THE COURT: I want a little one. And you've learned from me and my views on exclusivity, and surprised you were on my result. But the same about the disclosure statement. I think that more time is wasted on disclosure statements than -- and then I just don't want it to be the thing here.

If Ms. Dumas and Mr. Julian have different views, they can explain it. But I don't think we want to burden the victims of these fires, with the Manhattan --

MR. KAROTKIN: No.

THE COURT: -- phone book.

MR. KAROTKIN: No. And I --

THE COURT: Okay?

MR. KAROTKIN: -- think that -- again, we will file the plan on or before September 9th. And I think that your -- what I think was your suggestion, that we defer filing a disclosure statement at the same time and we consult with the parties as to what's appropriate and, as we get -- as things move forward, we'll have more information as to what's relevant financing, treatment of claims, that type of thing. So --

- 1 THE COURT: Yeah, I mean, I --
- 2 MR. KAROTKIN: -- I think it makes sense.

THE COURT: -- I realize that Mr. Orsini would like to
have the estimation done before Halloween, but it just isn't
going to happen. And one of the questions on the Tubbs fire
timing is one thing. I personally don't have as much control
as I might have under other circumstances to control the
estimation process. But the point is, everybody understands
what we're up against. And that'll be the subject of some

What I thought about doing is giving you a date on our next calendar, and it might be too soon right after the 9th -- is to -- so we'll figure that out at the end of the hearing today -- is to have a status conference that will have whatever other matters we have to talk about, but it's when everybody will see what the debtors' plan is and perhaps then have a discussion about what needs to be discussed and scheduled and thought about. Again, I'm not making any rules yet. I want to hear from the committees -- both committees and the CPUC and other parties.

So let's see what other people want to say about my thinking on that aspect. So to --

MR. KAROTKIN: Sure.

further discussion.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- THE COURT: -- to make, in simple terms -- and, Mr.
- 25 Karotkin, I don't know how much you know about what we've done

here. We're kind of the innovators here in the small cases, in this district, with the simplified plan and disclosure statement which, by the way, the President signed into law last week on the -- simplified for little Chapter 11s. But this notion of just treating the disclosure as part of the plan and a simple thing; it's very -- part of the culture of easy cases. I want to make it part of the culture for this very

All right, so, Ms. Dumas, are you going to speak to this issue? Would you like to?

Good morning.

difficult case.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DUMAS: Good morning, Your Honor. Cecily Dumas,
BakerHostetler, appearing on behalf of the official committee
of tort claimants. I would appreciate the opportunity to
address this issue in a way that I'm hoping will be neutral and
explanatory. And I hope everyone will agree that I'm
expressing, sort of, the points of view fairly.

There's a major difference of opinion between the debtors and the TCC, with respect to, kind of, a big overarching question, and that is whether or not in the aggregate the tort claims, subrogation claims, individual plaintiff claims, and PE claims -- public entity claims -- whether those collectively are in an amount that can be proven to be higher than the net distributable value of the debtor, in other words, at a discount.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

So let me explain what I mean by that. PG&E at confirmation will have a total enterprise value, and that enterprise value will be something that we learned from the debtor based on its business plans and projections. And you saw that we're anxiously ahead of the Court in wanting to see those, and we apologize. But we see the -- sort of the enterprise value as a number, an analysis that will inform everyone.

So what do I mean by the "distributable value"? We're all assuming optimistically and, I think, maybe realistically that these debtors are solvent, that the plan that is proposed by any of the three current plan proponents or someone else will provide for the payment in full of the bank debt, the bond debt, the trade debt, employee claims, and have the either agreed or estimated amounts for tort claims. So we're on the same page as what Mr. Karotkin described in terms of the only impaired class will be tort claims.

So if you take into account the money that would be necessary to pay the DIP loans back and treat the other claims, there is some net amount that the value of the debtors at confirmation dictate. There's not general agreement; there's only guesses, as to what that number may be. But between the roughly eighteen billion in insurance payments that the insurers have told us they will pay out when all is said and done, the one billion of PE claims and then --

THE COURT: Well, that's only one category of PE claims, right?

MS. DUMAS: That's only -- and that's only -- thank

you for reminding me of that. FEMA stepped in with claims that

we understand may be in the multibillion -- but -- and then the

victim claims --

THE COURT: But, excuse me, that one billion is a finite agreed number between one county and the debtors, right?

9 MS. DUMAS: No, it's --

THE COURT: It's more than that?

MS. DUMAS: -- more than one county, but it's -you're right, it doesn't include all public entities.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

MS. DUMAS: So --

THE COURT: That's what I thought.

MS. DUMAS: So whatever that aggregate amount is -- so we've got -- let's just say, for purposes of my hypothetical, you've got nineteen billion right there, and then you've got some other amount for individual victims. Now, if you're -- in Mr. Orsini's analysis, that number may be another ten billion and that in the aggregate sense all those claims -- eighteen plus one plus ten -- twenty-nine billion -- would be amounts that the debtor can satisfy within its ability to pay claims, in other words, less than the distributable value of the company. The tort claimants believe that under any

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 circumstance -- and we may be wrong, but we believe that under 2 any circumstance, the individual victim number is going to be 3 higher than that net after the claims that you can calculate 4 from insurance payments or the settlement and that, even 5 accounting for losing Tubbs -- and it's because of the 6 magnitude of the -- what we've described before, the higher-7 than-calculated property damages, the emotional-distress claims 8 and the like. So --9 THE COURT: No. No, no, you --10 MS. DUMAS: -- we can agree or disagree on that. 11 one fundamental thing that sort of needs to be figured out is 12 are we talking about eighteen plus one plus another eight or 13 ten, or are we talking about eighteen plus one plus forty? 14 But that's the whole estimation process --THE COURT: 15 MS. DUMAS: Exactly, but --16 THE COURT: -- right? 17 -- but that needs to get reduced down to MS. DUMAS: 18 the distributable value. And that's the estimation process, 19 that under any circumstance the company can't pay more than its 20 value. 21 So that brings me to -- and again, I'm not trying to 22 take sides; I'm trying to help the Court in understanding the 23 current dynamics in which the plan process is being evaluated. 24 So then you get to the types of plans that can be proposed.

And with respect to that distributable value that's available,

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

the insurance companies have the ability to say, hey, we'll

take fourteen, eighteen, whatever our debt is, and we'll

convert it to some sort of equity instrument. Big beneficial

effect on a reorganization. The bondholders have said, we can

do certain things with the bonds that the debtor has issued to

us, and we've raised other cash.

The debtor is constrained, I mean constrained by financing sources that may come from securitization if allowed by the State of California, additional equity issued by its current stockholders. So all of those pieces of the puzzle on your Part B, the sort of the second half, we kind of don't have clarity yet, until we know are we dealing with the distributable value and how would that get financed, in a way; how does that get treated; or are we dealing with some smaller number, in which case the debtors' path is very clear.

And again, not trying to characterize, but I think that's the nut we need to crack before --

THE COURT: Well, you're kind of looking at it from distributable value and going backwards. What if we turn it around the other way and say -- imagine you're drafting the plan, and you know what the round number of nontort claims are because there's a whole -- it's out there; I mean, we all know what that is.

MS. DUMAS: Exactly.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

25 THE COURT: And we then go to what is likely to be

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 either a discounted amount or an agreed amount of the 2 subrogation claimants. And then we take something like the 3 settlement of a billion. And then what's missing for your 4 constituency is the product of the estimation process. And if 5 it's a lower number, it's an easier process. If it's a higher 6 number, then the company has to deal with the shortfall, if you 7 will. And "shortfall" is the wrong word. To use your 8 mathematics, eighteen plus one plus ten is a much different 9 analysis than eighteen plus one plus forty. 10 MS. DUMAS: Exactly right. And --11 THE COURT: But therefore what? I mean, and that's 12 all the more reason why two things have to happen that can't 13 happen in this room today: the estimation has to happen, and 14 Mr. Karotkin and his clients and the advisors and the money 15 sources have to figure out a way to meet that minimum threshold 16 of those three components. Right? So --17 Absolutely. And --MS. DUMAS: 18 THE COURT: -- it's the same thing. And if they are 19 able to get a number that is within the enterprise value as you 20 see it, then the problem is solved --21 MS. DUMAS: Well --THE COURT: -- if your clients -- particularly if they 22 23 consent to it. 24 MS. DUMAS: Absolutely. And --

Okay.

THE COURT:

MS. DUMAS: -- I'm also saying that that is -- I think
everybody in the room realizes that PG&E doesn't have more
value than it has. Right? So there's not an intention, on the
part of tort claimants, to say, our number is forty billion or
eighty billion and deal with it. We're working within the
parameters of the distributable value and an insolvent company.

THE COURT: Yeah, but I'm --

8 MS. DUMAS: So --

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

THE COURT: -- appreciate your comments; I'm not sure what you want me to do with them today. I'm trying to talk about how to deal with problems that we can deal with. And one thing that we can deal with is be innovative, like, toss the rule book out on "have to do a disclosure statement first". Do it separately. We've got a different set of rules because the debtors have to deal with CPUC processes.

MS. DUMAS: Um-hum.

THE COURT: I mean, those are things that I thought we were going to focus on today, and then --

MS. DUMAS: And it is. It is.

THE COURT: -- plus the issue of how to get the estimation trial --

MS. DUMAS: Yeah.

23 THE COURT: -- up and running.

MS. DUMAS: Your Honor, you're right. And I jumped a little bit ahead; I didn't intend to.

1 THE COURT: That's all right.

2 MS. DUMAS: The Court gave the debtors the first crack 3 by continuing the exclusive period.

THE COURT: Um-hum.

MS. DUMAS: And I guess what I'm suggesting is that, until it becomes evident, some of these financial breakdowns and whether my clients are right that their aggregate claims are in excess of the distributable value and therefore we have to cut back, the TCC is really unable to determine whether it is going to continue to work with the debtor along the lines of a debtor plan or whether the TCC will conclude that one of the other proposals that had been put out there as maybe improved, is a way that the TCC wants to then come back to the Court and say --

THE COURT: No, I understand.

MS. DUMAS: -- we're going down a different path.

THE COURT: But where we are today is I made a decision, right or wrong, to give the debtor a chance, and -- a loan. And the debtor, through counsel just today, reiterated they're on track to file a plan. Now -- and we're not going to worry about the disclosure statement, for the moment. If that plan is bogus and anybody can tell it's bogus, I probably would be very receptive to terminating exclusivity very quickly. I think Mr. Karotkin even conceded the point; he'd be -- he would concede that it would probably be what'd happen next.

If the plan is credible, to use my -- one of my terms, but your clients don't like it and don't think it's legally permissible or couldn't be confirmed without your consent, then you can renew your argument to terminate exclusivity.

But I think the premise on this, from my point of view, and partly why I made the decision not to terminate exclusivity, was I was persuaded that, given the looming June 30th, 2020, plus the CPUC requirements, plus the complexity of estimation, regardless of how it's done and how many judges you need -- because there are different opinions about that, but now we're told we know at least two who are involved; one doesn't -- I don't know the name of that judge, but he's down the street at the superior court.

MS. DUMAS: McAllister.

THE COURT: And whether there's two federal judges or one is not something I need to -- we need to worry about today. That's what we're doing.

So it seems to me that, until I'm presented with something that suggests that the debtors' plan is not worth giving the next round to --

MS. DUMAS: Yeah, and I'm certainly not suggesting --

THE COURT: -- that's what we're going with.

MS. DUMAS: -- that's the case --

THE COURT: Okay.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

MS. DUMAS: -- because nobody has seen it.

1 THE COURT: Yeah, and that's --

MS. DUMAS: And --

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

THE COURT: And therefore, that's the product of negotiation. That's why the debtor and the committee better -- hopefully will continue to --

MS. DUMAS: Yes. And perhaps my --

THE COURT: -- have conversations.

MS. DUMAS: -- perhaps my opening comments were intended to be a segue to how we, therefore, see the estimation proceeding going forward, because when we have such a big, sort of, difference of views, how to --

THE COURT: Um-hum.

MS. DUMAS: -- how to get to that difference of views in the most expedient way because ten billion and forty billion -- somebody's wrong. And given the time frame in which we're dealing, the TCC has put a lot of work into a targeted program, which Mr. Julian is going to describe, because under the circumstances -- again, the ten versus forty -- or fifty -- we just can't see how the debtors, all out, "we're going to fight every issue on every fire," is going to work in the context. And maybe they have a plan for eventually providing discovery and eventually making concessions of what issues they're really contesting fire by fire. But I'm just trying to say, look, we all need to figure something out between ten and forty or fifty in a pretty compressed time frame.

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. So I'll leave it at that. I know that --1 2 THE COURT: Well, but I want -- do you have --3 MS. DUMAS: Yes, sir. 4 THE COURT: -- you have no quarrel with my desire to, 5 kind of, simplify the disclosure statement side of it, do you? 6 MS. DUMAS: Absolutely not. The extent to which that 7 the -- I guess, the disclosure statement is usually the 8 document in which the plan assumptions and projections are 9 included. And as long as we have that financial information, 10 we don't need the Manhattan or even the San Francisco phone 11 book. 12 THE COURT: No, you don't. You need about three 13 pages. 14 MS. DUMAS: Exactly. 15 THE COURT: Right? 16 MS. DUMAS: We need financial information, Your Honor, 17 which is why we've been pressing that. 18 THE COURT: Okay, but this goes back to the same 19 subject, as Mr. Karotkin said. He can't put in the number 20 until there is either consensual agreement, which is great if 21 it happens, or there is a determination by the superior court 22 plus the district court. Right? 23 MS. DUMAS: True.

25 And fortunately for myself and Mr. MS. DUMAS: cribers 8/28/19 11:46:40 Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738 Filed: 08/28/19

(97)016294 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

Okay.

THE COURT:

1 Karotkin, that issue is Mr. Orsini's and Mr. Julian's to deal with.

3 Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And Mr. Dunne's going to come to the rescue.

MR. DUNNE: Your Honor -- we'll see about that, Your Honor. For the record, Dennis Dunne from Milbank LLP, on behalf of the official committee of unsecured creditors.

I have a couple comments, but let me start with the question you asked, Your Honor. We're perfectly fine with proceeding in the manner Your Honor suggested. There's no reason why we have to stick to the normal conventions in this case. There's very little that's, kind of, normal in this case. And so to the extent we can build a better mousetrap on the disclosure statement, let's try.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DUNNE: Let me just address one point, and it's under -- it has to do with the scalability of the plan as we land at different levels for the tort victims. And we certainly hope and expect that we're dealing with a solvent estate. I was pleased to hear Ms. Dumas' comments about how the tort committees see it kind of the same way, that they're kind of reverse-engineering from distributable value to try to get to the same place. But on the numbers that Ms. Dumas was proposing -- eighteen billion for a subrogation claim, a

1 billion for the public-entity group that settled to date, and

2 maybe forty billion for the individual plaintiffs, plus the

3 debt for borrowed money and the other liquidated -- that

4 exceeds the enterprise value of the company under any kind of

5 reasonable scenario.

THE COURT: Well, I suspect she probably understands 6

7 that.

8 MR. DUNNE: And I think -- I hope so. I think so,

9 too.

10 THE COURT: Yeah.

11 And so that brings me to the other point, MR. DUNNE:

12 which is, whatever gets filed on September 9th is going to have

13 some amount of committed capital. And if Your Honor kind of

14 lands on the estimated tort size near that amount of committed

15 value, I think we all kind of know that that works. As you --

16 but I -- the point I'm making is it's not linear as you scale

17 that up. And what I mean by that is, as Your Honor was saying,

18 if you land, like, 2X or 3X on that number, a number of things

may happen; they may not: The committed capital might not be 19

20 there. The vehicle for raising the capital in the plan, the

21 original structure for doing so, might not be workable at

22 particular levels. You might have to combine a number of, kind

23 of, funding sources to make the plan work. And you may have to

24 ask other classes of creditors to be impaired. You may not be

25 able to raise --

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738 Filed: 08/28/19

1 THE COURT: Right.

MR. DUNNE: -- the cash to unimpair them. You may ask
them to take equity. And that raises a whole bunch of issues
that you still could have a solvent estate but you're going to

be dealing with those types of plan issues --

THE COURT: Right.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. DUNNE: -- which is why it leads us all to -there's only so much we can do today, Your Honor. We're going
to have to be in a mode of, kind of, constant reassessment and
reevaluation --

THE COURT: Right. Well, that's my sense too.

MR. DUNNE: -- as we go down and pivot. But for today, Your Honor, that's how we're seeing it. And we support the efforts to kind of streamline the disclosure statement and to move forward.

THE COURT: Well, obviously my goal of streamlining the disclosure statement is to get a distraction out of the way. Unfortunately, if the numbers or the dynamics are such that you have to impair, then you have to disclose. And that's --

21 MR. DUNNE: The disclosure statement --

THE COURT: -- that's --

23 MR. DUNNE: -- will look different in that world.

24 THE COURT: Yeah, the process will be different. The 25 timing and the cost and the confusion -- it's just one of those

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 things it'd be great if we can avoid. But you're making a good 2 pitch for your two colleagues on both sides of you to get their 3 clients and get a resolution. 4 MR. DUNNE: Exactly. We'd like to be helpful with 5 that involvement, because we care very deeply about whether we 6 are -- that we will have a solvent estate with unimpaired 7 creditors, exactly like everybody was suggesting. But we need 8 to cut some deals and reach some resolution in order to do it. 9 Thank you. THE COURT: So are we going to hear from Mr. Kornberg 10 11 after all this? Is he going to help me out? 12 MR. QURESHI: Your Honor, if I could just --13 THE COURT: Oh, yes, sir. 14 MR. QURESHI: -- before Mr. Kornberg. For the record, 15 Abid Qureshi, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld --16 THE COURT: Mr. Qureshi. Yes. 17 MR. QURESHI: -- on behalf of the --18 THE COURT: Good to see you again. 19 MR. QURESHI: -- ad hoc noteholder group. 20 Your Honor, two things I wanted to raise. First of 21 all, we, too, have no opposition to a streamlined process with 22 something other than the normal disclosure statement. It is, 23 however, important, vis-a-vis the bondholders, that we 24

25

understand -- to the extent the debtors are purporting to

unimpair the bondholders in their plan, that we understand,

through whatever it is that they file on or before September
the 9th, whether they intend to fully recognize the contractual
rights of the bondholders because if they don't but they are
otherwise seeking to unimpair us, that will of course be a

litigable issue.

THE COURT: And listen, we're all in this together -MR. QURESHI: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- trying to make things happen. And by saying I want to simplify the disclosure statement, I don't want Mr. Karotkin and his side to think that's an invitation to hide the ball on what their proposal is. So I'm almost tempted to use one of my favorite terms: let's have a term sheet for the disclosure statement, or an outline of the disclosure statement, or if nothing else, at least a statement as to -- I mean -- let me rephrase that. I don't know what he's going to present by a plan, but I know that a well-drafted plan has the classes right in it. And if you say Class 1 is the bondholders and Class 2 are the subordinated something and Class 3 are the victims, you can also add -- certainly for these purposes, you could say whether they are impaired or not, which is not uncommon in any simple drafting plan, right?

So if he puts your client in Class 1 and says
"unimpaired", that to me is a concession that perhaps -- maybe
not but, if you're unimpaired, you got -- you don't get to
vote; you get to object if there's some legal -- you know these

1 rules. I'm not telling you what you don't know. But it

2 | simplifies the process, right?

MR. QURESHI: And we assume whatever does get filed, Your Honor, will be sufficiently clear with respect to those issues.

6 THE COURT: And --

MR. QURESHI: The second thing I wanted to --

8 THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. QURESHI: -- raise, Your Honor, goes to the financing. Your Honor, we, of course, read very carefully Your Honor's ruling on our motion to terminate exclusivity. We think it is very important that, although Mr. Dunne, of course, is correct that we will not know until we're done with estimation exactly what that universe looks like, we need to understand what financing commitments the debtors have or do not have with respect to whatever plan is going to be filed by September the 9th.

And so we think it is important, Your Honor, that the financing commitments that do exist with respect to that plan -- that the underlying commitment letters get shared at least with the parties, if not filed with the court. And the reason for that, Your Honor, is if that financing is not there, if it is subject to contingencies, whether those contingencies may be legislative contingencies or other contingencies, or if the aggregate amount of that financing is not deemed by the

parties, by the bondholders, to be sufficient, Your Honor, we are standing by and ready to renew the motion to terminate if

3 the circumstances are appropriate. And --

THE COURT: Well, this goes back to my statement about -- first of all, Mr. Karotkin said they're on track to file by the deadline they said they would. My intention is to set a hearing promptly, not necessarily the next morning but soon after, this kind of conference. So --

MR. QURESHI: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- if people like you stand up and say, we can't make any sense out of it; we don't know how it's possibly feasible, Mr. Karotkin's going to have to do some explaining why you have to ask that question.

So the burden is on him to be disclosing, at least privately or one-on-one with the constituency -- everybody likes to use "the stakeholders"; that's not my term -- but the stakeholders, he's got to do it. He doesn't have to file a disclosure statement. He's got to make sure you know what the deal is.

MR. QURESHI: And, Your Honor, we --

THE COURT: Okay?

MR. QURESHI: -- we think that type of a conference should be scheduled sooner rather than later. Again, because of the legislative deadline, this is not a situation where we have the luxury of time --

1 THE COURT: Right.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

2 MR. QURESHI: -- so that we can see whether the 3 financing is there and, if it's not, somebody else can come 4 forward. We need to know now.

5 THE COURT: No, I understand. Let me just check.

Mr. Karotkin, am I right; the regular PG&E schedule we have is for the 10th and 11th, right?

Yeah, see, that would be -- that seems to be a little bit tight. I would want to -- I wouldn't particularly want to have a hearing on the morning after, when I expect you'll haven't had a chance to decide. Let's defer that for --

THE COURT: -- the time being. The intention --

relying on Mr. Karotkin's statement -- he can rely on my

15 statement that we will have a prompt hearing right after that,

not to have formal objections or to have anything more than,

okay, what's the reaction. And if the TCC or the senior

bondholders or the subrogation group say, we're good to go, we

19 have enough information, that's helpful. If they say, no, we

don't, then we'll figure out what to do next. Okay?

MR. QURESHI: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. QURESHI: Sure.

THE COURT: Okay.

23 Mr. --

MR. MARSHACK: Good morning, Your Honor. Richard

25 Marshack, Marshack Hays --

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738 Filed: 08/28/19

- 1 THE COURT: Mr. Marshack.
- 2 MR. MARSHACK: -- on behalf of the --
- THE COURT: Yeah.
- 4 MR. MARSHACK: -- SLF --
- 5 THE COURT: Right. Mr. Marshack --
- 6 MR. MARSHACK: -- fire victims.
- 7 THE COURT: -- good to see you. What --
- 8 MR. MARSHACK: Your Honor, would the Court -- I think
- 9 | it'd be -- it'd provide a great benefit to everybody if the
- 10 | Court would elaborate a little bit on what the Court means by a
- 11 streamlined disclosure statement. I have a --
- 12 THE COURT: Well, I think the bankruptcy lawyers
- probably know what I'm talking about.
- MR. MARSHACK: I am a --
- THE COURT: And I don't want to --
- MR. MARSHACK: I am a bankruptcy lawyer --
- 17 THE COURT: Well --
- MR. MARSHACK: -- Your Honor. So --
- 19 THE COURT: Well, okay. Are you familiar with --
- MR. MARSHACK: I mean, I'm thirty-seven years --
- 21 thirty-five as a bankruptcy trustee.
- 22 THE COURT: I don't -- I just don't know you. That's
- 23 all.
- MR. MARSHACK: Okay.
- THE COURT: Are you familiar with the Northern

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 District simplified combined plan and disclosure statement? 2 MR. MARSHACK: I am not, Your Honor. And that's why 3 I'm -- that's typically done in a small case. 4 THE COURT: Oh, yeah. 5 MR. MARSHACK: And here's what I'm saying --6 THE COURT: I'm not going to use that form for this 7 case. 8 MR. MARSHACK: -- what I'm trying to say to this Court 9 is, I think everybody's on board. But we don't want to 10 disappoint you. So we want to know what your expectations are 11 for a simplified disclosure statement. And I did --12 THE COURT: So quoted the statute in my order, right? 13 Adequate information for a hypothetical -- and then I put in 14 with a question mark -- investor -- that's what the statute 15 says -- of the relevant class, to make an informed judgment. 16 What will your constituents, your fire victims, need 17 to know how to vote up or down on this plan? To me, it 18 couldn't be more simple than: when am I going to get paid and 19 how much am I going to get -- or at least, if not, how much in 20 terms of dollars to a particular victim, at least in the 21 aggregate what's it going to mean for my fellow victims and me, 22 what are we likely to get? 23 To me, I don't know that you need much more than that. 24 But so I envision a very simple disclosure statement. And that

doesn't mean that all the things you've heard the other lawyers

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

representing the different financial institutions are being

told you don't get any information. You get all the

information you need to know what your treatment is. You're

just not a voter, therefore we don't have a disclosure

not going to be challenging or objecting to this plan.

7 MR. MARSHACK: Okay, so --

THE COURT: Okay?

MR. MARSHACK: -- I understand how the Court gets to what's your treatment, but previous speakers at this podium talked about enterprise value and things --

statement for you. We have what you need to make sure you are

THE COURT: I think Ms. Dumas was talking about it in a big-picture sense. She also acknowledged that for today's purposes, I think I'll speak -- she can clarify it -- she was talking big terms but not things that are plan-and-disclosure-statement issues.

In other words, she and the torts committee believe that -- come on, let's not kid ourselves -- that the aggregate claims from the Tubbs fire and all the other fires that haven't been quantified, are far greater in amount than what were scheduled or at least proposed in the two competing plans that did not get approved for consideration. And if the debtor has a plan that it files on the 9th -- they file on the 9th, that says we're going to have a fund, a capped trust of eighteen or twenty billion, I suspect that the TCC is not going to be very

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 supportive of that and probably would not vote for it. 2 So --3 MR. MARSHACK: Your Honor --4 THE COURT: Okay? 5 MR. MARSHACK: -- my motives are not to delay the 6 process. To the contrary. My motives are to get an early 7 approval of a plan and disclosure statement. And I come to 8 this Court and simply say please give us clarity on what your 9 expectations are, because you have to approve the disclosure 10 statement. And that's the --11 THE COURT: No, to me --12 MR. MARSHACK: -- only reason I'm here. 13 THE COURT: -- the disclosure statement is the easiest 14 task in the world. The question is: what is going to be 15 disclosed -- or apart from that, just pretend that your class 16 of victims say fine, if I'm going to be paid in full by a year 17 from now or six months from now, I'll vote for it. 18 The question is, do we -- is it a confirmable plan? 19 What are the confirmation standards? The confirmation 20 standards are a variety of things that are -- start with 21 Section 1129, disclosure is just one item for the voters. 22 So if the senior bondholders say, wait, you can't cram

it violates the absolute-priority rule; or something --

down on me; or you can't -- you're actually impairing me even

though you say you're not; or I object to confirmation because

23

24

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 something that bankruptcy lawyers will have an argument about; 2 or most importantly, it's not feasible; or it's not acceptable 3 to the CPUC. Those are confirmation issue that aren't 4 disclosure-statement voting issues. 5 I'm really just focusing on the -- and simplifying 6 that prospect. 7 So you say you clearly want an early plan and 8 disclosure statement. Well, so does everybody. But --9 MR. MARSHACK: No, no. We share your objectives. 10 just --11 THE COURT: But that's the estimation. That's the 12 hang-up, right? 13 MR. MARSHACK: Correct. We share your objective. 14 just want to make sure -- at least I want to make sure we're on 15 the same page as you with regard to your definition of a 16 simplified disclosure statement. 17 I've taken up enough time of this Court. 18 THE COURT: No, no. You're take -- and I -- you've been here several times, but I didn't know you had the 19 20 bankruptcy background. 21 MR. MARSHACK: Yes. 22 THE COURT: And I don't mean to act snobby to lawyers 23 that I don't know, who I don't know what they know about 24 bankruptcy. 25 So you tell me. If I said to you, what is the easiest

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 thing you could possibly do in a disclosure statement, if you 2 were doing it, what would you put? Why do you need the 3 Manhattan phone book? Wouldn't three pages be enough? 4 MR. MARSHACK: I don't. I don't. And frankly, you 5 know that ninety percent of the people aren't going to read it. 6 But no, I applaud this Court's direction. 7 THE COURT: That's --8 MR. MARSHACK: What do you need? You need the amount 9 of the -- you need what the value of the entity is, what the 10 debts are, the funding, summary of the plan --11 THE COURT: Again, I'm not even sure you need all 12 those things. But that's for easy discussion. 13 I don't care if it's acceptable to the victims that 14 it's a little more elaborate, but I don't think we need to 15 burden them with all kinds of things that go into a more 16 traditional disclosure statement, like a public -- a 10-Q or a 17 10-K. I mean, imagine how -- talk about what percentage of 18 people read those, right? The securities plaintiffs' lawyers, 19 they probably do. That's about it. 20 MR. MARSHACK: The only thing we need, I think, Your Honor, is if you're -- if the victims are going -- if their 21 22 claims are going to be impaired -- if they're not going to paid 23 in full, we need to understand --

matter what, because they're not going to be paid on the

THE COURT: Well, they're going to be impaired no

24

- 1 | effective date. Right?
- 2 MR. MARSHACK: If the victims are not going to be paid
- 3 | in full, the disclosure statement has to explain why.
- 4 THE COURT: Yeah, I agree.
- 5 MR. MARSHACK: Thank you.
- 6 THE COURT: So your input will be welcome on the
- 7 disclosure statement.
- 8 MR. MARSHACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. We have -- someone wants to speak?
- 10 Yes.
- 11 Come on in. It's still morning.
- MS. BROWNSTEIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Beth
- 13 Brownstein from Arent Fox on behalf of BOKF as indenture
- 14 trustee for the senior notes in the outstanding amount of
- 15 | seventeen-and-a-half billion dollars.
- 16 THE COURT: Right, I got your papers. Um-hum.
- MS. BROWNSTEIN: Your Honor, we are -- or the
- 18 noteholders are a significant stakeholder in the cases and have
- 19 an interest, of course, that the debtors emerge a viable,
- 20 | reliable, financially sound company. And I think Your Honor
- 21 had identified some of the concerns that noteholders may have:
- 22 whether or not holders are going to be unimpaired under the
- 23 plan; what does "unimpaired" mean; is the plan feasible? And
- 24 the indenture trustee intends to be part of that process in
- 25 | analyzing the plan, and we'll be back before Your Honor, as you

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 said, after -- once the plan is filed and once we have seen the 2 details of how the noteholders are going to be treated. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thank you. 4 MS. BROWNSTEIN: Thank you. 5 MR. JOHNSTON: We keep Mr. Kornberg waiting. 6 Honor, Jim Johnston of Jones Day on behalf of certain 7 shareholders. Just three quick points. 8 One, there are going to be more than two impaired 9 classes in this case, because the class of shareholders will be 10 impaired no matter how you look at valuation. 11 The means of execution, whether it's new equity, new 12 debt, some combination of both will dilute the shareholders. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. 14 MR. JOHNSTON: Two --15 THE COURT: Then we might have to have different 16 disclosure statements. 17 MR. JOHNSTON: Perhaps. Although I certainly think 18 that streamlined is the way to go. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. JOHNSTON: And we are in extreme agreement with 21 Your Honor that less is more in this context and that we should 22 be thinking outside-the-box. 2.3 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. JOHNSTON: We fall on the side of the debtors 25 that, call it, total distributable value vastly exceeds the

amount of claims that will be allowed in the case. We think this is a solvent debtor.

And so we disagree with the suggestion of Ms. Dumas and the TCC that we are going to get anywhere close to that line. And I just want to react very briefly to one set of numbers that she threw out, which is the eighteen billion dollars in alleged insurance subrogation claims. She said the insurers have already made those payments and so the debtors start with a baseline of eighteen billion dollars.

As we understand it, they haven't made eighteen billion yet. But even if you assume that they ultimately will make those payments, a very large proportion of those relates to Tubbs, eight billion dollars or so.

So we can't start with the baseline that we're talking about eighteen billion dollars in subrogation claims. The San Francisco Superior Court will have a lot to say about that, I think. And Your Honor ultimately will in the estimation context.

THE COURT: But I think -- but I think she conceded that the number is subject to these other things that are taking place, and Tubbs is a huge swing. That's why it's going.

MR. JOHNSTON: Exactly. And estimation will give us some clarity on the point. I just didn't want that to go unremarked upon.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

MR. JOHNSTON: My third point relates to what you called the meat on the bones, what Mr. Karotkin called the means of execution. As you heard last hearing and in the papers, my clients and other shareholders have stepped up with a very large commitment --

THE COURT: Um-hum.

MR. JOHNSTON: -- for equity financing. The debtors and we are confident that adequate financing will be available from many sources: equity, debt, Oldco, utility. But Mr. Karotkin and Mr. Dunne are correct in that this is a very fluid concept. And the how much is completely interrelated with the means of execution. You can't have unlimited commitments for financing up to the sky, and you can't have unlimited commitments on the time period. So I --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. JOHNSTON: -- completely agree that this is going to be a fluid situation, and I think that also counsel's in favor of Your Honor's outside-the-box procedure for plan and disclosure statement.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you, Mr. Johnston.

MR. JOHNSTON: Thank you.

THE COURT: Where is he? Mr. Kornberg, where are you? Maybe we should take a break for lunch. No, come on. Nice to see you.

- 1 MR. KORNBERG: Good morning, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: You're not really going to talk today, are
- 3 you?
- 4 MR. KORNBERG: Alan Kornberg from Paul, Weiss,
- 5 Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison for the California Public Utilities
- 6 Commission. Your Honor, before I address the specific
- 7 questions that you raised in your August 20 order, I thought
- 8 maybe just a few words about the work the Commission has to do
- 9 might be helpful --
- 10 THE COURT: Sure.
- MR. KORNBERG: -- not only for the Court, but frankly,
- 12 for many parties-in-interest, because there has been, I think,
- 13 some confusion or misunderstanding about our process.
- 14 THE COURT: Please do.
- MR. KORNBERG: So the Commission expects to enter that
- 16 | is called an order instituting investigation -- and I'll refer
- 17 | to that as the "bankruptcy OII" -- relating to these bankruptcy
- cases in late September following PG&E's filing of its plan.
- 19 THE COURT: That's the triggering event? You got to
- 20 have something --
- MR. KORNBERG: Correct.
- 22 THE COURT: -- to trigger it? Okay.
- 23 MR. KORNBERG: Correct, Your Honor. The filing of the
- 24 plan will permit the Commission to begin the bankruptcy OII.
- 25 That is a significant event, because the initiation of the

bankruptcy OII is the beginning of the Commission's required processes related to these cases.

That is a multistep process that will begin, and the ultimate goals of that multistep process are the formulation and approval of a global settlement between the Commission and PG&E relating to a variety of outstanding issues of concern to the Commission, not only in the Chapter 11 cases, but in certain ongoing regulatory proceedings that I'll refer to in a minute.

THE COURT: But pending settlement of things that are already in the pipeline that -- where there are differences.

MR. KORNBERG: Correct, some that are in the pipeline, some that may be in the pipeline. And then also, the other goal, of course, is the Commission approval of the Chapter 11 plan that will resolve these cases. And of course, approval of that plan necessarily involves ratemaking --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. KORNBERG: -- and other questions that are within the Commission's jurisdiction.

So as PG&E and others well know, accomplishment of these two goals -- the global settlement, hopefully that will be reached, and a formal Commission approval of the plan -- are conditions to the effectiveness of any Chapter 11 plan. And I'm sure as Your Honor will recall, that was the case also in the first PG&E.

THE COURT: Well, and it's clear right on the face of AB1054.

MR. KORNBERG: And that's correct.

THE COURT: I mean, that's where I got the clue of what you have to deal with, and you said it before at the prior hearing, yeah.

MR. KORNBERG: And as Your Honor just mentioned, these goals have to be achieved before June 30, 2020, if PG&E is to participate in the Wildfire fund created by AB1054.

So the issues that -- in very broad-brush terms, the issues requiring resolution -- I think this is important for people to understand -- will be negotiated by PG&E, on the one hand, presumably as plan proponent, and Commission advisory staff, in the context of confidential settlement discussions. Indeed, the parties have met to frame and discuss the issues that require resolution.

Once the bankruptcy OII is commenced, Commission advisory staff and PG&E will begin the settlement discussions in earnest. So the important takeaway here, Your Honor, is that that process is going to begin promptly after the plan is filed. There are no preliminary approvals or interim adjudications along the way, and I'll explain why that is the case.

When these discussions hopefully bear fruit in the form of the global settlement agreement tied to PG&E's plan of

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

reorganization, that agreement -- this global settlement
agreement will be submitted for consideration by the

commissioners following evidentiary hearings before the

Commission in the bankruptcy OII. So again, first, there is a

process where Commission advisory staff will negotiate with

PG&E. When those discussions have reached their conclusion,

then it will be presented to the commissioners. In that phase

of the bankruptcy OII, many parties will have an opportunity to

be heard, most notably ratepayer representatives and advocates

and safety advocates.

In the bankruptcy OII, the Commission will have to evaluate and make certain findings concerning the plan and the related transactions. And Your Honor has already referred to AB1054. Of critical importance for PG&E to be eligible to participate in the Wildfire fund under that statute, when the Commission approves PG&E's Chapter 11 plan, it must make findings relating to corporate governance and safety culture, consistency with the state's climate goals, rate neutrality, and proper recognition of contributions by ratepayers, if any.

THE COURT: Yeah, let me interrupt you. Those are the four -- or three paragraphs that I read right from the bill.

And you're reading the same place.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ KORNBERG: Correct. But let me go on from that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

1 MR. KORNBERG: Because the Commission's work is not 2 limited to the findings required by that provision of AB1054. 3 Under the Commission's existing ratemaking authority, the 4 Commission must do some other things as well. The Commission 5 has to evaluate the reasonableness of the rates that will be in 6 effect upon PG&E's exit from bankruptcy. We're all aware of 7 that, I think. But the Commission also has to approve the 8 respective percentages of debt and equity for the reorganized 9 company's capital structure. The Commission --10 THE COURT: Again, interrupt you. That's their 11 version of the feasibility determination that the bankruptcy 12 court has to make, right? 13 MR. KORNBERG: Well, but it's also taken from a 14 different perspective --15 THE COURT: I understand. 16 MR. KORNBERG: -- as one can imagine. 17 THE COURT: I understand, but I mean, they have to 18 determine the company can do what it says it's going to do. 19 The bankruptcy court has to make the same determination. 20 MR. KORNBERG: Well, actually, it's a little 21 different --22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. KORNBERG: -- because the Commission also has 24 to -- well, the bankruptcy court does this as well, but the 25 Commission has to also authorize the issuance of the debt and

1 the issuance of the equity financing contemplated by the plan.

2 THE COURT: No, I understand. I understand. I mean, 3 those are the -- those are the kinds of things that -- it's a 4 parallel track. I'm not suggesting that the Commission's doing 5 the bankruptcy court's work or vice versa. It's doing its own

6 work, but it's consistent with what, in a nonregulated entity,

you have to make sure other certain things are complied with.

MR. KORNBERG: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KORNBERG: And it also has to set the reorganized company's rate of return on its equity. That's another responsibility.

The global -- then I mentioned there's a global settlement of a variety of matters that are pending before the Commission. So for example, we would imagine that the global settlement between the Commission and PG&E must also determine PG&E's liability, if any, for fines and penalties relating to its pre-petition conduct with respect to the 2017 and 2018 wildfires.

There is a pending OII concerning PG&E's violation of locate-and-mark rules relating to its natural gas and electric infrastructure. That involves pre-petition conduct. That also requires resolution.

And finally, there is the so-called safety culture OII in which issues relating to potential changes in PG&E's

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. corporate structure have to be addressed.

Your Honor asked -- well, before I address that question, let me also say a word about what these processes look like. The statutes governing the Commission proceedings require the Commission to exercise a quasi-judicial function involving separate evidentiary hearings and factual findings. And accordingly, it can't prejudge the issues that will come before it.

In the bankruptcy OII, for example, the Commission will have to develop a factual record and resolve all material issues raised by the parties in a detailed decision that contains separately stated findings of fact and conclusions of law.

Given the myriad issues before it, Commission advisory staff can and will, as I mentioned before, begin its formal work immediately upon opening of the bankruptcy OII. Indeed, the preliminary work it has already done sets the stage for that to happen. Ultimately, however — and this I think is of concern to Your Honor and is relevant to questions you've asked today — the Commission will require a definitive, confirmable plan to facilitate the deliberations and make the required rulings concerning the plan.

Now, to answer the specific question raised by the Court in its order last week, the Commission doesn't require anything from Your Honor to begin the process that I've

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 described. But we would anticipate -- and this relates to 2 conversations about the disclosure statement -- clearly, any 3 disclosure statement in this case will describe that successful 4 conclusion of the Commission process is a condition precedent 5 to the effectiveness of any Chapter 11 plan. 6 THE COURT: But that's just a statement. I mean, 7 that --8 MR. KORNBERG: Correct. 9 THE COURT: -- that's just a statement of the law. 10 MR. KORNBERG: Correct. 11 THE COURT: It's like approval of some other agency. 12 I mean, it doesn't have to explain the process, just the end 13 result. 14 MR. KORNBERG: Correct. It's a significant condition. 15 THE COURT: Right. 16 MR. KORNBERG: I would assume that the disclosure 17 statement, no matter how brief -- and we certainly support the 18 idea of a slim disclosure statement -- will have significant 19 conditions to effectiveness described. 20 THE COURT: Right, but again, I think I'm stating the 21 In one sentence, it could say the plan is not obvious. 22 effective unless the Commission approves. It doesn't have to 23 have a --24 MR. KORNBERG: Right, an exegesis on it.

THE COURT: -- a long discussion on what the -- okay.

1 Where to begin.

MR. KORNBERG: Okay, so how does this all relate to the estimation proceedings? I know that's an issue of concern to the Court. As Your Honor has repeatedly observed, the overarching purpose of these cases is the quantification and resolution of payment of the wildfire victims' claims and those of their insurers. Completion of the estimation proceedings here and elsewhere, or hopefully a settlement of those liabilities, is also critical to the Commission's work, and let me explain why. Quantification of the wildfire-related liabilities, as you've already heard this morning, is likely to have a substantial effect on the amount and form of financing necessary to fund a plan.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. KORNBERG: Because the plan financing ultimately shapes the company's post-reorganization capital structure and, therefore, has a direct impact on rates, the prompt and speedy resolution of the estimation proceedings will facilitate the Commission's essential ratemaking functions. We cannot do that in a vacuum.

So as I've described, there's a lot of work we can do to narrow the issues between PG&E and the Commission through the work of Commission's advisory staff. But the essential ratemaking will have to be in the context of understanding the reorganized company's capital structure and the debt and equity

1 | financing that is a part of it.

THE COURT: Okay, but what that means to me is that the Commission can't go through the final or whatever processes it goes through until it knows the amount that the company is committing to pay its disputed unliquidated claims, and how it's going to raise the money to pay that. And those are two critical questions. And one question is answered by the estimation process. The other is by the kind of things we heard from the debtor and so on.

So if we could have a magic best case/worst case, the sources that are going to provide the funding can tell us whether they can fund it at the lower number or the higher number or anywhere in between. The Commission doesn't need to have the final answer to all those questions, does it, as long as it knows the range.

MR. KORNBERG: I'm not sure if a range would work, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, the high number.

MR. KORNBERG: A high number, I suppose if the company came in with a high number, and the number turned out to be less, and they needed less financing, and there was less impact on ratepayers, I'm sure that would be a good thing, and it would happen.

THE COURT: Well, stated differently, if the parties agreed today on an amount to fund the plan for the impaired

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. victim classes, you still have to go through all these processes. Everything you've described has to happen. question that I want some more help on is can't a lot of those things take place before that number gets plugged in and how you support or pay that number gets determined? MR. KORNBERG: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KORNBERG: I think the answer to that question is that a lot of the work will be done in advance, and as I said, we'll start work in the bankruptcy OII after the plan is filed. However, the actual hearings to approve and get a Commission vote will require substantial testimony about -- and evidence, relating to the actual ratemaking. And I think that -- THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KORNBERG: -- requires a definitive financing plan and capital structure plan.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KORNBERG: So I guess, Your Honor, I would echo what everyone has said this morning, which is the sooner we have the results of the estimation proceeding the better. The Commission's committed to doing its job before the June deadline but, as everyone has noted, this is a fluid situation. And the estimation results or, hopefully, a negotiated settlement are a very, very important condition to getting that work completed.

THE COURT: Okay. I understand, but the point is -well, look at what the debtor filed on the 20th or the 12th.

It had a time line. That had a confirmation order on April
15th. And the question I have for you is, in your experience,
if the OII had been initiated when the debtor filed the plan,
same day to Mr. Karotkin's outline -- which is obviously not
going to be the outline, but it doesn't mean it can't be an
outline of an outline -- would that work? I mean, in your
experience, if the debtors were able to follow that time
line -- remember the document? Have you seen it? Do you
remember it?

MR. KORNBERG: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah, it was what they filed on the 20th, and it started with a September 9th filing. And it ended with a confirmation order on April 15th.

MR. KORNBERG: Your Honor, I think the issue from our perspective will be as follows: We imagine that the plan that gets filed on September 9th will have to be amended to take into account the results of the estimation proceeding. And so I think the key issue is when will the company be in a position to file that amended plan that has the benefit of the results of the estimation proceeding and the finalization of the financing package.

THE COURT: Well, but again, what can the Commission do before that amount is plugged into the blank on the draft?

1 MR. KORNBERG: The Commission could go about

2 negotiating a global settlement of the myriad --

3 THE COURT: Right.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

4 MR. KORNBERG: -- other issues.

5 THE COURT: All those other things.

MR. KORNBERG: But the actual vote on the plan --

THE COURT: No, I understand the vote.

MR. KORNBERG: -- will be at the end of the process.

THE COURT: No, how could I -- of course, I understand the commissioners cannot be expected to vote on something that has a blank in it.

MR. KORNBERG: Right.

THE COURT: My question is -- and I think you're telling me this is doable -- much like my sense of we could -- we ought to be able to flesh out some of the bankruptcy challenges to a plan, even though we haven't plugged in the amount that needs to be disclosed as far as the funding for the victims. But you could go -- we could go on a track towards that goal. You even made the comment earlier I think, at the prior hearing, that maybe the bankruptcy court could have this kind of tentative confirmation target. So you're not ruling out that possibility, are you, something like that?

MR. KORNBERG: I'm sorry, what kind of --

24 THE COURT: Well, at the prior hearing -- I think at
25 the hearing on exclusivity or maybe it was the last hearing; I

forget which -- but you made the point that maybe the
bankruptcy court could provide something in the form of a
tentative plan or a plan that would be confirmable. In other

words, let me try it this way for you.

You heard Mr. Johnston talk about maybe there'll be an impaired equity class. You heard a number of the speakers say we don't know what the plan's going to look like. But come September 9th, there will be a pretty good idea of what the plan looks like in terms of its structure, classification, what have you. I will concede that I can't hope for the amount to go to the trust for the victims -- whether it's Ms. Dumas' forty billion, or Mr. Orsini and Karotkin's ten billion; I don't know what it will be -- and I can't expect the kind of financial interests that are going to step up and capitalize the reorganized company to commit to a blank. But as bankruptcy professionals and judges, we know how to make -- we know the kinds of stuff that have to go into the plan.

MR. KORNBERG: Um-hum.

THE COURT: You have to flesh out who's going to object, are there other issues that would have to be resolved, even if those numbers are not filled in yet.

Are there -- can we have a similar track taking place at the CPUC so that maybe it is at the end of the estimation period. Maybe it's February. Maybe it's January. Maybe it's -- again, using the debtors' outline, the outline said

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

1 final order regarding estimation January 17th. Well, what if

2 it's February 17th? Is it still impossible or not impossible

3 to assume the Commission can follow a similar track?

4 MR. KORNBERG: It's not impossible. And let me be very clear, because I apparently haven't been.

6 THE COURT: No.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KORNBERG: There are many, many issues that will be addressed in the settlement discussions between advisory staff and PG&E. And we're going to start working on those immediately. And as I listed them, these are significant, important matters that require resolution.

THE COURT: Right. No, I understand that.

MR. KORNBERG: What we will not be able to do -- and if this is what Your Honor is getting to -- we will not be giving preliminary rulings or conclusions in advance because that has to await the adjudicative process before the Commission. But that doesn't mean that we won't be addressing all of these very significant issues starting as soon as the bankruptcy OII is launched.

THE COURT: Okay. No, that's all I could hope for. I mean, it sounds like a very tight schedule and a difficult path -- two paths. I mean, it's a -- I don't want -- no more metaphors, whether it's Cal-L (ph.) or not. The Commission has to do its thing. The bankruptcy court has to do its thing.

And at the moment, it sounds like nobody is saying it can't be

- 1 | done. It's just a challenge, right?
- 2 MR. KORNBERG: Exactly.
- 3 THE COURT: Stating the obvious.
- 4 MR. KORNBERG: We will get it done. We hope it won't become more complicated, however, than it already is.

6 THE COURT: Well, I'm sure you or your colleagues will

7 be at the table with the other players on the bankruptcy side;

8 whether you invite them to the CPUC is none of my business.

9 But you or someone from your side has to be participant in this discussion to make it work. Okay, I'm okay with it. I got it.

MR. KORNBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Does anyone else want to be heard on this topic? Whether we take a break or try to conclude the other matters, I don't know, but let's see. Anybody want to talk about the -- what we'll call the plan-disclosure-statement track and the CPUC interplay? Okay.

So I had suggested as a separate matter to deal with the inverse condemnation issue. And I offered a proposed time line. I think the ad hoc committee proposed a slight adjustment to that.

I need to make this statement. In the prior discussions we had during the estimation -- the original estimation hearing, I might have misunderstood. I thought the inverse condemnation issue was a simple yes or no. I mean, it either is or isn't a viable doctrine. And although it might be

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

a simple question to state, it's certainly a question that

needs to be fully vetted.

But in reading the papers, I believe from Mr.

Orsini -- your letter, it looks like there's some maybe not

Orsini -- your letter, it looks like there's some maybe not factual disputes, but facts that drive the outcome, right. So you made the point about some of the lines that are dedicated for public work versus private work. How do I resolve that as part of the step?

MR. ORSINI: So two separate issues, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah, okay.

MR. ORSINI: So from our perspective -- and before I do that, I just want to clarify one thing on the record -- THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ORSINI: -- because it's very significant and needs to be clarified. Ms. Dumas was suggesting, and Your Honor just picked up on the idea that the spread between the parties on the value of the individual claims is ten to forty. She said take Mr. Orsini's ten. Mr. Orsini doesn't have a ten. Our position that the value of their claims are significantly below that number. I just need that to be clear on the record.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ORSINI: With respect to inverse condemnation, so, Your Honor, the issue that we have proposed to brief in an earlier phase of the case, you are absolutely right, is a yes/no, up/down question. And the question is as follows: is

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. inverse condemnation as a doctrine under California state law 1 2 applicable to an investor-owned utility such as PG&E. 3 THE COURT: Right, that's how I understood the 4 question. 5 MR. ORSINI: And if it is, is it unconstitutional under the federal Constitution? That is a simple up/down 6 7 question. Doesn't require facts. 8 THE COURT: Well --9 MR. ORSINI: It's something that can be dealt with --10

THE COURT: -- can the bankruptcy court or even a district court make -- even rule on it, versus is there a controlling precedent that we cannot?

MR. ORSINI: Well, that's on the merits, right.

THE COURT: But that's still a legal question.

MR. ORSINI: Exactly, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. ORSINI: Exactly, Your Honor. I think what you're referring to in my letter with respect to some fact issues is let's assume for a second that Your Honor disappoints me, and you rule against me on whether or not inverse condemnation applies to PG&E. Okay? At that point, so we've lost our threshold challenge as to whether it even applies to the debtors. Then there will still be, at least for some fires, a factual question as to whether or not inverse condemnation applies to that fire.

THE COURT: But is it a factual question or is it just a question that the facts are not in dispute; we just have to apply the rules?

MR. ORSINI: Well, actually, that's a fair clarification. I think ultimately, Your Honor, on this question, it's going to be something that could be resolved on something akin to summary judgment.

THE COURT: Well, that's -- see that's --

9 MR. ORSINI: Because the underlying --

THE COURT: -- that's what I was -- go on.

MR. ORSINI: Let me just -- if I can just explain the argument.

THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead.

MR. ORSINI: Just very briefly, the argument is based upon a doctrine under California law known as Cantu. Very simply stated --

17 THE COURT: Yeah.

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ORSINI: -- inverse is about damage caused by something built for the public good.

THE COURT: No, I got that.

MR. ORSINI: And in Cantu, the argument was -- that was adopted by the intermediate court in California where a line had been extended for a handful of people. That was a private good, not a public good. So for some of the fires at issue, particularly in 2017, there will be this question as to

given the circumstances under which a particular line where a fire may have started was constructed, does that fall into the Cantu doctrine or not.

The facts as to how many people were served, when it was built, why it was built, those I think will all be undisputed. But that is sort of what we propose to deal with in the second phase, because it still does require some discovery and assessment of facts.

THE COURT: Well, you're saying -- well, are you sure? I mean, I guess my gut -- what I was getting at as I thought about a briefing schedule, it was -- and whether it was my dates or your dates are not a big deal, but it was the point that you made in your letter that, well, there are some facts that will drive the outcome in part. You said it before I did. It sounds like a perfect example of a summary judgment or partial summary judgment.

MR. ORSINI: We would be --

THE COURT: But it depends on if your opponents disagree with the underlying facts as to a particular fire at a particular location. I mean, excuse me -- whether it was private or public use.

MR. ORSINI: To the extent my friends on the other side would agree that it's something the Court could resolve on summary judgment -- effectively summary judgment on the papers, we'd be happy to fold that issue into our threshold legal

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. challenge as to whether or not inverse applies to investorowned utility.

I suspect they're not going to agree with me on that, in which case, we're back to what we are proposing, which is let's take the piece we know the Court can deal with quickly and that is a pure legal issue, which is that threshold challenge. Let's get that briefed sooner rather than later. And then to the extent we need to deal with these factual issues, we can deal with them as we get into the meat of the estimation process going forward.

With respect to the briefing schedule, Your Honor, we continue to believe we can and should brief inverse earlier, but I think we've got a lot bigger fish to fry than to argue about that briefing schedule. I do think that there was some suggestion by some of the objectors that they wanted a little bit more time.

THE COURT: Just another week I think, yeah.

MR. ORSINI: Yeah, which what I would propose, Your Honor, is I'm happy to give them another week. But let's not use that to delay the ultimate resolution. Have my motion go in a week sooner. So it's still coming in after the bar date. It still gives the same adequate time that Your Honor had identified after the bar date for the opposition, but it gives the parties who are all already in this courtroom already thinking about those issues that extra week they've asked for

- 1 | rather than shift everything back.
- 2 THE COURT: So debtor motion -- okay, I had suggested
- 3 that you file on November 1. You're suggesting an earlier
- 4 date?
- 5 MR. ORSINI: I'm suggesting if they really do want
- 6 their extra week for the opposition --
- 7 THE COURT: Well --
- 8 MR. ORSINI: -- just back me up a week. Keep the rest
- 9 of the schedule the same.
- 10 THE COURT: -- so what's -- so for you, that would be
- 11 October 25th?
- MR. ORSINI: Yes, Your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: And then your proposal would be the
- opponents, whether it be TCC or anyone else -- I had suggested
- 15 November 15th.
- MR. ORSINI: My proposal is that date sticks. Your
- 17 | Honor had -- I think as Your Honor suggested by setting that
- 18 | schedule, it's more than enough time after the bar date. And
- 19 by moving my brief up, you give them the extra week they're
- 20 asking for.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay, I'll hear the others speak about
- 22 that. My recommendation to the district court, as you will
- 23 | recall, was that this was a matter that I did not believe was
- 24 implicated by the issue. My recommendation was adopted by the
- 25 | court, and so I'm -- and no one has raised a contrary view, so

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 I'm going to suggest that that be the process. And I'll let 2 the TCC or other plaintiffs' lawyers respond to that. 3 MR. ORSINI: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Any response? Is that -- Mr. McCallen, 5 are you going to come on up? That's -- I recognized you; 6 didn't I? 7 MR. MCCALLEN: Good morning, Your Honor. Good to see 8 you again. Just briefly, I'll just deal first with just the 9 logistics on the scheduling. We're fine with the amendment to 10 the schedule proposed by Mr. Orsini, in other words, moving the 11 date forward by one week rather than moving our opposition date 12 back. So I think that's the most critical issue in terms of 13 just setting forth the schedule. And that's that. 14 I would like to introduce, though, another counsel 15 for -- Craig Simon, who's lead counsel in the North Bay fire 16 litigation. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. MCCALLEN: He just wants to address some of the 19 issues that Mr. Orsini addressed earlier about Cantu and some 20 of his statements he made about the factual issues. 21 Okay. I'll be happy to hear what Mr. THE COURT: 22 Simon has to say, but I'm not going to resolve it today, 2.3 unless --24 MR. MCCALLEN: Understood, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- we proceed.

25

1 So all right, Mr. Simon, good morning.

MR. SIMON: Thank you, Your Honor. Craig Simon, one of the lead counsel in the state court action. And Mr.

Orsini's comment about Cantu and the inverse condemnation is not exactly accurate and not exactly clear.

The one thing I wanted to point out to you is it's not a clear up-and-down summary judgment motion without a fact on the issue of whether a privately owned public utility is subject to inverse condemnation.

The arguments that come from those, and I just argued this yesterday in front of Judge Buckley in the Thomas fire down in Southern California. And the issues that come up in these cases, the defense says we can't risk spread unless we get the permission to do so. And unless we have permission to risk spread in the future known now, we are different as a privately owned utility from a public utility.

And we have factual issues that go to the issue of risk spreading. It has to do with whether or not, for example, when a utility seeks a certain -- an increased level of investor return, whether that acts as cost-shifting. And there'll be some discovery on that. So I just wanted to set the record clear that in the very "up-or-down" issue, there are facts embedded in that.

THE COURT: Well, how do you -- then you don't like this time schedule, do you? I mean, how do I deal with this?

not easy. What do you need to do by way of getting discovery?

What facts? 1 I mean, I thought this was going to be easy. 2

3 MR. SIMON: Probably a deposition of one of their 4 people with regard to rate issues, and if that needs to be 5 disclosed or discussed on our side, we have people who will be 6

prepared to discuss those issues as well.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE COURT: Well, one thing that will not be helpful to me, at least, to try to do this is to get equivalent of a motion for summary judgment with an opposition saying there are material facts in dispute, in which case, I have no choice but to deny it, assuming -- and I'm assuming that even if there were facts to dispute, that's not something that I couldn't decide. The question is how about doing it. I'm not going to be able to have a mini trial on this issue. So, Mr. Simon, could you envision that this matter could be done in this kind of a procedural setting?

MR. SIMON: It depends on what the motion is, Your Honor. Obviously, I've seen motions brought like this before from other privately owned utilities. And they do embed a certain fact issue that comes in. If they are doing something purely of law that we would be able to respond to purely of law, then obviously --

23 THE COURT: Well, sure.

24 MR. SIMON: -- we can do it.

25 THE COURT: Of course.

1 MR. SIMON: So I think -- I think we need to see the 2 motion, and then address it at that point.

Also, Your Honor, with regard to Cantu that was

mentioned, Cantu was a trench that PG&E built for a number of

homes, and the trench delivered water to a land -- caused a

landslide. Had nothing to do with counting poles or counting

customers in the same way that Mr. Orsini said. So we're going

to be factually discussing Cantu in light of the various fires.

But I guess the question that I would ask Mr. Orsini or anyone on his side is which fires specifically are they bringing any inverse motions regarding?

12 THE COURT: I think he's -- didn't he identify the
13 fires in his letter? Did you read his letter?

14 MR. SIMON: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Those are -- aren't those the fires --

MR. SIMON: But --

17 THE COURT: -- Mr. Orsini? That you listed about ten

18 fires. Aren't they the ones?

MR. ORSINI: Those are the ones I'm aware of as I sit

20 here right now, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: So for all the other fires, it's the pure

22 legal question.

9

10

11

MR. ORSINI: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Right?

So, Mr. Simon, is that --

MR. SIMON: Yes, thank you, Your Honor. So in those fires, there will be factual issues with regard to, for example, any Cantu-type motion brought, whether or not it's on public use or private use.

THE COURT: I have to simplify this because, for reasons that many of you are quite familiar with, so I'm not going to repeat it, we're splitting this estimation process into various parts: part of it down the street, part of it up on the 19th floor, part of it here. And the argument on inverse condemnation, until a couple of days ago, was a pure legal question. And that seemed like an easy thing to fit into this schedule. If suddenly it becomes a factual question, then the question is well, how can it possibly work. I mean, I certainly can do my best to consider the law and apply it, but if there are facts in dispute, and I have no choice but to deny the motion, then everybody's lost all the effort to try to get this piece out of it. So whether I go up or down, whether I rule for or against the debtor, it's a component to the estimation equation or formula. But it's no contribution to the problem if the answer is motion denied because of facts in dispute.

MR. SIMON: Your Honor, the way --

THE COURT: So what do I do?

MR. SIMON: Again, I'll only throw out one situation.

25 Judge Buckley --

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 1 THE COURT: Yeah.
- 2 MR. SIMON: -- in complex --
- 3 THE COURT: That's what you said.
- 4 MR. SIMON: -- in Los Angeles Superior Court,
- 5 Department 10, the way he handled it with Edison is he asked
- 6 Edison to file their brief. Then he asked the plaintiffs in
- 7 that case to respond whether they thought there were factual
- 8 issues --
- 9 THE COURT: Right.
- 10 MR. SIMON: -- or whether they thought they could do
- 11 it legally.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. SIMON: And then he had a hearing on that issue.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. SIMON: So to me, I think that's the way --
- 16 THE COURT: Did he rule?
- MR. SIMON: -- to get it. Yeah, he ruled yesterday
- 18 | that he thought there were factual issues.
- THE COURT: So he --
- 20 MR. SIMON: In that particular case.
- 21 THE COURT: Great.
- MR. SIMON: Yeah.
- 23 THE COURT: I'll just adopt the decision of the
- 24 Supreme Court.
- MR. SIMON: I'll send you the ruling, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: No, I don't want the ruling.

2 MR. SIMON: I'm teasing. But my point was that I

3 believe that we need to see what the motion is.

THE COURT: Mr. Orsini, you don't have to get up. Are you -- is this motion for summary judgment doomed to be denied for this reason? I mean, can you figure out a way to get around it so we can do this efficiently?

MR. ORSINI: Your Honor, I fundamentally do not believe that there are any fact issues that will be in dispute or relevant to the motion that we proposed to file and the schedule we just described. We've done this twice in the state court. I was disappointed with the outcome of those, but I'm hoping third time's a charm.

THE COURT: Going for the hat trick.

MR. ORSINI: They were done on the state law equivalent of a motion to dismiss. There were not disputed fact issues that precluded the resolution of these arguments. There's a single fact that matters here, Your Honor. That single fact -- and I'm not asking you to agree with me right now because we're not arguing the merits, but there's one fact that matters that's not going to be disputed.

Mr. Kornberg's client holds the purse strings.

They're the ones who are able to decide whether or not we raise rates in order to cover losses associated with wildfires. And our argument is very simply that fact distinguishes us from

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 public utilities. And when you apply the law of the Supreme 2 Court of California, including as it confirmed in its most 3 recent decision on inverse about a week and a half ago, that 4 means it can't apply to PG&E. 5 But look, I have no doubt that they're going to make 6 some argument that there's a disputed fact in there. 7 don't want the issue decided quickly. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Simon, how much did -- Mr. Simon, what 9 was Judge Buckley's page requirement? How long were the 10 motions? How many pages? 11 MR. SIMON: I believe that they filed it in twenty 12 pages. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 And we did it in less than ten. MR. SIMON: 15 THE COURT: Mr. Orsini, I'll let --16 MR. ORSINI: I'll take it, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: I'll let you file your motion. We'll call 18 it a motion for summary judgment. It's in the context the 19 estimation and the process called claims estimation is a 20 little -- in bankruptcy terminology, as many of you know, it's 21 called a contested matter. Contested matters do lend 22 themselves to summary judgment or a partial summary judgment 2.3 motion.

You file your motion and support it. We'll stick with

24

1 And if you have facts -- you can make your argument without the

2 facts -- I mean, without any facts in dispute, so be it. If

3 your opponent raises facts in dispute, we'll deal with it that

4 way.

15

18

5 Mr. Julian, do you want to be heard, because you're

6 going to -- one of you will -- one of the people on your side

7 is going to be responding on this argument, so either you work

8 | that out --

9 MR. JULIAN: I'm going to bring it back to your August

10 | 20 order. It sets a briefing schedule on a pure legal issue

11 that does not deal with this Cantu stuff. The pure legal issue

12 that you ordered briefing on, which is fine as modified today,

is whether the bankruptcy court can determine that the

14 | California Supreme Court would overrule the California Court of

Appeal decisions on whether inverse condemnation applies to

16 investor-owned utilities. That's the only thing we're

17 | briefing, Your Honor, and Mr. Orsini, I believe, agrees it's a

legal issue. His brief is going to be due October 22.

19 THE COURT: 25.

MR. JULIAN: Ours is --

21 THE COURT: I thought we said 25. Didn't we say 25?

22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, 25.

23 THE COURT: 25.

MR. ORSINI: 25th.

MR. JULIAN: Oh, 25th?

- THE COURT: Yeah, 25.
- 2 MR. JULIAN: Our brief is due November 15 and their
- 3 reply brief --
- 4 THE COURT: Yeah.
- 5 MR. JULIAN: -- due thereafter as set forth. It
- doesn't have to do with this Cantu issue.
- 7 THE COURT: Well, I don't know if it does or doesn't.
- 8 Again, I don't know the law. But we'll stick with that
- 9 schedule, and if there are facts in dispute that make me deny
- 10 | the motion, I'll deny the motion if I have to --
- MR. JULIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: -- and without getting into the merits.
- Okay, we'll put that to bed.
- Let me see if it's -- well, we're here to talk
- 15 about --
- MR. MARSHACK: Excuse me, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Yeah. Yes. Yes, Mr. Marshack.
- MR. MARSHACK: You -- just to be clear, I believe your
- order provided that Mr. Orsini will file his brief, and then
- 20 TCC will file their brief.
- 21 THE COURT: Mr. Julian has to coordinate with other
- 22 | counsel. You and Mr. Tredinnick for the City wants to weigh
- 23 | in, and any other group, you just have to coordinate, so I get
- one brief.
- MR. MARSHACK: That is exactly my point. So subro,

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 us, and TCC. 2 THE COURT: Well, it's anybody who wants to oppose it. 3 I mean, it's a -- you're all experienced capable lawyers; you 4 can do it that way. 5 MR. MARSHACK: And are we --6 THE COURT: But the CCSF, through Mr. Tredinnick. 7 don't even know if -- seen him or if he's here. I mean --8 MR. MARSHACK: And we're held to the page limit, 9 correct? And --10 THE COURT: I'm going to modify the page limit, if 11 necessary. I just want to get to the issue. Okay. 12 So, Mr. Julian, are you or whoever works with you on 13 this subject -- I'll ask you to coordinate with other counsel, 14 so that there can be a joinder on the brief. 15 MR. JULIAN: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. I did ask for comments and 17 discussions from many of the litigation counsel. 18 If Mr. Pitre or Mr. Kelly are here particularly, I 19 don't -- Mr. Pitre, can you help me on this? You were partly 20 persuasive to get me to grant the relief you asked for. 21 tell me how to make it work. 22 So the first question is, have you filed anything with 23 the superior court yet? 24 MR. PITRE: Yes, Your Honor.

Okay.

THE COURT:

25

MR. PITRE: And I'm pleased to report that Ms. Cordova yesterday went down to the San Francisco superior court. With cooperation of PG&E, there was a stipulation that the hearing that we asked for on preference, will be set for September 16th --

THE COURT: Oh, okay.

MR. PITRE: -- in conjunction with a C&C in front of Judge Jackson. So that has been taken care of, Your Honor.

after I went home and made my decision, and thought about what comes next, including drafting this order for today, was what if your side gets cold feet and decides not to stick with the preference? I mean, I presume a preference plaintiff can waive the entitlement, but I got to make sure that that doesn't happen. I mean, obviously if there were personal reasons why you or any of the principal lawyers need to get some adjustments, that's a different story.

I would not be favorably impressed if you persuaded me to let this go for reasons that you said, only to have it sidetracked. So what can you do to assure me that that's not going to happen?

MR. PITRE: What I can say is that all the lawyers on the personal injury side have red-hot feet. There is no cold feet. We want that case to go forward. It's statutorily mandated.

1 THE COURT: Yeah, but it's only --

2 MR. PITRE: And you --

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

THE COURT: -- statutorily mandated if the person who claims it, claims it, right? Because --

MR. PITRE: Nobody is going to ask for an extension, and the only issue, Judge -- it's very simple. Will PG&E cooperate with the discovery that is necessary to have that case tried? And my suggestion, if I have an opportunity to speak to the superior court judge, is that if there is a delay, that there be a finding and a sanction and an evidentiary sanction, but that case will not be continued.

THE COURT: Well, I don't want to get into the subject of -- I don't like sanctioning lawyers, and particularly telling other courts to do it. My point is that Mr. Orsini and Mr. Karotkin and everybody on the debtor's side know that the way they can get in trouble with me is to hold up something because the way to pay the price is to slow it down here.

estimation to go forward. I'm perhaps lesser involved in that than at the last hearing, but that's not the point. And I don't speak for Judge Donato, he will decide for himself what he wants to do. But my guess is he will get the message loud and clear, as the entire populace of northern California has, about the urgency of making all these things come together.

So I'll take your word for it that that's going to

happen. The question then -- the second question is, I think, you were the one that said -- or maybe it was Mr. Kelly, I forget -- about why don't I pick up the phone and call the superior court judge. And I'm not inclined to do that, but what can or should I do, if anything, and if you say do nothing, that's fine, I'll take it. Any words of wisdom on that subject?

MR. PITRE: Well, Your Honor, I don't think there is anything different than Mr. Kelly had offered to the Court, which is, from time to time, if appropriate, walking across the street, and sitting in conjunction with Judge Jackson at a case management conference, suggestion only. Two, regular status reports by Mr. Kelly or I to this Court as to what is going on, so this Court knows exactly what is happening. You also have Mr. Orsini who can report, so the Court is kept abreast.

If the telephone doesn't work, sometimes what has been done by Judge Breyer and also by Judge Walker in the past, is to have a telephonic conference, where the judge phones in and listens to what's going on, so that the Court can be apprised of exactly what's happened. Those are the only suggestions I have.

THE COURT: Yeah, well, I, of course, feel strongly and appreciate those two judges, but we just have different styles. And I don't particularly enjoy or feel comfortable interfering with another judge's court, whether it be federal

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 or down the street at superior court. So I'm not going to do 2 that unless there's a reason. But the notion of getting up to 3 date, as you know, we have enough ongoing regular hearings 4 here, status conference, motions, and I don't mind getting a 5 status report from Mr. Orsini or Mr. Karotkin, or your side. 6 Mr. Julian's not bashful either. He knows how to tell me what 7 I need to know, so --8 MR. PITRE: Yeah, the first status conference would be 9 September 16th, and I will be pleased to provide a report as to 10 what happened, as well as Mr. Orsini or anybody else. 11 THE COURT: Well, is it your view that the judge at 12 that hearing will set the trial, or assign it out to the trial 13 judge --14 That is my expectation, Your Honor. MR. PITRE: 15 THE COURT: Okay. Okay, well, that's -- then that's 16 fine. You had made this point before about contacting the 17 judge, and the flip side is true. I mean, if a superior court 18 judge on a matter wants to communicate with this court, again, 19 that's fine. It gets more complicated when we have yet another federal court involved, because the critical thing is the 20 21 resolution of the estimation procedure and -- proceeding, and 22 how that goes about remains to be seen. 23 Okay, I'm --

97:010612914 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

-- happy with that explanation. Thank you

MR. PITRE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT:

24

25

- 1 | for coming --
- 2 MR. PITRE: Very well.
- 3 THE COURT: -- and telling me.
- 4 MR. PITRE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: All right, let's have --
- 6 MR. KORNBERG: May I just comment briefly --
- 7 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- 8 MR. KORNBERG: -- on this issue? So I obviously read
- 9 your decision on the lift stay very carefully, and in
- 10 particular, footnote 4, which I read as the Mr. Orsini
- 11 | cooperative footnote. So just to update the Court, as Mr.
- 12 Pitre said, there was -- there were two ex parte hearings
- 13 yesterday.
- 14 Effectively what happened is, the parties agreed to
- shorten the time for the briefing on whether or not they're
- lentitled to statutory preference and also the briefing on where
- 17 | the trial actually should be held. Your Honor may recall, our
- position is that it should be held in Sonoma, the county that
- 19 | was actually affected by the fire. Their position is, it
- 20 | should be held in San Francisco. We don't want that to delay
- 21 things. So that too will be taken up at the September 16th
- 22 conference.
- 23 In terms of --
- 24 THE COURT: But is it -- is your experience that the
- 25 | conference will be definitive on the motions?

1 MR. KORNBERG: I would --

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21

22

23

Case: 19-30088

THE COURT: The judge will be asked to rule on the motions.

4 MR. KORNBERG: I would expect so, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Whether she does or --

MR. KORNBERG: I never liked to, particularly on the record in another court, presume to say what a different court's going to do, but I think the court understands below the significance of the timing here. Certainly we had very good experiences with Judge Karnow, the pervious judge, who was overseeing these litigations to make quick decisions on these types of issues, so I agree with Mr. Pitre. I would expect that we'll know up/down on both of those issues come the 16th.

And just so the Court understands, what that means if I count my days right, is the latest the trial could be set to begin is January 14th.

17 THE COURT: But what about earlier?

MR. KORNBERG: It could be set earlier.

THE COURT: But could you be persuaded to cooperate in making it earlier if the plaintiffs want to do that?

MR. KORNBERG: I am willing to cooperate to set it as early as the plaintiffs are willing to. Of course, there's the question of what discovery needs to be taken --

24 THE COURT: Right.

Doc# 3738

MR. KORNBERG: -- and the physics of how quickly that

1 can be taken.

THE COURT: No, I understand that. Understand that.

MR. KORNBERG: Okay. The only other point, Your
Honor, on the question of communication and I've heard you loud
and clear as to what Your Honor's preferences are on that
front. The one area where I think there could be some utility
in the Court communicating its views, is on what is most
important to this Court in terms of the outcome of that trial.

And by that, I mean, when we were here roughly a week and a half ago, there was a discussion about how long the trial would last. There was the discussion about -- and the suggestion by the tort claimants, that potentially we could bifurcate the trial, so that we deal with the issue of causation, get the verdict on that, so you could plug it into the estimation process. That obviously would shorten the trial.

It may also allow us to get to that stage a little faster, because we don't have to go through the full-blown discovery on damages. We had proposed that stipulation to the trial lawyers, that we engage in the bifurcation, and in return, that we wouldn't even object to preferential trial setting. They've not been willing to agree to that, and instead have said that we need to work into the initial session, if we are bifurcating, some testimony from their clients on their specific damages.

That obviously complicates matters. It's going to delay issues. I don't think it gets to the sixty-four-million-dollar question, as Your Honor said, which is what really should be tried first, which is what caused the Tubbs fire.

Was it PG&E, and it was PG&E, was PG&E negligent? So I think Your Honor's views on that will be useful.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, we raised them before. That was the original proposal from your side, that I decide that before we ever even got to the Article III issue. And had I denied the motion for relief from stay, maybe I would've taken you up on it, but to me, it was once I was persuaded to grant relief from stay, that that problem switches venue.

MR. KORNBERG: It's someone else's --

THE COURT: Yeah, and --

MR. KORNBERG: Okay.

THE COURT: -- and similarly, the decision of involving the Article III judge is so critical now too, so I may have virtually no role in some of these things going forward. That's something that you all, principal lawyers, need to be prepared to discuss with Judge Donato the sooner the better, and maybe by now, he's issued his order. I don't know. I can't -- don't make it a habit of telling him what to do either. But he does know that -- he informed me that he will be doing a status conference shortly. So I encourage you to discuss with him the timing of the estimation process, and

1 what's going on in the superior court as well, so.

2 MR. KORNBERG: And would that include Your Honor -- I
3 just want to make sure I have a clear understanding as to what

4 we're covering today versus at that conference. Is Your

5 Honor's anticipation that we would be covering with Judge

6 Donato the question of not only when the estimation will occur,

7 but what it looks like, whether it's our proposal or --

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I think so. I mean, I think -- look, I mean, one of the things that's so awkward about this, is there's not a playbook on how to do this, and so then -- and for reasons I've tried to explain in my recommendation for a withdrawal that the court accepted, is that I didn't wish to take on the responsibility of making the call on such things as I deferred on emotional distress as part of personal injury or not, was that the trier of fact has to make that call. It's

MR. KORNBERG: Right.

the same with the burden of proof question in this.

THE COURT: And the same with who goes forward. The same with how to conduct it. Is it an evidentiary hearing? I mean, is it a -- which side goes first. Is it -- how can the trial judge not be in charge of that process? So --

MR. KORNBERG: And to be clear, Your Honor, we don't disagree with that. My experience is if a trial judge is going to preside over a trial, they want to have a voice in what that trial looks like.

1 THE COURT: That's right. And --

2 MR. KORNBERG: So I just wanted to clarify.

THE COURT: And that includes the suggestion that came up in the prior hearing on whether we bifurcate the subrogation claims from the victims' claims, because insurance companies don't suffer personal injury or emotional distress, but that doesn't mean that there isn't one fire and one set of facts.

MR. KORNBERG: Understood.

THE COURT: So I think Judge Donato's going to make the call on that, and he'll take all the advice you can give him, I think.

MR. KORNBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, so on one of the items that I had,

I'll defer the question of discovery for a moment. I put on my

list, role of the Article III judge. I've just given you the

role. I've told Judge Donato a little bit of background. I'm

not going to tell him what to do. It's not appropriate for a

variety of reasons. And I'm sure he wouldn't listen to me

anyway, but he has to make the decisions -- all these critical

decisions -- because if he were a -- or I were a ventriloquist,

and I had him as a puppet, that would be a strange procedure.

That's not the procedure.

So I'll take out of order the question of mediation.

Some of the people who have filed papers have suggested that there's something to be done. I am not bashful in other cases

1 to ask parties if they've talked about mediation. Here I don't

2 even want to invite or pin anyone down. I suspect that

3 | there -- if there's mediation being considered, you don't need

4 me to tell you to do it. And if it's not being considered,

5 maybe my telling you wouldn't be helpful anyway.

But certainly nothing comes out as a big what-about as that, and so I simply leave open either for me, or for Judge Donato, or both of us, or neither of us, to -- for someone to raise the question and tell me how either or both of us can be helpful in the process. And I don't even need a response now, unless somebody wants to respond to me.

Mr. Julian?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JULIAN: Your Honor, I'd like to address what we had briefed in our estimation brief on core versus noncore, and then address where we are today.

So in our August 7th estimation brief, we sent a clear signal that we believed that it would be most expeditious and economical to stay in this court as far as we could on property damage claims, and put off the personal injury to the future, and maybe it would not be necessary. Our intent in saying that was to maximize this Court's adjudication under core jurisdiction.

And in the last paragraph -- second to last paragraph of our brief, we stated we preserve the argument or the position on noncore jurisdiction, and we used the word preserve

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 for a reason, which was that we were not objecting at that time 2 to core proceeding on everything, but we were preserving it, 3 because as we stated on pages, I think, 2 and 15 of the brief, 4 we simply have to have an identification of the legal and 5 factual basis from PG&E, as to why they're denying liability, in order to address scope of the proceeding, discovery, and 6 7 core versus noncore. 8 And let me address the third point now. 9 because when you raise the issue of whether or not the parties 10 would consent to core jurisdiction, we had to go to our eleven-11 person layman's --12 THE COURT: Yeah, I don't -- I didn't ask for 13 anybody --14 MR. JULIAN: No, but --15 THE COURT: -- to explain why it was done. It was 16 done. 17 MR. JULIAN: We preserve the argument, and I want you 18 to know the position, because we still believe it's better to 19 maximize this court if it can be done. 20 21

And so when the question was asked of the TCC, can you consent to core, I have to explain to them the legal and factual issues that are going to be subject to core. And at that point, I couldn't do it. So the way I interpret your order, is you are going to issue a -- we are going to have a pre-trial conference, and you're going to essentially manage

22

23

24

25

this case under case management procedures, and get it up to the pre-trial conference, and then at that time ship it over to the federal court or not.

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

The reason why I raise this is because we -- TCC, and especially me -- view the pre-trial conference as much like a pre-trial conference in the district court, where someone has requested a jury trial. The district -- at the pre-trial conference, the district judge says, are we going for a jury trial or not? Similarly, if you're still of a mind, we would make a decision later of whether or not this case has been pre-tried or narrowed by various rulings, such that it makes sense not to bifurcate proceedings and have everything here.

So we still anticipate doing that. That's what I intended to do in our estimation brief, to say we need identification of the issues first, to determine core versus noncore, and consents. If you've already made the decision, though, no matter what --

THE COURT: Well, look, the train left the station.

MR. JULIAN: Okay, that's fine.

THE COURT: I raised the question, and my recollection is, I raised it without anybody even discussing it. And I don't recall anybody offered it as an option, but I raised it on my own, not because I was looking for the work, or because I have a particular outcome in mind, but because it seemed to be most efficient. And so I took it upon myself to ask the

question. Is there consent? And I don't -- I didn't know want to know then, and I still don't want to know why I didn't get consent. I didn't get consent, and I felt no choice but to

toss the ball to the district court in the way I did.

And the fact of the matter is that the law is unsettled on what does the statute mean for purposes of confirmation versus purposes of distribution. What does the law say about personal injury tort and wrongful death, about emotional distress, and all the things that go with it?

MR. JULIAN: I understand.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

THE COURT: And I was not prepared to take the risk for the victims frankly, or the insurance companies to a lesser degree, of deciding upon myself that I should do that only to be wrong, when it's so easy to have an Article III judge do it. Easy in the sense that I don't get to that question, because of that. So that's why I felt I had no choice. If it -- what does that mean to me? Nothing, it means to me. It means that I have less work to do. But I mean, if all the parties want to persuade Judge Donato to send it back, he can do it.

MR. JULIAN: Is your --

21 THE COURT: But I don't really want to discuss that.

22 I'll just do it when I'm asked to do.

MR. JULIAN: Is your intent to send the inverse claim, if it stays in the case, which deals only with property damage to Judge Donato along with the other claims?

1 THE COURT: The inverse condemnation is just a legal

2 question.

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

MR. JULIAN: No, the inverse -- the calculation of damages on the inverse claim. Did you intend to keep the calculation --

THE COURT: I haven't even thought about it. I mean,

if I --

8 MR. JULIAN: I see.

THE COURT: -- I mean, what -- where should it be?

You tell me. Suppose I announce that Mr. Orsini is wrong, and
the law is what your side says it is. What's the marching
order for the estimation judge?

MR. JULIAN: As I read your withdrawal of reference order, as I read it, the estimation of damages on the inverse claim is in this court, and the estimation of damages on the negligence combined personal injury would be in front of Judge Donato.

18 THE COURT: I don't think I meant it that way. I
19 mean, I --

MR. JULIAN: Oh.

21 THE COURT: I mean --

MR. JULIAN: If it's all in front of Judge Donato,

23 then I understand.

24 THE COURT: I mean, to me it makes no sense to
25 bifurcate. It's not efficient. In other words, I didn't think

of it in terms of the inverse claim. I think of it in terms of the property damage claim. So if there had been no personal injuries in this case, or -- by personal injury, I mean all the horrible things that go with it from trauma to emotional distress to death, and this had only been about people's houses or their cars or their trees burning, I don't think we ever would have -- we never would have had a discussion about core and noncore, would we?

MR. JULIAN: No.

THE COURT: We wouldn't have. That's right. By the way, a little on the side, you probably know this. I learned from Judge Carlson who was involved in some of the drafting of this bill in 1984. The add-on for the district judge came from the asbestos plaintiffs' bar out of nowhere. It wasn't something that was even discussed at the staffing level when they were putting together this whole concept of core and noncore, which I might add began in San Francisco around a table in the bankruptcy court here, the whole concept of core and noncore.

And so I asked him a little background, where did this come from? Well, it came from certain groups that wanted to have an Article III judge. So I had no option in my mind to gamble on the rights of the parties, and if lawyers want to persuade Judge Donato to bifurcate it, so that I -- I'm only dealing with personal property and property damage losses, I

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 quess that's the right thing to do, but it seems the wrong 2 thing to do, because it's one fire, and one set of damages, 3 that caused all kinds of -- well, times twenty-two, maybe 4 there's some that didn't have personal injury components, but 5 that's not the point. 6 So that was the point. I had no -- I didn't feel a 7 choice to do it, so. 8 MR. JULIAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: I'll leave it at that. 10 Anybody else want to be heard on any subject? 11 MR. PASCUZZI: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Pascuzzi? 13 MR. PASCUZZI: Your Honor, I don't know if we're in or 14 at your other concerns part of your order, but I do have one 15 that I raised. Paul Pascuzzi, we're co-counsel with the 16 California Attorney General's Office for the state agencies on 17 our pleading at docket 3421, like CAL FIRE, Office of Emergency 18 Services, Department of Toxic Substances Control, Department of 19 Veteran's Affairs, California State University, and State 20 Parks. Your Honor, I raised the issue before that certain 21 state agency claims are not fire victim claims. 22 THE COURT: Right. 2.3 MR. PASCUZZI: They are --

MR. PASCUZZI: -- cost recovery -- while they could be

THE COURT: You did.

24

25

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 called fire related and the motion, debtor's estimation motion, 2 is very broad in talking about fire-related claims, our claims 3 are not property-damage/personal-injury claims. To be clear, 4 there may be a handful of state agencies that have property 5 damage claims, but when we're talking about CAL FIRE, 6 Department of Toxic Substances Control, and the Office of 7 Emergency Services, these are different types of claims. And 8 we don't think they should be part of the estimation procedure. 9 The -- for one reason, if I use CAL FIRE as an 10 example, those claims are liquidated --11 THE COURT: Well, yeah, you said before, they're 12 liquidated. So they're --13 MR. PASCUZZI: They're liquidated. 14 THE COURT: -- by definition they're not estimated. 15 MR. PASCUZZI: But we need to be clear, and I need to 16 know that, before we go off into discovery land and into 17 proceedings for the district court, and I think there needs to 18 be some clarity, because recall the debtor's motion or reply said that they dispute our claim, so therefore they're 19 20 unliquidated and subject to estimation, so. 21 THE COURT: Well, disputing doesn't mean unliquidated. 22 MR. PASCUZZI: I agree with you on that. 2.3 THE COURT: It means disputed. 24 MR. PASCUZZI: I agree with you, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Well, ask Mr. Orsini, do you have -- can

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 you shed light on this? What's your take on California 2 agencies cost recovery claims? MR. ORSINI: That they are unliquidated and therefore 3 4 need to be part of the estimation motion. 5 THE COURT: Why are they unliquidated if --6 MR. ORSINI: Because we --7 THE COURT: -- they paid -- if they had a certain 8 fixed amount to fix the problem? 9 MR. ORSINI: Well, there's some piece of it that is 10 fixed amount to fix the problem, but the same is true frankly 11 with respect to the subros, paying out whatever they paid out. 12 There's a fundamental question as to whether or not they're 13 entitled to those claims. They're only --14 THE COURT: That means they're disputed, not 15 unliquidated. 16 MR. ORSINI: No, I understand that, but we would also 17 challenge how much was actually spent. We do not accept that 18 whatever they've spent is --19 THE COURT: That's disputed. MR. ORSINI: -- is what in --20 21 THE COURT: That's not unliquidated. That's disputed. 22 That's what the case law says. 2.3 MR. ORSINI: Your Honor, I think --24 THE COURT: You can have any number of disputes. 25 can say you owe them nothing. But it's liquidated, because the

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 claimant says, this is what I'm owed to the penny. And if you 2 dispute it, you dispute it. I mean, I think -- isn't that your 3 point? 4 MR. PASCUZZI: Yes, Your Honor. 5 That's what the Ninth Circuit has said on THE COURT: 6 cases. It comes up in Chapter 13 eligibility. It comes up in 7 a number of other questions. I can't remember that it's come 8 up here before. 9 MR. ORSINI: Well, the other point I'll make, Your 10 Honor, is it's the exact same factual issues that are going to 11 have to be dealt with --12 THE COURT: Well, I understand that. 13 MR. ORSINI: -- and legal issues. And so from our 14 perspective, whether you want to characterize the resolution of 15 those claims as an objection to those claims, in which case, 16 we'd be happy to object to those claims, or as part of the 17 estimation process. What really fundamentally does not make 18 sense --19 THE COURT: Well, but, Mr. Orsini --20 MR. ORSINI: -- is to run two separate processes. 21 THE COURT: -- let's think about the -- that sometimes 22 we're stuck with the law, right. So if Mr. Pascuzzi's many 23 clients file proofs of claim, which they will, you have the 24 right to object. So let's just pick one claim. He files one

claim for one agency, for \$5,647,256.18, you can deny

25

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. liability -- every penny and object to the claim. 1 2 MR. ORSINI: We can, Your Honor. I think --3 THE COURT: Now, I'll concede to you that it's maybe 4 not efficient to have a separate trial if that damage claim 5 came from one of the fires that you're litigating, but it's just a liquidated disputed claim. And I don't know what to 6 7 tell you, except that's the law. It's not unliquidated. 8 Mr. Karotkin, different opinion? 9 And this is important, because it shows up in Chapter 10 13 all the time. We talk about people's eligibility to be in 11 Chapter 13, because of their liquidated -- and it's always 12 getting confused between liquidated and unliquid -- and 13 contingent. But your take? 14 MR. KAROTKIN: I don't think just because he states an 15 amount that he's owed, it's a liquidated claim. He thinks it's 16 liquidated. But it's still a disputed, contingent claim 17 that --18 THE COURT: It's not contingent. 19 MR. KAROTKIN: -- that has to be --20 THE COURT: The fire -- you're --21 MR. KAROTKIN: It's contingent as to our liability. 22 No, Mr. Karotkin. You are confusing three THE COURT: 23 concepts. The Ninth Circuit and the BAP, and I would assume in 24 your part of the world, know the difference between contingent,

disputed, and unliquidated. They're three different concepts.

25

MR. KAROTKIN: Your Honor, that means any fire

2 claimant can come in and say, I'm owed five billion dollars,

3 and that's liquidated --

4 THE COURT: No.

5 MR. KAROTKIN: -- and doesn't have to be estimated.

THE COURT: No, no, the Ninth Circuit has told us what that means. It's a sum -- readily ascertainable as a sum

8 certain.

claim.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

9 MR. KAROTKIN: But it's not.

morning. I was curious as to what the number of the claims are. And I noticed on the claims docket, in Prime Clerk, there is a person who recently filed a claim for 16 -- 160 million, or maybe it's 16 million. And that sounds like an unliquidated

But if he's got a claim down to the penny, it can be disputed, but it's liquidated.

MR. KAROTKIN: But, Your Honor, in order to get from here to confirmation, if we object to that claim, it has to be either liquidated or estimated.

THE COURT: No, it doesn't. It can be objected to.

MR. KAROTKIN: And it's objected to, how do we get to

confirmation, if it's not resolved?

24 THE COURT: You put -- whatever you don't count his vote, but you anticipate him.

Mr. Pascuzzi, what do you do when you have a claim
objected and somebody wants to confirm a plan over you? What
do you do?

MR. PASCUZZI: Your Honor, there's a temporary allowance.

THE COURT: A temporary allowance, you have a temporary allowance or you have a vote, or it doesn't matter if he's outvoted. I mean, there are a number of tools in the toolbox, but you can't reinvent the definition.

MR. KAROTKIN: Well, I respectfully --

11 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KAROTKIN: -- disagree.

THE COURT: You can disagree. But I mean, the Ninth Circuit has a number of cases on this point.

So, I mean, I don't know but, Mr. Pascuzzi, in fairness to the other side, if your various agencies are all over the place with liquidated and unliquidated, and it all starts at a fire at one location, maybe there is a way to be more efficient about this.

MR. PASCUZZI: Yes, Your Honor. And the claims haven't been filed yet, so -- but primarily, I'm talking about cost recovery claims of CAL FIRE, which are very detailed to the penny. They're treated like contract claims. They're so liquidated, they're even entitled to pre-judgment interest under state law from the time they demand.

THE COURT: Well, the Ninth Circuit says readily ascertainable, right?

MR. PASCUZZI: Exactly.

4 THE COURT: So --

MR. PASCUZZI: So the other costs, emergency response costs by the Office of Emergency Services, the Department of Toxic Substances Control will have clean up and environmental remediation types costs, these aren't the types of claims — they're not fire victim claims. Your Honor, there may be — there probably will be some property damage claims for some of the state agencies. And I'm not going to concede, but maybe those will be — need to be in the estimation process, but I kind of need to know ahead of time, as to our role in this process.

THE COURT: Well, look, the only thing I can say is, I believe you and disagree with Mr. Karotkin on this legal principal, but I have to keep an open mind, if there's an error about it.

But you don't have to decide today, do you? When the claims deadline comes, that will be fish or cut bait. If you don't file the claims, you got problems. And if you file one claim that's down to the penny and another claim that's all in zeros, maybe you will persuade your opponent to treat them separately. Maybe it will have to be teed up with a real record and a real set of facts, early rather than later. This

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 sounds like something that's properly in this court --2 MR. PASCUZZI: Yes. 3 THE COURT: -- not an Article III determination. 4 MR. PASCUZZI: I agree with that, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: And I believe it would be fair to hear 6 both sides, and then say your claim is not subject to 7 estimation for blah-blah. 8 Look, you know what else is true? If the Tubbs jury 9 comes in and finds that for plaintiff A, either that plaintiff 10 gets zero or gets twenty million dollars, in either case that 11 claim is liquidated, period. But you can still take it into 12 account as part of the estimation, that's all. 13 MR. PASCUZZI: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 MR. PASCUZZI: I believe Mr. Troy from the U.S. DOJ's 16 office is on the phone. He had a similar issue for the United 17 States of America. 18 And then the other thing, Your Honor, I wanted to talk 19 about is the discovery for CAL FIRE, but I'm putting that off. 20 THE COURT: Well, but I'm -- we've gone for three 21 hours --22 MR. PASCUZZI: Understood. 23 THE COURT: -- with everybody, so I'm going to hear 24 from Mr. Troy, and then I'm going to take a -- probably a long 25 break rather than a short break. I'll see if there's any sense

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 about whether we should make it a shorter break, but some of us 2 would like a personal break. 3 So, Mr. Troy, do you want to be heard? 4 MR. TROY: Yes, Your Honor, thank you. 5 Matthew Troy, Department of Justice Civil Division on 6 behalf of various federal agencies. 7 Essentially, Your Honor, we agree wholeheartedly with 8 Mr. Pascuzzi's comments. And we have, the federal government, 9 very similar type claims, agree with your comments in response 10 to that in the colloquy with Debtors' counsel. 11 And I think as has been pointed out by Ms. Dumas early 12 at the outset of this hearing, the federal government will have 13 a significant amount of claims in this case that are related to 14 the wildfires but are not truly wildfire claims as the debtor 15 is proposing to address in its estimation motion. 16 As Mr. Pascuzzi said, the United States is not a 17 victim of the federal (sic) fires. In large part, their claims 18 will be for cost recovery of financial assistance and direct 19 assistance provided in response to the wildfires. 20 THE COURT: But, Mr. --21 MR. TROY: They might have some component --22 THE COURT: Mr. Troy, they might be unliquidated. 23 other words, if your -- I take it that you're in the

FEMA -- you're covering FEMA, for example, right?

MR. TROY: Yes, sir.

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. So if FEMA paid out or expended tens of millions of dollars dealing with the fire to do its job, it may be that it hasn't quantified its claim yet. That sounds like an unliquidated claim to me.

MR. TROY: I would submit, Your Honor, that in large part they won't be. But the bar -- the proof of claim will be the proof of that.

THE COURT: Right. Look, I didn't know -- okay --

MR. TROY: And so --

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

THE COURT: -- I got it, proof of claim.

MR. TROY: My only point, Your Honor, is, one, to at least some extent, if not a substantial extent, the United States claims will not be subject to an estimation procedure, because in the United States' view, they are liquidated.

Secondly, to the extent that Your Honor looks at the proofs of claims and agrees with the debtor to the extent that they are unliquidated and therefore subject to an estimation process, the Government's position is that those claims should not be part of this estimation process that the debtors are proposing on their estimation motion. The United States claims are significantly different in character, both on legal liability theory and the nature of the damage, than what is being addressed principally by the debtors' estimation motion, which is individual tort claimants' damages.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Troy, thank you.

All right. Here's what I'm going to do. There must be somebody in the courtroom besides the judge and his courtroom deputy that would like to take a personal break. And if not, you can all sit there while we take a break.

Mr. Karotkin or Mr. Orsini, on my agenda there's just one item left, but it's a big one. Do you want me to take a fifteen-minute break or an hour-and-fifteen-minute break, because, I mean, I'm not trying to kill people, and we want to get it done.

MR. ORSINI: I think from our perspective, fifteen minutes would be preferable, instead of an hour and fifteen minutes.

THE COURT: Okay. Because I would think that the conversation is going to be a little shorter than I thought it was going to be based upon what we talked about, based upon the fact that you're going to be seeing Judge Donato here shortly.

MR. ORSINI: I think that's right, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I won't take votes on this.

19 I'll take Mr. Orsini's suggestion.

I will take a fifteen-minute break for everyone's personal convenience. And I will take up my thoughts on how to deal with this last issue, this estimation liquidation question that two specific counsel mentioned. And then I'll give you my thoughts on something about estimation. And then we'll figure out what to do after that.

1 So thank you. I'll see you in a few minutes.

2 MR. ORSINI: Thank you, Your Honor.

3 (Recess from 12:33 p.m., until 12:53 p.m.)

4 THE CLERK: All rise.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

Case: 19-30088

5 THE COURT: Okay. Please remain seated.

So let's take a couple of housekeeping matters.

In looking at the calendar again and thinking about Mr. Karotkin's expectation of a filing a plan by the 9th, it makes -- it just wouldn't be time efficient for trying to discuss it the next day. That's just too soon for people to absorb whatever he has to say.

Mr. Karotkin, I presume that date is pretty inflexible, if not too much -- I mean, I'm not going to push you to do it earlier. Is there any chance of doing it earlier, or is that just not reasonable?

MR. KAROTKIN: It's not reasonable.

17 THE COURT: Okay. No, I take your word for it.

So, Ms. Parada, the next calendar we have is the 24th,

19 right? Oh, I'm sorry, we have our dates here.

Well, I mean, do you agree with me, all of you principal lawyers? I mean, you don't want to try to talk about what to do about this plan that was filed twelve hours earlier or something like that, right, agree?

IN UNISON: We agree.

THE COURT: Okay.

Doc# 3738

1 MR. KAROTKIN: I completely agree.

THE COURT: Yeah. All right. Then I'll put it on the calendar. For lack of a better term, we'll call it status conference on Debtors' plan on September 24th. And I don't -- I won't ask for objections or anything. It will be in the nature of where do we go from here. We'll have some more -- perhaps there'll be more guidance on what's going on, both at the Superior Court and in Judge Donato's court.

And by the way, on that subject, I was surprised when I checked during the break that Judge Donato has not yet posted the order that I thought he was going to post it. I just -- I have no comment on that, other than I'm sure it will happen soon.

And then on the subject that we just talked about on this estimation versus liquidated/unliquidated, I'm sorry for imposing on lawyers who aren't familiar with it to act like we think we know it here. It's just -- it's a Chapter 13 concept that is ingrained in the system of keeping distinction of unliquidated, disputed, and contingent separate.

And so I believe when a fire occurs, that's the contingency. When a claimant states what it's owed, that is the liquidation of the claim. And when an opponent like the debtor objects on whatever ground, that's the basis of the dispute. And the statute allows estimation of unliquidated and contingent claims, not disputed claims.

Now, that being said, it's not fair to the debtors,

Debtors' counsel, or anyone else that might believe that this

case requires something different. So I believe, as I thought

about it during this break, that I'll be open to letting the

matter be briefed and dealt with.

To those of you who will be discussing scheduling with Judge Donato, I think it's fair to defer to me on that determination of what should be included or excluded from the estimation in that category, because that's a legal issue. That's not at all the same as to whether one estimation process should include the personal injuries suffered by a personal injury victim, emotional distress suffered by that victim or another victim, or property damage to that victim's home or possessions in one fire.

It gets more attenuated if -- as I said before, if you have someone who suffered no personal-type injuries or emotional-distress-type injuries, but only property damages, but it's still a fire times twenty-one or twenty-two fires. So I -- consistent with what my thinking is, that would not be efficient to bifurcate it into parts.

So I left it with Mr. Julian before the break, if somebody wants to revisit that question, that's for another day; it's not for me to deal with. And I will welcome from the debtor, if it's necessary, a motion to determine what is or isn't appropriate for the estimation process when -- this

1 notion of liquidated versus disputed.

What's left on my agenda for today, but again I don't mean to say that if there's something else that should be brought up, is this question of the discovery. And, once again, what I wrote is what discovery necessary, what is the time frame preparatory to the scheduling of the final estimation. The more I think about it, the second half of that question really belongs with another judge now. But I will give you a thought at least that came to mind, because one of the issues that I think Mr. Orsini on the one hand, and I believe it's the ad hoc committee on the other, perhaps differ on is this notion of burden of proof.

I did a little bit of research on this subject, and you'll be guided by the following opening sentence from a decision. This is from a Pennsylvania Bankruptcy Court decision in 2007 called Frascella, In re Frascella. And the court said, "Estimation is at best an imprecise and uncharted process. The Bankruptcy Code and Rules provide no guidance on how to proceed." That's very encouraging.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor. We have that issue resolved.

THE COURT: Very encouraging.

And then it goes on to say how the court -- that court likens it to the claims objection process. And that's my thinking too. And I will add the following personal note. The

Frascella court ended by citing as authority for its

proposition a case called In re Heath that I wrote for the BAP.

So any time another court cites a decision of mine to support its decision, that obviously is a well thoughtful (sic) and on-

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

point decision.

But the point is that I believe the proper outcome here for the estimation process is to engage in what would have happened if the claimants had filed their proofs of claim or will file their proofs of claim by the deadline. They would presumably -- if it were a case that was the subject of a prior settlement, the 2015 trial fire where PG&E agreed to pay a fixed amount to someone and just hasn't been paid, that claimant would have not only an undisputed claim, but clearly a liquidated claim. Where we're talking about the vast majority of the claims in this case, where they are unliquidated for the most part and disputed in a generic sense, when PG&E does not admit to liability for any of these fires, that would be fair game in my mind for estimation because of the unliquidated nature, not because of -- a particular claim might be disputed.

The fact of the matter is in any mass tort or any case that I'm aware of where there are multiple claims, there is always a fringe number of people whose claims are completely frivolous, and those are the kind of claims that can be dealt with in the estimation or the objection -- excuse me, the objection process. For here, we have a vast number of claims

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

that are not frivolous, but the debtors may question and
dispute the liability. But, certainly, if the liability's
established, then it's perfectly okay to estimate the
unliquidated amount.

Case: 19-30088

Doc# 3738

So in any case, whether it was one claimant or 30,000 claimants, if there is an objection to a claim, that is the prima facie statement; the law and the rules presume a duly filed claim is deemed allowed. And the case law then says if the debtor or trustee in a Chapter 7 case or in a Chapter 11 case objects, that is a prima facie challenge to that claim. And then you -- the stage is set for an adjudication, and you then go to the traditional burdens of proof of the claimant going forward.

So in a hypothetical case, if the claimant files a proof of claim that said I'm owed X dollars -- it doesn't matter whether it's liquidated or unliquidated -- and supports it with some basis on which that claimant asserts the entitlement: promissory note, judgment, complaint, something like that; and then the objecting party rebuts that by an objection based upon something. Not just I object because I object, but I object because satisfaction, I didn't do it, you compromised, discharged, whatever defense, then that rebuts the prima facie case. And at trial, the plaintiff has the burden of going forward.

I believe that's the same proper approach. And this

Frascella case that I cited, it's a bankruptcy court from 2007, and it's at 360 B.R. 435. And I believe the message from there is that for estimation, you treat it like a -- like you would do it on a claim objection.

That's another way of saying that when the debtor files its -- or its estimation and says we didn't cause the fire even if our equipment was the source of ignition, which -- excuse me. Even if our equipment was the cause of fire, we are not liable for the fire, we are not legally liable under any theory, then that frames the issue and then the burden of going forward is on the claimant.

So, in my view, when the debtors respond to the basic questions that the TCC and the subrogation committee and some of the individual fire groups have said, show us your theory. In other words, when Mr. Orsini at the last hearing said, we do not concede liability, only causation, then I think a number of the lawyers then and a number of the lawyers now have said well, show me what it is, what is your theory. And I believe that's the proper thing.

So my sense is that in order to deal with the discovery process, that Mr. Orsini and his side have to make the prima facie -- have to pretend, if you will, engage in what they would do if they were faced with all the claims that they are now asking be estimated. They would respond presumably by saying, we don't owe it for the following reasons: inverse

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. condemnation, legal question. Causation, Tubbs fire, exonerated by the CAL Forest. The other fires -- Camp and the other fires, we were the ignition source, but we didn't cause -- we are not liable for the following reason. And then some explanation.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

And then if I were presiding over the estimation trial, which again, for those of you that are not bankruptcy lawyers, this is not a traditional trial; it is an estimation in a procedural way that the trial court -- the estimating court is given broad discretion on how you proceed, who -- how long, and what degree of proof, and so on. And at the end of the day, the estimator determines, well, I agree with the debtors' argument, and therefore I estimate the claim at zero. Or I agree with the victims and the evidence supports that the Tubbs fire and all these other fires were the legal responsibility of PG&E, and therefore the estimation goes to the other extreme, or somewhere in between. So that's the process.

Now, with that intro, we turn to the question of well, what should we be doing about discovery. Mr. Orsini has complained about some of the ways that the TCC has responded or has initiated discovery. But he, on the other hand, in his August 24th letter, has said we'll give you all this information.

I haven't -- certainly haven't studied that

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 information, but I have read his letter. And I believe his 2 letter invites and says -- let me -- excuse me for one minute. 3 It says, we've provided this 300 pages of this, and 800 4 interrogatories of that, and we've given access to this 5 database, and here's what you need to know. I don't know 6 whether that's sufficient, but to me it's partway towards 7 responding to the very basic written interrogatories that the 8 TCC has served on the debtors that says, tell me why you're 9 liable -- or tell me why you're not liable, and tell me why we 10 don't have claims. 11 So that's a rambling way of -- Mr. Orsini, of telling 12 me -- telling you I think you've got to -- you've got to come 13 out and lay on the line what is your legal theory -- are your 14 legal theories. And then that will switch the burden. If we 15 were having the trial, you would have done it, and they would 16 have to prove their case. So take it from there. 17 MR. ORSINI: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 For the record, Kevin Orsini from Cravath for the 19 debtors. Understand your points, Your Honor. Certainly agree 20 on the burden of proof part. 21 22 With respect to identifying the bases for our denial 23 of liability, I candidly don't think it's that complicated. 24

MR. ORSINI: With respect to the North Bay fires in

THE COURT: Okay.

25

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 particular, we've litigated those cases for over a year and a 2 half in state court. Among the materials that we provided to 3 the debtors -- I'm sorry, we are the debtors -- to the TCC in 4 that letter was -- were all the interrogatory responses, 5 request for admission responses that detail where we are. 6 Also, just stepping back, the general idea, the 7 concept, the complaint that they don't know what our position 8 are, I think is refuted by their own filings. If you go back 9 to their objections to the estimation hearing, they had two 10 appendices, Appendix A and B, which I have no doubt Your Honor 11 has fully committed to memory. 12 But Appendix A went through the legal elements of 13 their claims. Appendix B went through the disputed factual 14 issues. 15 It's just a long way of saying, they know what the 16 issues are. 17 THE COURT: Well, but what about the short way of 18 answering interrogatories 1 and 2? MR. ORSINI: And, Your Honor, I believe we've done 19 20 that, frankly, by providing the information we've already 21 provided. We will provide formal responses to those 22 interrogatories that incorporate those prior statements. 2.3 THE COURT: But that closes the book --24 MR. ORSINI: Yes, I agree. 25 THE COURT: That closes the chapter on what -- your

- 1 theory.
- 2 MR. ORSINI: I agree, Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: You can't come up with another theory next
- 4 week on something else.
- 5 MR. ORSINI: I understand.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay.
- 7 MR. ORSINI: I understand.
- 8 THE COURT: Well, I want to see if you're in
- 9 agreement.
- MR. ORSINI: I think I've closed most of my theories a
- 11 long time ago in responding to interrogatory requests.
- 12 THE COURT: You may have, and I haven't memorized
- 13 them. But I just want to make sure we're on the same page.
- MR. ORSINI: We're on the same page there, Your Honor.
- One other note, I just want to -- well, two other
- points.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

- With respect to discovery, I think Your Honor's right
- 18 | that what we provided that's referenced in my August 24th
- 19 letter is a significant amount of information that goes a long
- 20 way towards swinging the pendulum in the way Your Honor's
- 21 described. That is not the sum total of what we've agreed to
- do with respect to discovery.
- 23 You may recall during the long discovery status
- conference we had a couple of weeks ago, there were references
- 25 to the letter that Mr. Macon had sent me back in July. It was

about an eighteen-page discovery letter that was sent sort of both by the state subrogation trial lawyers and the state individual-plaintiff lawyers.

THE COURT: I -- it's hard to remember.

MR. ORSINI: And we've now responded to that. We have said that -- for example, they had identified eighty -- more than eighty fact depositions they wanted to take. We've said fine, we won't object to those eighty fact depositions.

They've said they want a number of what are effectively Rule 30(b)(6) depositions on specified topics. We've said sure. We understand there will be some key issues, like our vegetation management programs, like de-energization. We obviously need the notices so we could all get comfortable with the scope of the deposition. Standard process procedure.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. ORSINI: And we've also identified dozens of outstanding discovery requests that we've agreed to respond to. Haven't gotten response to that yet; I'm sure we'll meet and confer on that.

I did receive last night another letter from the TCC, another eighteen-page letter, that itemized discovery they want. I'm trying to figure out how those two letters work together. There was a request that I respond with my position on all that discovery by today. Needless to say, that hasn't and won't happen. But we're working through those issues.

And then more generally, there's obviously going to be discovery going the other way. None of that, I don't think, is ripe for today.

THE COURT: But it's discovery of -- I mean, not discovery of individual claimants; not by --

MR. ORSINI: So two things, Your Honor.

One, with respect to the third -- the subrogation insurers, we need discovery into their underlying claims files to make sure that they actually have validity to those claims.

10 THE COURT: No, I understand.

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

MR. ORSINI: So we're pushing forward on that, which they --

THE COURT: Is that something of predicate to the estimation?

MR. ORSINI: I think it absolutely is, Your Honor. We have to be able to show what it is that they actually have paid out. In fact, they --

THE COURT: But if a victim lost a house worth a million dollars, and an insurer paid them 800,000 dollars, there's still a million-dollar potential claim, right?

MR. ORSINI: Well, no. The subroga --

22 THE COURT: That might be two claimants.

MR. ORSINI: Well, okay, yes, in your hypothetical.

I'm looking more at the other hypothetical, Your Honor. Which

is in a circumstance where the insurer has paid out 1.2 million

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 dollars for a home that's actual worth only a million dollars. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ORSINI: And maybe they've done that because they 4 misestimated the value. Maybe they've done that because they 5 just tendered total loss. Maybe they've done that because they 6 think the person is going to rebuild. 7 THE COURT: Sounds like a disputed claim to me. 8 MR. ORSINI: We're going to be entitled to challenge 9 all that information, and we certainly need discovery to get 10 into that. 11 On the individual front, Your Honor. Look, this is I 12 think -- I think we all know, this is the hardest part of this 13 whole case, how to value the individual claims. 14 THE COURT: Right. 15 MR. ORSINI: Ms. Dumas -- you've heard from --16 THE COURT: But we've got to start by knowing where 17 your client admits culpability. 18 MR. ORSINI: I understood that, and we're on the same 19 page on that front. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. ORSINI: I understand that. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. ORSINI: But what we heard earlier today from Ms. 24 Dumas is what we've heard throughout. Which is effectively, as

Your Honor described it, backing into a number. Tell us what

25

you got and maybe we'll take that, or a little bit more, or a little bit less. Right.

Estimation is actually going to require them to show what their claims are worth, not what we can pay. Now, obviously that's not going to include full, individual, damage discovery with respect to every single one of the 30,000-plus --

8 THE COURT: Right.

3

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9 MR. ORSINI: -- individual plaintiffs.

THE COURT: Right. We can't do that.

MR. ORSINI: That's one extreme; we're not going there. Right.

We also can't go to estimation with nothing.

Certainly, when they're throwing out numbers that are backed into based upon net distributable value as she described.

And so one step in that process, Your Honor, is the much discussed, never seen, BrownGreer database. I believe we do have an agreement. I've said that before, we don't have a stipulation in yet. Mr. Skikos, who's one of the individual plaintiff's lawyers, is here in the courtroom. I'd ask him to update both of us on the status of that. But that's a process to try to get more claims information, to try to see if there's a process where we can agree on how we can start to close the gap between my number, which is significantly below ten billion, and the TCC's number, which ranges from thirty to

1 | fifty depending on the day.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

But if that's unsuccessful, we are going to need to be able to test some additional information so that we give the Article III judge or Your Honor, who's doing the estimation, some basis to extrapolate what these claims are worth.

And I candidly haven't come up with a perfect answer to that one yet. It may involve a questionnaire -- that's been done in a lot of other bankruptcy cases -- that's given to some statistically significant group of people. It may be some limited sets of documents that go to some statistically significant set of people by fire. Is a long way of saying I don't know the right answer yet, Your Honor, I don't think any of us do, but that's going to be a key issue that needs to be addressed as well.

THE COURT: So what do we do for now for discovery purposes? Do you want to stick with the meet and confer or do we --

MR. ORSINI: I believe so, Your Honor.

I have -- so I have a letter out to them which tells them what we'll do in response to Mr. Macon's letter. I have a letter from the TCC from last night. I wasn't able to get a response back today, but we're going to try and interlock those two letters and see where the overlap is. I bet a lot of it will be things where we've already said we'll do it. To the extent that there are additional things that were not -- we

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 haven't already committed to do, we'll obviously assess what 2 our position is on that. 3 And I think that we continue the meet and confer 4 process on that front. And we have some interrogatories that 5 have been served on that we'll respond to --6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. ORSINI: -- in the way the Court described today. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Julian, do you want to tell me what to 9 do? 10 MR. JULIAN: Your Honor, the estimation issue before 11 this Court and Judge Donato is different than the issue in the 12 state court. 13 THE COURT: Correct. 14 MR. JULIAN: We sent them interrogatories that ask 15 them to explain the legal and factual basis for denying 16 liability for the fires that they --17 THE COURT: That's your interrogatories 1 and 2, 18 right? 19 MR. JULIAN: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Yeah. 21 MR. JULIAN: And we would like that answered within 22 two days. The interrogatories that they served us Saturday 23 night, their answers in state court are insufficient for two

THE COURT: But -- well, go ahead, and then I have a

24

25

reasons.

- 1 question.
- 2 MR. JULIAN: The first is they have nothing to do with
- 3 Camp. Camp Fire litigation just started. They've never served
- 4 interrogatory answers.
- 5 Second, the interrogatory answers that they served on
- 6 the plaintiffs' lawyers in state court do not explain the legal
- 7 basis or factual reasons for estimating the claims as zero in
- 8 this court. And we need that basic answer to those
- 9 interrogatories and a production of the documents in support of
- 10 the interrogatories within two weeks.
- They've spent thirty million dollars, Your Honor,
- 12 getting ready for today. They're ready for trial, I get it.
- 13 | I'm not.
- And, Your Honor, they have the documents that show
- 15 their liability.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3738

- 16 THE COURT: Okay, wait one second here.
- MR. JULIAN: We don't.
- THE COURT: I'm looking at your interrogatory number
- 19 2. And so number 1, I'm -- it's referring to CAL FIRE, so I
- 20 | want to leave that for a minute.
- It seems to me for interrogatory number 2, for each
- 22 | fire -- so let's just pick Atlas, that's a fire that's
- 23 | not -- we talked about today. So affirmative defenses, legal
- 24 basis to say not liable; that's just the same thing twice, I
- 25 | think. And the factual basis for your nonliability. I mean,

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 and the rest of it -- I'm not going to read the rest of them to 2 you. Why -- you're telling me that the responses they've done 3 in other state court stuff is not responsive to those 4 questions? 5 MR. JULIAN: I don't believe so. 6 THE COURT: And --7 MR. JULIAN: These are simple and straightforward. 8 THE COURT: And what about Mr. Orsini's reference in 9 his August 24th letter that references a bunch of databases and 10 what have you, the answers aren't there? 11 MR. JULIAN: Well, that was given to us Saturday 12 night. And the databases --13 THE COURT: Okay, I don't know. I don't look at them. 14 MR. JULIAN: What we did last night was to give him 15 specific targeted discovery for the documents, the inspection 16 reports. And these basic inspection reports and the basic 17 documents that they have and they've already prepared for the 18 thirty million dollars they charged the estate should be turned 19 over to us. It's already --20 THE COURT: But I'm still trying to figure out 21 whether -- when you're waiting for answers to interrogs 1 and 22 2, and you're serving them with more. If he -- don't you need 23 to do it in steps? In other words, don't you need to see what 24 their position is on interrogatories 1 and 2? And I'm -- I'm

25

not --

- 1 MR. JULIAN: Well -
- THE COURT: I'm not saying you can't get the other
- 3 stuff; I'm saying don't you need to get there by pinning them
- 4 down on --
- 5 MR. JULIAN: No.
- 6 THE COURT: -- what is the theory per the fire?
- 7 MR. JULIAN: Well, let me explain that.
- 8 First, we asked for also in our letter request with
- 9 the interrogatory request, for all documents that support their
- 10 | legal and factual basis, and a description of the witnesses.
- 11 THE COURT: I think your interrogatory itself did.
- 12 For each fire, identify separately all documents.
- MR. JULIAN: And well, and the letter says to produce
- 14 it.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. JULIAN: Not only identify it but produce it.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay. I understand. But that's my point.
- 18 | If they -- again, leave Camp aside for the moment -- no, I'm
- 19 sorry. I'm sorry.
- MR. JULIAN: Camp is in there.
- 21 THE COURT: No, no, I misspoke.
- The CAL FIRE report relates to Tubbs, correct? So if
- 23 | a -- they answered interrogatory number 2 the way you think
- they should, with documents, then that's what you want.
- MR. JULIAN: And the explanation of the legal theory

- 1 and the factual basis too.
- THE COURT: I'm reading your interrogatories; that's
- 3 there.
- 4 MR. JULIAN: Yes.
- 5 THE COURT: Affirmative defenses, contentions, not
- 6 liable. I mean, those are very straightforward. I'm agreeing
- 7 | with you, they are simple. There are not two interrogatories,
- 8 there are about eight, but they're simple.
- 9 MR. JULIAN: Then we're in agreement, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. So I go back to my question again.
- If I tell Mr. Orsini, you have to answer
- 12 interrogatories 1 and 2, why do you need the second, third set,
- whatever you served on them yesterday? I'm just trying to
- 14 figure out the sequencing for this stuff.
- MR. JULIAN: Because we've taken an educated look at
- 16 | what he's written in his letters recently about the issues on
- 17 | which they will deny liability. And the fifteen pages of
- documents that we've sent to them last night are the documents
- 19 that we need for that for that.
- THE COURT: But let me give you an example. I can't
- 21 keep track of it as thoroughly as those of you who are living
- 22 this thing twenty-four hours a day, but there's one fire where
- 23 Mr. Orsini says that there was a healthy tree that had a limb
- fall, and he believes that -- I guess his theory is that the
- 25 | fire was caused by that healthy limb that fell, and therefore

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 the company didn't do anything that makes it liable. So that 2 would be to me an explanation, we're not liable for fire X 3 because it was caused by a healthy branch that fell, even 4 though it was within the proper limits, et cetera, et cetera. 5 That's an explanation, right? You would agree that's an explanation? Whether you agree or disagree with the merits of 6 7 it, that would be the kind of thing that he would say why 8 his -- the debtors are not liable, right? 9 MR. JULIAN: Correct. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. JULIAN: And then in response, which is why we 12 sent the letter last night, we need copies of their inspection 13 reports on that tree, their maintenance records on that tree, 14 the report by the tree trimmers on that tree, to show that they 15 did not do their job with respect to that tree. 16 THE COURT: But you don't need any of that if he 17 doesn't say the tree did it? In other words, it's -- you want 18 responses if the answers are exculpatory, right? 19 MR. JULIAN: Right. 20 THE COURT: If he says we're not liable because the tree fell by its own causes, then you want explanations, well, 21 22 what's the record, and et cetera, et cetera. And I -- we don't 23 have to go into the details. 24 But if he doesn't say -- if he says well, that -- the

only reason that fire is is because we know that some arson

25

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 started that fire, then you don't need tree reports. You just 2 need the explanation for his defense. 3 MR. JULIAN: But on some of these, Your Honor, let's 4 face it, we know what they're going to say. 5 On Camp, for example, the C-hook was worn or broken. 6 THE COURT: I know. 7 MR. JULIAN: We need all the inspection reports on 8 that. 9 THE COURT: No, I know that. I know that. MR. JULIAN: There's no reason to delay that. 10 11 Your Honor, they spent thirty million dollars getting 12 ready for this day; they can turn it over to us. 13 THE COURT: Do you need it all for an estimation 14 trial? 15 MR. JULIAN: Yes, we need it. 16 THE COURT: And why? Tell me why? This is not a 17 trial, it's an estimation trial. 18 MR. JULIAN: Correct. 19 THE COURT: So if the trial is compressed, as we know 20 estimations are supposed to be, why do you need all the 21 details? 22 MR. JULIAN: Because they are the ones who are saying 23 they're not liable. They're the ones who say you need five 24 individual trials on liability for five individual fires. If 25 they are the ones who are placing this at issue, we can only

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 respond to the fact that they are saying they are not liable 2 because they supposedly did a good job repairing and inspecting 3 their C-hooks by looking at the documents that show they did a 4 terrible job. 5 THE COURT: Okay. I got you. I just want to clarify 6 it in my mind. 7 MR. JULIAN: And they have spent thirty million 8 dollars getting ready for this day. 9 THE COURT: You're not -- you're saying that -- I got 10 it. 11 MR. JULIAN: They have the documents, Your Honor. 12 What I would respectfully request is two things. I would like 13 you to order them to answer those interrogatories 1 and 2 14 within fourteen days. 15 THE COURT: Well, you said two days a minute ago, 16 so --17 MR. JULIAN: Within fourteen days. And within five 18 days, a week, to tell us what, in our letter of last night, 19 they will produce on an expedited basis, and what they will not 20 produce. 21 THE COURT: Let me get the other gentleman behind you 22 who wants to be heard. I'll see what Mr. Orsini wants to do

Yes, sir?

THE COURT:

MR. JULIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

with that. We're not going to go all afternoon on this, so --

23

24

25

1 MR. CAMPORA: Your Honor, my name is Steve Campora.

THE COURT: Oh, yes. Mr. Campora. You've been here

3 before.

necessary.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CAMPORA: I participated in the NorCal discovery,
and I have a very different view than Mr. Orsini as to what's
been done and not done. The parties negotiated back and forth
over several months for a TAR program to produce documents,

PG&E delayed that more than a year, with repeated promises to
produce the documents. And I'll explain to you why it's

They were supposed to done on February 15. PG&E filed bankruptcy on January 29th and stopped looking for documents.

Now they're coming to this Court, denying liability on every fire, while at the same time not giving us the documents.

THE COURT: No, I understand. But you're saying this idea. I'm just saying it differently.

MR. CAMPORA: No. No. There's the issue.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CAMPORA: PG&E has lots of policies, Your Honor. They'll produce them forever. There's lots of policies. We could read them. They're great policies.

The problem is, they don't follow them, and the documents you need to show they don't follow them are the emails between the employees and the emails between the managers and the supervisors, and the documents that say we're

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 6,000 trees behind, or there are hazard trees that haven't been 1 2 found by our process, and we haven't got it done. We haven't 3 cut the 2015 trees, and we're in 2017. 4 So to come in and say that he's given us these 5 documents, they've given us six million documents. Five million of them are duplicates. And in addition to that, Your 6 7 Honor, the documents -- we didn't take any depositions in 8 NorCal, because PG&E objected to us taking the depositions 9 until they produced all the documents, and then they didn't. 10 And that doesn't include Camp. 11 So what's happened is there are hazard tree analysis. 12 There's all kinds of documents and people that we haven't 13 gotten or received. And from my perspective, if PG&E knew they 14 were going to object and claim they weren't liable for every fire -- I'm not sure why they filed bankruptcy -- but they knew 15 16 they were going to deny liability, why'd they stop looking for 17 the documents? THE COURT: Well, I can't answer that. And I --18 19 MR. CAMPORA: Okay. But what I'm saying is we need 20 those documents. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Understood. I want to move 22 forward. I'm only trying to figure out what to order here

MR. CAMPORA: All I'm saying is, Your Honor, you're

@7:0062944 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

23

24

today, so --

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 time to put on experts or however it works. We can't do that 2 without evidence. And they've been delaying the discovery. 3 They delayed it against this morning, contesting producing 4 documents that are clearly relevant to the Camp fire. And 5 until we get the evidence, we can't do our job no matter what 6 the estimation process turns out to be until we're given an 7 opportunity to view the evidence. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Campora, I got to take someone behind 9 you. 10 MR. CAMPORA: Thank you. 11 MR. MARSHACK: I'll make it quick, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: You're back again. Three times. 13 MR. MARSHACK: I'll make it quick. Richard Marshack, 14 Marshack Hays, on behalf of the SLF group, 5,500 fire victims. 15 SLF is involved in all but two fires. We are involved in 16 Tubbs, pursuant to this Court's order for relief from stay. 17 THE COURT: You don't have to go into detail. I got 18 to move this case along. 19 MR. MARSHACK: Okay. 20 THE COURT: Tell me what you want me to do. 21 MR. MARSHACK: Here's what I want you to do: 22 example, break today. CAL FIRE, through Mr. Pascuzzi, looked 23 at us and said, we're getting requests from you guys. We're 24 getting requests from TCC. Please coordinate. Here's our

request, that all discovery is served on all -- is served on

25

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

everybody, and all responses are served on everybody, and that

the SLF group participates in the meet and confer.

Again, all discovery served on everybody. All

responses served on everybody. You've asked that there be an

active meet and confer. We ask that we be invited to the meet

7 Thank you, Your Honor.

and confer.

6

8

9

10

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Mr. Orsini, where do we go from here, and what are you going to do? You going to -- I mean, Mr. Julian had his deadline. Oh, wait. One more.

MR. ORSINI: I'm not allowed to stand up here, Your
Honor.

13 THE COURT: One more. Yes, sir.

MR. SKIKOS: I shot Mr. Orsini down. Let the record reflect that.

My name is Steve Skikos. I am the keeper of the BrownGreer database. We have an agreement.

THE COURT: It exists, huh?

MR. SKIKOS: It exists. We have an agreement. And I have been dying since January 31st, the first time I came into this courtroom, to talk about case specifics. But I do things by consent. And so I can tell you, I read the Gibson reference Your Honor put in his order entitled, "The Judicial Management of Mass Tort Cases".

I have gone through all the factors that she

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

articulated in that. I went through the Dow Corning case,

which I did in this courtroom in 1991. I've gone through the

post-2000 historical settlements and how we can get informed

consent done. I've gone through the litigation data. And

because I do things by consent, I'm not going to go through it

all here, and I would probably drive everybody crazy and piss

everybody off.

But I will say this. We have some serious work to do on the case-specific side. BrownGreer is not the elephant in the room. The elephant in the room is the over 10,000 people who have no idea what's going on in this bankruptcy.

I've run the data on Prime Clerk, and I've run the data in BrownGreer, and we are way behind.

THE COURT: Of what? I mean, what am I supposed to do? What's the action item for me today?

MR. SKIKOS: Well, the action item for you today, because I do things by consent, is to understand that that's an issue. The estimation, my proposal is going to be that the estimation be based on total loss, because there's going to be late claims. I have other proposals that I'm going to make, but like I said, we have to go through those.

Here's my endgame. The people who have been impacted by these fires need the opportunity to go through four parts of informed consent. You talk about the disclosure statement.

There are four parts of the informed consent in this case.

The first part of the informed consent is meaningful participation, an opportunity to be understood, to get the facts to make a decision about participation in this bankruptcy. They don't have them yet.

The second part of informed consent is the part of this estimation plan. We have to connect reality to the plans that are being presented. The biggest mistake that we can make in this case is to have an estimation hearing on experts only. We can't do that. We have to have real people.

THE COURT: Well, I must interrupt you. We're talking about -- you're talking about how the estimation process should -- the trial or the hearing should be conducted. That's not something I can deal with today. That's for another judge to deal with.

MR. SKIKOS: Right. But it connects.

THE COURT: Well, okay.

MR. SKIKOS: This all connects to the disclosure statement you're talking about, because I've written a boatload of informed consent letters, and the informed consent process, which is part 3, has to have certain information. The idea that the people are -- yes, they're not -- are they going to read -- ten percent of them are going to read it? Well, they need information to make an informed decision. We're going to get there.

My point is this. It's not the elephant in the room.

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 BrownGreer is not going to value cases. I'm not the oracle at 2 BrownGreer. I can't tell you what the value of the tort cases 3 are. BrownGreer is not the 800-pound gorilla. The 800-pound 4 gorilla is the presentation of plans without a single 5 evaluation of a consensual review of an individual case. 6 THE COURT: I don't know what to make of your 7 statement. I hear your words. I don't know how to fit it into 8 the dynamics and the process of the Chapter 11 process and the 9 estimation mandate of Section 502(c). 10 MR. SKIKOS: You asked about 502(c). And there is 11 something in the mass tort world --12 THE COURT: That's right. 13 MR. SKIKOS: -- that is connected to that. 14 THE COURT: That's right. 15 MR. SKIKOS: There are what we call science days, 16 liability days, human being days. 17 THE COURT: Right. 18 MR. SKIKOS: The only difference between your estimation proceeding and what we call in the MDL world the 19 20 science day is that you make a decision. But we have done 21 those before successfully, and if you merge what has been done, 22 the best of the mass tort world with the bankruptcy world with

trial that has credibility and meaning.

the fire world, we can actually come up with a process that we

can try to consensually provide to you to conduct an estimation

23

24

25

And I'm not saying we should do this now. I'm saying we should have a meet and confer. We should discuss it. But we have enough experience in the MDL world. I've been courtappointed liaison ten times.

THE COURT: But what? But I don't know what you want to do, so --

MR. SKIKOS: We're going to have real people at the estimation hearing.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SKIKOS: We're going to have real evaluation of cases with -- under California law, and part of the BrownGreer agreement with Mr. Orsini, who he did in good faith, is to have some mediations to set this up.

The estimation hearing that we are ultimately going to do should not just be expert. It should be real people, and it should be real evaluations. I know I'm jumping ahead, but --

THE COURT: No, you're not jumping ahead. I'm not presiding over the estimation hearing. A district judge upstairs is going to do that. And he's going to have a status conference very soon. I'm dealing with all these pure bankruptcy issues. Definition of unliquidated.

MR. SKIKOS: Right.

THE COURT: Plan and disclosure statement. You started by commenting on the disclosure statement, and you haven't said anything about a disclosure statement. So I don't

- 1 know what your point is.
- I mean, I understand the point. I don't mean to sound
- 3 like I'm dismissing your concerns. I accept your concerns.
- 4 But it's not something I can deal with today. So what do you
- 5 want me to do today?
- 6 MR. SKIKOS: Okay. So today. There's been an issue
- 7 raised regarding BrownGreer.
- 8 THE COURT: Not today.
- 9 MR. SKIKOS: There isn't? Yes. No, Mr. Orsini raised
- 10 it. We have an agreement.
- 11 THE COURT: Yes. You have an agreement.
- MR. SKIKOS: Right. We have an agreement.
- 13 THE COURT: That to me is a nonissue today, but yes --
- MR. SKIKOS: Correct.
- THE COURT: You have an agreement.
- MR. SKIKOS: And we're moving forward.
- 17 THE COURT: That's right.
- 18 MR. SKIKOS: And I've raised some issues that I think
- we need to have a meet and confer on for the terms of the
- 20 ultimate estimation.
- 21 THE COURT: No, that's fine. I'm all for that. But
- 22 it's not an actual matter for me today.
- MR. SKIKOS: No. This was my speech to the group.
- 24 THE COURT: You're welcome to make it.
- MR. SKIKOS: Thank you.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Orsini, I think that we got --

2 MR. ORSINI: I'm still not allowed to stand, Your

3 Honor.

4 THE COURT: Oh, well.

5 MR. MCCALLEN: Sorry, Your Honor. But very, very

6 quickly. Okay.

7 THE COURT: I'll be back in a few minutes. Just make

8 your speech.

9 MR. MCCALLEN: Your Honor, we --

10 THE COURT: No, go ahead.

MR. MCCALLEN: Your Honor, we agree with the TCC's

12 position.

13 THE COURT: Just restate your name again.

MR. MCCALLEN: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: I know who you are, but state it for the

16 record.

MR. MCCALLEN: Of course, Your Honor. Benjamin

18 McCallen.

THE COURT: McCallen.

20 MR. MCCALLEN: On behalf of the ad hoc subrogation

21 group. Your Honor, we agree with the TCC's position with

respect to the interrogatories, and I just wanted to make one,

really, point of clarification. As Your Honor pointed to in

our submission, when the TCC sent their interrogatories, we

25 | submitted a letter to the debtors asking for them to identify

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. the elements of our damages claims --1 2 THE COURT: Right. 3 MR. MCCALLEN: -- they dispute and the basis for that. 4 THE COURT: Right. 5 MR. MCCALLEN: So to the extent that Your Honor 6 ultimately grants the relief that the TCC is asking for to 7 order the debtors to respond to the interrogatories, we'd just 8 like to clarify they'd have to respond to our letter as well. 9 THE COURT: I mean, it's essentially their 10 interrogatories plus your letter. That's just your version of 11 it. I mean, it's the stuff you need to prepare yours. 12 MR. MCCALLEN: Completely agree, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Yes. Okay. 14 MR. MCCALLEN: I just wanted to make sure that the 15 record was clear on that. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. PASCUZZI: Your Honor, Paul Pascuzzi, cocounsel 18 with the Attorney General's office for CAL FIRE on the 19 discovery issues. Back to the discovery issues. 20 THE COURT: Back to the discovery issues. 21 MR. PASCUZZI: Your Honor, again I just -- I'm looking 22 for coordination and some help so that we're not getting 23 subpoenaed by the tort committee, the debtors --24 THE COURT: Well, you said before you wanted to do 25 that, yes.

MR. PASCUZZI: -- the plaintiffs' lawyers. Yes. And if it seems that it's efficient to have a depository/repository where everything goes that CAL FIRE produces, then everyone can get it. If there's something else, they can work through together. But this notion of us getting a subpoena from every single party-in-interest for similar stuff or the same stuff, it's just going to be inefficient.

THE COURT: So what would you like me to do?

MR. PASCUZZI: I'd like you to order the parties to coordinate their discovery to CAL FIRE, so that we're looking at one request and we can respond to it, instead of having to deal with multiple requests that may be different.

THE COURT: Okay. So procedurally, how do you want me to do it? I mean, I can't just grant a motion on the fly here, although what you're saying makes sense. Do you think you could do a proposed order and circulate it to the principal players? Would that work?

MR. PASCUZZI: I could.

THE COURT: I mean, tell me what would work for you procedurally, so you don't have to reinvent the wheel and deal with fifteen different requests.

MR. PASCUZZI: Understood, Your Honor. What I'm hearing from people when I ask them to do this, and I haven't spoken to the debtors yet about it, but what I'm hearing on the other side is they're not coordinating.

So we're working very well with the tort committee folks. We're getting separate subpoenas from the Singleton group. And we're asking them to coordinate, and I'm hearing that's not possible for some reason.

Case: 19-30088

So I need some help, for you to say coordinate, and we'll see if it works out. And if it doesn't, we'll be back here saying --

THE COURT: Well, let's use a more traditional procedure using the legal process and motions and practices.

Make a motion for, sort of, a protective order for facilitating your client's obligations to whomever has a right to subpoena you for anything and set it out on an expedited basis. I'll shorten time. I mean, we don't have to make it overly cumbersome, but I can't make a ruling today for people that aren't even here.

I realize the Singleton group, through its counsel, has been very proactive, and certainly the TCC has, and Mr. Pitre and Mr. Kelly and others, but there are others out there that are not here, but you shouldn't be doing this over and over again. So I'm all for it, and to the extent that you can get a buy-in by Mr. Orsini and Mr. Julian and their clients, that makes it even better. And in effect, set it as the protocol for all discovery going forward.

MR. PASCUZZI: That sounds fine, Your Honor.

Doc# 3738

THE COURT: Try to make it work. Okay. Well, it

- 1 would make sense.
- 2 MR. PASCUZZI: We're here for the status conference to
- discuss these issues, so I'm bringing it up for discussion.
- 4 THE COURT: I'm glad you did.
- 5 MR. PASCUZZI: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: Mr. Orsini, the motion from Mr. Julian is
 7 to give you a deadline.
- 8 MR. ORSINI: No. Your Honor, he modified the motion
- 9 | while you were --
- 10 THE COURT: I know he did.
- MR. ORSINI: -- speaking to others.
- 12 THE COURT: But let's --
- MR. ORSINI: But we have consent here.
- So on Mr. Pascuzzi's last point, I agree with him. We
- should be coordinating that. They should only get one
- 16 subpoena. So we'll work on that with him, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: But you agree, I can't just go on the fly
- 18 and do it.
- MR. ORSINI: No, no. I agree. I completely --
- 20 THE COURT: I've got to do it procedurally correctly.
- 21 MR. ORSINI: Completely agree with that procedurally,
- 22 Your Honor.
- THE COURT: And let me make a further addition to you.
- 24 A number of you are going to have your introduction in the
- 25 bankruptcy world to an experienced judge who is not a

- 1 bankruptcy person. So it's a whole different terminology that
- 2 people are going to be using.
- 3 MR. ORSINI: He and I will have that in common, Your
- 4 Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. Just don't tell him you don't know
- 6 anything about that.
- 7 MR. ORSINI: So with respect to the two open discovery
- 8 | items that Mr. Julian asked for deadlines on: the
- 9 interrogatories 1 and 2 and --
- 10 THE COURT: And the McCallen addendum.
- MR. ORSINI: Right. And the letter that I got last
- 12 night.
- 13 THE COURT: Right.
- MR. ORSINI: On the interrogatories, I've agreed with
- Mr. Julian that we'll answer them not in fourteen days but in
- 16 ten, next Friday. Not this coming Friday, Friday the 6th. And
- 17 | we will --
- 18 THE COURT: 9/6.
- MR. ORSINI: Yes. Yes, Your Honor. And we will
- 20 respond to the letter that I received last name from Ms. Morris
- 21 with additional discovery requests by next Tuesday, September
- 22 3rd.
- THE COURT: And what about Mr. McCallen's? He get
- 24 | that same courtesy, that same deadline?
- MR. ORSINI: Remind me again which one.

1 THE COURT: Well, it's -- Mr. McCallen, you --

- 2 MR. MCCALLEN: No.
- 3 THE COURT: Go ahead. You can say it from there.
- 4 It's sound from there. Just make sure you're on the
- 5 microphone.
- 6 MR. MCCALLEN: Of course. In our letter we asked for
- 7 | the elements of our damages that they were disputing and the
- 8 factual basis for those disputes.
- 9 MR. ORSINI: Well, I can identify the elements. The
- 10 problem with the facts is he still has them, but we'll provide
- 11 | a response to him by next Friday as well.
- 12 THE COURT: And so Ms. Morris' letter, but what about
- 13 that -- does that exclude or include the third-party subpoena?
- I mean, third-party motion to compel, et cetera.
- MR. ORSINI: Oh. Well, Ms. Morris and I will -- I
- 16 | will commit on the record that Ms. Morris and I can meet and
- 17 | confer this week and try to resolve those open issues.
- 18 THE COURT: But that includes the third-party doc --
- MR. ORSINI: Yes, I understand, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. ORSINI: I understand.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. As long as Ms. Morris says
- 23 | she's okay with that, I'm okay with it.
- MR. ORSINI: Thank you.
- THE COURT: So, Mr. Orsini, do you -- so I will take

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 it that you will work with Mr. Pascuzzi to deal with -- it is 2 kind of a unique situation with CAL FIRE. 3 MR. ORSINI: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: And certainly don't exclude Singleton, 5 through Mr. Marshack or whoever it is. Mr. Singleton is here. 6 Or one of them. They've been very proactive in figuring out --7 MR. ORSINI: What I would propose to do, Your Honor, 8 is I will work with Mr. Pascuzzi. If we can come up with 9 somebody that's satisfactory to us, we will then share it with 10 the ad hoc subro group, the TCC, Mr. Singleton. And if there's 11 anybody else here who would like to see that, let me know. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I'll take that. 13 All right. Anybody want to add any further? 14 All right. Mr. Karotkin? 15 MR. KAROTKIN: Not on discovery. I don't know --16 THE COURT: Not on anything. 17 MR. KAROTKIN: I don't know whether you were finished. 18 THE COURT: No, I was going to ask if you'd come and 19 get into our Chapter 13 clinic while you're here in San 20 Francisco and handle a few cases for some of my debtors. 21 Sure. Would be happy to. MR. KAROTKIN: Sure. 22 THE COURT: Okay. What can I do for you? 2.3 MR. KAROTKIN: Just --24 THE COURT: Or whatever we need to discuss. 25 MR. KAROTKIN: Just a quick housekeeping matter.

PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co. 1 Since I think the consensus is not to file a disclosure 2 statement on the 9th, can we submit a proposed order that 3 would --4 THE COURT: Yes, but I want you to file something, for 5 lack of a better term, what I call before a term, a disclosure-6 statement term sheet --7 MR. KAROTKIN: Outline? 8 THE COURT: -- or outline. 9 MR. KAROTKIN: Okay. 10 THE COURT: I mean, something that gives the different 11 counsel who are representing banks or financial institutions or 12 shareholders what the plan does for them. They can read it in 13 the plan, but it's just helpful as a separate thing, kind of, a 14 preview of the disclosure statement. Be creative. So I will 15 be, you can be. 16 MR. KAROTKIN: Okay. Very well. Thank you, sir. 17 THE COURT: That's all? 18 MR. KAROTKIN: That's it. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So you will have your plan on the 20 9th, and I don't -- I mean, I'm not inviting anybody to do 21 anything. I'm sure those who want to take it and criticize it 22 can do so, but at our follow-up status conference my intention 23 then will be to hear where we go from there in terms of 24 procedural settings.

And if the answer is you're not ready to do it --

25

	PG&E Corp., Pacific Gas and Electric Co.
1	you're not ready to set, for example, a deadline for
2	objections, or if there's a way to separate out those things
3	that necessarily depend upon the financial terms coming
4	together. If there's a gap in it, et cetera.
5	And I know you're going to want to ask to deal with
6	the exclusivity issue. I won't worry about that for now.
7	If you file the plan in the manner that we have
8	discussed, you've met that benchmark, and that triggers your
9	next grace period under the statute. Okay.
10	MR. KAROTKIN: Very well.
11	THE COURT: I'm comfortable with that. So you want a
12	separate order that dispenses from the disclosure statement
13	formally? That's okay. I'll do that.
14	MR. KAROTKIN: Okay. We'll just submit a
15	THE COURT: But the tradeoff is, on the assumption
16	that you file the plan.
17	MR. KAROTKIN: Yes, I understand, sir.
18	THE COURT: Okay. So
19	MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Anything else? All right. Thank you for
21	a long morning, everyone. And I look forward to hearing from
22	you what happens upstairs. Thank you.
23	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 1:43 PM)
24	
25	

I N D E X RULINGS: PAGE LINE Debtors' second lease extension motion is granted. Application of the official committee of tort claimants to retain and employ Trident DMG LLC as communications consultant effective as of July 18, 2019, is granted.

CERTIFICATION

I, Clara Rubin, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

/s/ CLARA RUBIN

eScribers

7227 N. 16th Street, Suite #207

Phoenix, AZ 85020

Date: August 28, 2019



(97) 106294 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

	16:14;99:10	admission (1)	148:15	86:9
\$	act (3)	134:5	agree (38)	America (1)
	22:20;58:22;126:16	admit (1)	16:22;17:9,11;21:20;	121:17
\$5,647,256.18 (1)	action (3)	129:17	30:9;35:16;38:10;60:4;	Among (1)
116:25	87:3;153:15,16	admits (1)	63:17;83:23;84:3;	134:2
	actions (1)	138:17	92:19;102:12;103:22;	amount (32)
${f A}$	19:16	adopt (1)	114:22,24;121:4;	15:1,2;25:10;27:19;
	active (1)	91:23	122:7,9;125:20,23,24;	30:5;31:6;35:23;36:20;
AB1054 (7)	152:5	adopted (2)	126:1;132:12,14;	37:16,19;40:1,1;47:13,
15:2;26:4;28:3;66:2,	acts (1)	82:22;85:24	133:20;134:24;135:2;	14;51:25;56:20;59:8;
9;67:14;68:2	87:20	advance (2)	139:23;146:5,6;	60:14;62:1;72:12;73:4,
abandoned (1)	actual (6)	74:9;78:15	158:11,21;159:12;	25;75:25;76:17;77:10;
11:18	32:10;74:11,13;76:6;	advice (1)	162:14,17,19,21	115:8,10;117:15;
Abid (1)	138:1;157:22	106:10	agreed (10)	122:13;129:12;130:4;
49:15	actually (12)	advise (1)	30:6;36:15;37:8;	135:19
ability (3)	18:5;20:6;57:23;	7:19	40:1;73:25;101:14;	amounts (3)
12:4;37:23;39:1	68:20;82:4;101:17,19;	advisors (1)	129:11;135:21;136:17;	29:2;36:15;37:22
able (12)	115:17;137:9,16;	40:14	163:14	analysis (4)
10:13;40:19;47:25;	139:3;155:23	advisory (6)	agreeing (1)	36:7;37:20;40:9;
75:9;76:15;78:13;	ad (6)	66:13,18;67:5;70:14;	145:6	150:11
88:14,21;92:23;	25:9;49:19;79:19;	72:23;78:8	agreement (17)	analyze (1)
137:16;140:3,21	128:11;158:20;165:10	advocates (2)	27:16;36:21;45:20;	12:4
abreast (1)	add (6)	67:9,10	61:20;66:25;67:1,2;	analyzing (1)
99:15	10:8;19:4;50:19;	advocating (1)	135:9;139:18;145:9;	60:25
absolute (1)	112:17;128:25;165:13	16:3	152:17,19;156:12;	Angeles (1)
14:24	added (1)	Affairs (1)	157:10,11,12,15	91:4
Absolutely (8)	9:13	113:19	agrees (2)	announce (1)
21:21,21;22:2;40:17,	addendum (1)	affected (3)	94:17;123:16	111:10
24;45:6;80:24;137:15	163:10	17:22;32:18;101:19	ahead (11)	answered (3)
absolute-priority (1)	addition (3)	affirmative (2)	6:5;18:23;36:5;	73:7;141:21;144:23
57:25	25:4;150:6;162:23	142:23;145:5	41:25;82:13;120:13;	anticipate (5)
absorb (1)	additional (5)	afternoon (1)	141:25;156:16,17;	7:25;8:6;71:1;
125:11	6:14;39:9;140:3,25;	148:23	158:10;164:3	109:13;118:25
accept (2)	163:21	Again (41)	Akin (2)	anticipation (1)
115:17;157:3	add-on (1)	13:12;16:2;20:13;	49:15;82:7	105:5
acceptable (2)	112:13	24:12,20;25:6,23;28:7;	Alan (1)	anxiously (1)
58:2;59:13	address (21)	29:13,18,22;32:8;	64:4	36:5
accepted (1)	5:18;22:3;24:19;	33:19;34:18;38:21;	alleged (1)	apart (1)
105:12	25:25;26:1,4,10;28:17;	39:16;44:18;49:18;	62:7	57:15
accepting (1)	29:8;30:24;35:15;	52:23;59:11;67:4;	allow (1)	apologize (1)
14:4	46:17;64:6;70:2;86:18;	68:10;71:20;75:24;	103:17	36:6
access (1)	89:2;107:13,15;108:6,	77:25;86:8;90:24;95:8;	allowance (3)	apparently (1)
133:4	8;122:15	100:18;125:7;128:2,5;	119:5,6,7	78:5
accompanies (1)	addressed (5)	132:7;144:18;145:10;	allowed (5)	Appeal (1)
22:14	70:1;78:8;86:19;	151:12;152:3;158:13;	39:8;62:1;130:8;	94:15
accomplishment (1)	123:23;140:14	159:21;161:20;163:25	152:11;158:2	appearing (1)
65:20	addressing (1)	against (9)	allows (1)	35:13
accordingly (1)	78:17	15:7,9;16:15;18:10,	126:24	appendices (1)
70:7	adequate (6)	12;34:9;81:20;90:18;	almost (1)	134:10
account (4)	15:4;30:1;32:19;	151:3	50:11	Appendix (3)
28:5;36:18;75:19;	55:13;63:9;84:22	agencies (6)	along (4)	134:10,12,13
121:12	adjudication (2)	113:16;114:4;115:2;	42:10;66:22;110:25;	applaud (1)
accountable (1)	107:21;130:11	119:16;120:11;122:6	151:18	59:6
14:23	adjudications (1)	agency (3)	although (5)	applicable (1)
accounting (1)	66:22	71:11;113:21;116:25	17:21;51:12;61:17;	81:2
38:5	adjudicative (1)	agenda (3)	79:25;160:15	application (1)
accurate (1)	78:16	5:19;124:5;128:2	always (3)	9:12
87:5	adjusted (2)	aggregate (7)	7:23;117:11;129:22	applies (5)
achieved (1)	24:19;29:8	35:21;37:16,21;42:7;	Amanda (1)	81:21,22,25;84:1;
66:8	adjustment (1)	51:25;55:21;56:18	19:3	94:15
acknowledged (1)	79:20	ago (7)	amended (2)	apply (4)
56:13	adjustments (1)	22:11;90:10;93:3;	75:18,21	82:3;90:14;93:1,4
across (2)	97:17	103:10;135:11,24;	amendment (1)	appointed (1)
		1	1	

GAS AND ELECTRIC C	OWII AN I	I	I	August 21, 2017
156:4	130:17	8;86:12;94:9;110:19;	142:7,24,25;144:10;	109:12;111:25;112:24;
appreciate (3)	assess (1)	134:6,8;135:25;	145:1;148:19;159:3;	127:20
35:14;41:9;99:23	141:1	140:22;145:10;149:6;	161:12;164:8	bifurcating (1)
apprised (1)	assessing (1)	151:12;158:7;159:19,	Bay (2)	103:24
99:19	15:12	20;161:6	86:15;133:25	bifurcation (1)
approach (1)	assessment (2)	backed (1)	bear (2)	103:20
130:25	20:22;83:8	139:14	26:24;66:24	big (12)
appropriate (8)	assign (1)	background (3)	become (1)	10:1;16:5;22:11;
9:19;13:6;15:1;	100:12	58:20;106:16;112:20	79:5	26:22;33:5;35:19;39:3;
33:23;52:3;99:10;	assigned (1)	backing (1)	becomes (2)	44:10;56:15;83:12;
106:17;127:25	16:20	138:25	42:6;90:12	107:6;124:6
approval (6)	assistance (3)	backwards (1)	becoming (1)	bigger (3)
57:7;65:5,14,15,22;	7:12;122:18,19	39:19	32:17	16:12;22:22;84:13
71:11	associated (1)	bait (1)	bed (1)	
approvals (1)	92:24	120:20	95:13	biggest (1) 154:7
66:21	assume (8)	Baker (1)	began (1)	big-picture (1)
approve (3)	8:19;24:25;51:3;	8:8	112:17	56:13
57:9;68:7;74:11		BakerHostetler (2)		
	62:11;71:16;78:3;		begin (8) 64:24;65:3;66:18,20;	big-time (1) 16:24
approved (1) 56:22	81:19;117:23 assuming (3)	10:23;35:13		
	0 \ /	ball (2)	70:15,25;72:1;102:16	bill (2)
approves (2)	36:10;88:11,11	50:11;110:4	beginning (1) 65:1	67:21;112:13
67:16;71:22	assumption (2)	Bank (2)		billion (25)
April (7)	25:16;167:15	6:20;36:13	behalf (11)	36:23,25;37:7,18,20,
12:1,19;13:14,15;	assumptions (2)	bankruptcy (53)	5:16;20:1;35:13;	22;40:3;41:4,5;44:14,
16:8;75:3,15	28:15;45:8	8:18,19;12:22;16:21;	46:8;49:17;54:2;60:13;	15;46:25;47:1,2;56:25;
area (2)	assure (1)	26:23;28:3;31:24;	61:6;122:6;151:14;	60:15;62:6,9,11,13,15;
17:25;103:6	97:20	54:12,16,21;58:1,20,	158:20	77:12,12;118:2;139:25
Arent (1)	Atlas (1)	24;64:17,17,24;65:1;	behind (5)	bit (9)
60:13	142:22	66:17;67:4,8,11;68:6,	7:11;148:21;150:1;	12:10;41:25;53:9;
argue (1)	attenuated (1)	11,19,24;69:5;70:9,16;	151:8;153:13	54:10;84:16;106:16;
84:13	127:15	74:10;76:15,20;77:2,	believes (1)	128:13;139:1,2
argued (1)	Attorney (2)	16;78:19,24;79:7;	145:24	blah-blah-blah (1)
87:10	113:16;159:18	81:10;93:20;94:13;	belong (1)	121:7
arguing (1)	AUGUST (12)	112:18;128:15,18;	16:17	blank (3)
92:20	5:1;16:9;21:13;	131:1;132:7;140:8;	belongs (1)	75:25;76:11;77:15
argument (13)	22:15,16;23:14;64:7;	149:12;150:15;153:11;	128:8	blanks (1)
43:4;58:1;82:12,14,	94:9;107:16;132:23;	154:4;155:22;156:21;	below (3)	29:24
21;90:9;92:25;93:6;	135:18;143:9	162:25;163:1	80:20;102:8;139:24	board (2)
94:1,7;107:24;108:17;	authority (2)	banks (1)	benchmark (1)	8:24;55:9
132:13	68:3;129:1	166:11	167:8	boatload (1)
arguments (4)	authorize (1)	BAP (2)	beneficial (1)	154:18
14:4;22:16;87:10;	68:25	117:23;129:2	39:3	bogus (2)
92:17	available (2)	bar (5)	benefit (2)	42:22,22
around (5)	38:25;63:9	84:21,23;85:18;	54:9;75:21	BOKF (1)
24:25;32:19;39:20;	avoid (1)	112:14;123:6	Benjamin (1)	60:13
92:7;112:17	49:1	based (11)	158:17	bond (1)
arson (1)	await (1)	18:8,14;27:17;28:14;	besides (1)	36:13
146:25	78:16	36:4;82:14;124:15,15;	124:2	bondholders (9)
Article (7)	aware (5)	130:20;139:15;153:19	best (5)	25:9;39:4;49:23,25;
104:9,17;106:15;	12:23,23;68:6;89:19;	baseline (2)	30:2;73:10;90:14;	50:3,17;52:1;53:18;
110:14;112:22;121:3;	129:21	62:9,14	128:17;155:22	57:22
140:4	away (1)	bases (1)	bet (1)	bonds (1)
articulated (1)	17:5	133:22	140:23	39:5
153:1	awkward (1)	bashful (2)	Beth (1)	bones (2)
asbestos (1)	105:9	100:6;106:25	60:12	24:10;63:3
112:14	D	basic (7)	better (8)	book (7)
ascertainable (2)	В	24:22;26:16;131:12;	44:4;46:14;74:20;	32:21;33:1,16;41:13;
118:7;120:2		133:7;142:8;143:16,16	104:21;108:18;126:3;	45:11;59:3;134:23
aside (2)	back (30)	Basically (1)	161:22;166:5	borrowed (1)
23:24;144:18	12:19;22:24;26:16;	9:1	beyond (2)	47:3
aspect (1)	27:21;30:10;31:1,1;	basis (15)	9:18;12:10	both (17)
34:22	36:19;42:9,13;45:18;	9:22;108:5;126:23;	bifurcate (6)	10:2;23:3;24:20;
asserts (1)	52:4;60:25;84:4;85:1,	130:17;140:5;141:15;	103:13;106:4;	25:9;28:19;29:10;
				<u>L</u>

82:19;83:5,5;89:4 34:19;49:2;61:12; 149:1,1,2,4,17,19; 7:14,23;16:21;17:25; challenge (7) 102:13:107:8.9:121:6: bunch (2) 150:19.24:151:8.10 79:1;81:22;84:1,7; 18:9,12;35:8;39:15; 123:21;126:8;136:2; 48:3;143:9 can (102) 43:23;46:13,14;55:3,7; 115:17;130:10;138:8 139:21 burden (10) 7:9,16;10:1;11:20; 61:9;62:1;65:24;66:23; challenges (1) 10:12;33:13;52:14; bounced (1) 18:13;19:1;33:13; 71:3;73:10;80:24;84:4; 76:16 8:15 59:15;105:16;128:12; 35:23;37:23;38:3,10, 88:10;91:7,20;97:24; challenging (1) **BR** (1) 130:23;131:11;133:14, 24;39:4;41:11,12; 98:8,11;99:11;109:1,1, 56:6 21 131:2 42:22;43:4;46:14;48:8; 10;110:24;112:3; chance (3) burdens (1) 42:18;53:11;125:14 branch (1) 49:1;50:19;53:2,3,14; 115:22;116:15;121:10; 146:3 130:12 56:14:68:16,18:70:15; 122:13;127:3;129:2, change (3) break (17) burning (1) 72:21;73:11,12;75:24; 10,15,20;130:5,8,9,10, 6:11;25:14,19 112:6 30:11,12:63:24; 77:22;78:3;81:9,10; 14,23;131:1;133:16; changes (1) 79:13;121:25,25; business (2) 82:11;84:5,9,12;88:24; 138:13;151:18;152:21; 69:25 122:1,2;124:3,4,7,7,20; 36:4;79:8 90:13,14;92:6,7;94:1, 153:1,25;154:8;155:5 Chapter (16) 126:10;127:4,21; buy-in (1) 13;96:4,14,19;97:13, case/worst (1) 26:16;35:4;65:7,14, 151:22 161:21 20,22;98:16;99:5,15, 73:10 23;67:16;71:5;116:6; breakdowns (1) 19;103:1;105:20; **cases (20)** 117:9,11;126:17; C 106:10;107:9;108:19, 14:20;35:1,7;60:18; 130:9,9;134:25;155:8; 42:6 Breyer (1) 20;110:19;114:25; 64:18;65:2,7,15;72:5; 165:19 99:17 C&C (1) 115:24,25;116:25; 87:13;106:25;116:6; character (1) 117:2;118:2,16,21; **brief (19)** 97:7 119:14;134:1;140:8; 123:21 **CAL** (13) 11:17;20:24;71:17; 119:13;120:15;121:11; 152:24;155:1,2; characterize (2) 80:23;84:12;85:19; 113:17;114:5,9; 124:4;129:23;139:4, 156:11;165:20 39:16;116:14 91:6;94:18;95:2,3,19, 119:22;121:19;132:2; 23,23;147:12,25; case-specific (1) charge (2) 20,24;96:14;107:14,16, 142:19;144:22;151:22; 152:22;153:3;154:7, 153:9 8:7;105:21 24;108:3;109:14 13;155:23,24;157:4; cash (2) charged (1) 159:18;160:3,10;165:2 briefed (3) 160:3,4,11;161:20; 39:6;48:2 143:18 calculate (1) 84:7;107:14;127:5 38:3 164:3,9,16;165:8,22; categories (1) charm (1) briefing (8) calculation (2) 166:2,12,15,22 20:23 92:13 83:11;84:11,14; 111:3,5 candidly (4) category (2) cheaper (2) 94:10,12,17;101:15,16 calendar (4) 11:14,19;133:23; 37:1;127:9 8:7;9:4 check (1) briefly (4) 34:12:125:7,18; 140:6 causation (3) 62:5;82:14;86:8; 126:3 **Cantu (10)** 103:14;131:16;132:1 53:5 101:6 CALIFORNIA (16) 82:15.21:83:3:86:19: cause (4) checked (1) 87:4:89:3,4,8:94:11; bring (1) 5:1;19:8;39:9;64:5; 16:4;131:6,8;132:4 126:10 95:6 94:9 81:1;82:15,22;87:12; choice (5) caused (7) bringing (2) Cantu-type (1) 13:2;82:18;89:5; 88:10;90:15;110:3, 93:2;94:14,14;98:23; 104:4;113:3;145:25; 89:11;162:3 113:16,19;115:1; 90:3 16:113:7 C-hook (1) capable (1) brings (2) 156:11 146:3 38:21;47:11 call (12) 96:3 causes (1) 147:5 broad (2) capital (7) 146:21 C-hooks (1) 16:13;61:25;79:15; 114:2;132:10 93:17:99:3:105:13.15: 47:13,19,20;68:9; CCSF(1) 148:3 broad-brush (1) 106:10;126:3;155:15, 72:16,25;74:16 96:6 chooses (2) Cecily (1) 7:10;9:17 capitalize (1) 66:10 19;166:5 broader (2) 77:14 35:12 Cal-L (1) Circuit (5) 21:6;22:3 78:23 capped (1) 116:5;117:23;118:6; center (1) called (14) 56:24 broken (1) 13:20 119:14;120:1 147:5 15:17;17:13,13;18:4, **care (4)** certain (15) circulate (1) 28:14,14;29:9;39:5; brought (3) 18;32:22;63:3,3;64:16; 21:10;49:5;59:13; 160:16 88:18;90:3;128:4 97:8 61:6;65:8;67:12;69:7; circumstance (5) 93:19,21;114:1; BrownGreer (9) 128:16:129:2 carefully (2) 87:19;88:20;112:21; 7:10;38:1,2,19; 139:17;152:17; calls (2) 51:10:101:9 113:20;115:7;118:8; 137:25 153:9,13;155:1,2,3; 7:24;8:13 careless (1) 154:20 circumstances (5) 156:11;157:7 14:22 certainly (16) 32:4;34:7;44:18; **came (8) BROWNSTEIN (4)** 16:14;73:20;106:3; Caribou- (1) 43:21;46:20;50:19; 52:3;83:1 60:12,13,17;61:4 61:17;71:17;80:1; 112:13,21;117:5; 12:17 cited (1) Caribou-Palermo (1) 90:14;102:9;107:6; Buckley (2) 128:9;152:20 131:1 130:2;132:25;133:20; 87:11;90:25 **Camp (13)** 17:20 cites (1) Buckley's (1) 12:4,19;13:3;15:13; Carlson (1) 138:9;139:14;161:17; 129:3 93:9 23:9;132:2;142:3,3; 112:12 165:4 citing (1) build (1) 144:18,20;147:5; cars (1) cetera (6) 129:1 46:14 150:10:151:4 112:6 146:4,4,22,22; **City** (1) built (4) CAMPORA (10) case (57) 164:14:167:4 95:22

G. P. (1)	27.1.2.2.5.27.17.2.1	. (=)	50.20.50.10.72.110	12 11 50 12
Civil (1)	25:1,2;26:25;47:24;	coming (7)	60:20;68:18;73:4,19;	42:11;79:13
122:5	50:17;61:9;74:1	7:23;32:5;84:21;	75:20;77:15;146:1	concluded (1)
claim (46)	classification (2)	101:1;149:13;163:16;	company's (4)	167:23
16:15,16,16;46:25;	24:6;77:9	167:3	68:9;69:11;72:16,25	conclusion (2)
110:23;111:4,15;	clean (1)	commenced (1)	compel (4)	67:6;71:4
112:1,2;114:19;	120:7	66:17	20:4;21:3,24;164:14	conclusions (2)
116:23,24,25;117:1,4,	clear (18)	comment (4)	competing (2)	70:12;78:15
6,15,16;118:13,15,16,	14:6;39:15;51:4;	76:19;87:4;101:6;	24:24;56:21	condemnation (12)
19;119:1;120:22,22;	66:1;78:5;80:20;87:5,	126:12	complained (1)	79:18,24;80:22;81:1,
121:6,11;123:3,4,6,10;	7,22;95:18;98:23;	commenting (1)	132:21	20,24;87:4,9;90:10;
126:22;129:8,9,13,14,	103:5;105:3,22;	156:24	complaint (2)	94:15;111:1;132:1
19;130:6,8,10,15;	107:16;114:3,15;	comments (7)	130:18;134:7	condition (3)
131:4;132:13;137:20;	159:15	41:9;44:8;46:9,21;	completed (1)	71:4,14;74:24
138:7;150:14	Clearly (5)	96:16;122:8,9	74:25	conditions (2)
claimant (9)	24:3;58:7;71:2;	Commission (42)	completely (8)	65:23;71:19
116:1;118:2;126:21;	129:13;151:4	28:1;29:25;30:19;	31:4;63:12,17;126:1;	conduct (5)
129:13;130:5,12,14,17;	Clerk (3)	64:6,8,15,24;65:5,7,14,	129:22;159:12;162:19,	17:7;69:18,22;
131:11	118:12;125:4;153:12	22;66:13,17;67:4,5,11,	21	105:19;155:24
claimants (11)	client (4)	16;68:4,4,7,9,23,25;	Completion (1)	conducted (1)
			72:7	154:12
10:24;24:16;35:14;	9:24;50:22;92:22;	69:15,16;70:4,5,9,14,		
37:25;40:2;41:4;	138:17	20,24;71:4,22;72:22;	complex (1)	confer (12)
103:12;129:8;130:6;	clients (10)	73:3,13;74:11;75:24;	91:2	11:16,19;32:16;
137:5,22	23:7;40:14,22;42:7;	76:1;78:3,17,23	complexity (1)	136:19;140:16;141:3;
claimants' (3)	43:2;49:3;63:5;103:25;	commissioners (3)	43:8	152:2,5,6;156:2;
14:6,15;123:24	116:23;161:21	67:3,7;76:10	complicated (3)	157:19;164:17
claims (95)	client's (1)	Commission's (9)	79:5;100:19;133:23	conference (24)
7:14;8:5;15:7,9;	161:11	65:1,19;68:1,3;69:4;	complicates (1)	5:5,7;23:1;34:14;
25:24,25;29:10;33:25;	climate (1)	72:9,19,23;74:21	104:1	52:8,22;99:12,18;
35:21,21,22,22,22;	67:18	commit (2)	complied (1)	100:4,8;101:22,25;
36:14,15,17,19,25;	clinic (1)	77:15;164:16	69:7	104:24;105:4;108:25;
37:2,4,6,21,23;38:3,7;	165:19	commitment (2)	comply (1)	109:2,5,6,8;126:4;
39:21;42:7;56:19;	clock (1)	51:20;63:6	26:3	135:24;156:20;162:2;
59:22;62:1,7,15;72:6;	16:9	commitments (4)	component (2)	166:22
73:5;80:17,19;93:19;	close (2)	51:15,19;63:13,15	90:18;122:21	confident (1)
98:4,4;106:5,5;107:19;	62:4;139:23	committed (6)	components (2)	63:9
110:25;113:21,21;	closed (1)	47:13,14,19;74:21;	40:16;113:4	confidential (1)
114:2,2,3,5,7,10;115:2,	135:10	134:11;141:1	compressed (2)	66:14
13;116:15,15,16;	closes (2)	committee (16)	44:25;147:19	confirm (1)
118:10,11,12;119:20,	134:23,25	7:18;8:12;9:17,20;	compromised (1)	119:2
22,23;120:8,9,10,20,	clue (1)	10:24;14:6,15;35:13;	130:22	confirmable (3)
21;122:9,13,14,17;	66:4	44:4;46:8;56:17;79:19;		57:18;70:20;77:3
123:13,16,18,20;	cocounsel (1)	128:11;131:13;159:23;	42:25;77:10;117:3;	confirmation (12)
126:25,25;128:24;	159:17	161:1	120:11;131:16 conceded (2)	36:2,21;57:19,19,24; 58:3;75:3,15;76:21;
129:15,21,22,23,25; 131:23;133:10;134:13;	co-counsel (1)	committees (3)		
1 3 1 1 / 3 1 1 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 3 4 1 1 3 1	112.15			
	113:15 Code (1)	34:19,19;46:22	42:24;62:19	110:7;118:19,23
137:8,9;138:13;139:4,	Code (1)	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1)	42:24;62:19 concept (7)	110:7;118:19,23 confirmed (3)
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7;	Code (1) 128:18	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12;	110:7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2)	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1)	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17;	110:7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1)
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2)	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7	110:7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2) 82:5;158:23	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23 colleagues (3)	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5 common (2)	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7 concepts (4)	110.7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12 confusing (1)
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2) 82:5;158:23 clarified (1)	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23 colleagues (3) 10:13;49:2;79:6	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5 common (2) 32:17;163:3	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7 concepts (4) 15:2;31:7;117:23,25	110.7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12 confusing (1) 117:22
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2) 82:5;158:23 clarified (1) 80:15	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23 colleagues (3) 10:13;49:2;79:6 collectively (1)	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5 common (2) 32:17;163:3 communicate (3)	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7 concepts (4) 15:2;31:7;117:23,25 concern (3)	110.7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12 confusing (1) 117:22 confusion (2)
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2) 82:5;158:23 clarified (1)	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23 colleagues (3) 10:13;49:2;79:6	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5 common (2) 32:17;163:3	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7 concepts (4) 15:2;31:7;117:23,25	110.7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12 confusing (1) 117:22
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2) 82:5;158:23 clarified (1) 80:15	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23 colleagues (3) 10:13;49:2;79:6 collectively (1) 35:23 colloquy (1)	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5 common (2) 32:17;163:3 communicate (3)	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7 concepts (4) 15:2;31:7;117:23,25 concern (3)	110:7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12 confusing (1) 117:22 confusion (2) 48:25;64:13 conjunction (2)
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2) 82:5;158:23 clarified (1) 80:15 clarify (5)	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23 colleagues (3) 10:13;49:2;79:6 collectively (1) 35:23 colloquy (1) 122:10	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5 common (2) 32:17;163:3 communicate (3) 7:24;10:14;100:18 communicating (1) 103:7	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7 concepts (4) 15:2;31:7;117:23,25 concern (3) 65:6;70:19;72:3	110:7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12 confusing (1) 117:22 confusion (2) 48:25;64:13
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2) 82:5;158:23 clarified (1) 80:15 clarify (5) 56:14;80:12;106:2;	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23 colleagues (3) 10:13;49:2;79:6 collectively (1) 35:23 colloquy (1)	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5 common (2) 32:17;163:3 communicate (3) 7:24;10:14;100:18 communicating (1)	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7 concepts (4) 15:2;31:7;117:23,25 concern (3) 65:6;70:19;72:3 concerning (3)	110:7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12 confusing (1) 117:22 confusion (2) 48:25;64:13 conjunction (2)
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2) 82:5;158:23 clarified (1) 80:15 clarify (5) 56:14;80:12;106:2; 148:5;159:8	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23 colleagues (3) 10:13;49:2;79:6 collectively (1) 35:23 colloquy (1) 122:10	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5 common (2) 32:17;163:3 communicate (3) 7:24;10:14;100:18 communicating (1) 103:7	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7 concepts (4) 15:2;31:7;117:23,25 concern (3) 65:6;70:19;72:3 concerning (3) 67:12;69:20;70:22	110:7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12 confusing (1) 117:22 confusion (2) 48:25;64:13 conjunction (2) 97:7;99:11
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2) 82:5;158:23 clarified (1) 80:15 clarify (5) 56:14;80:12;106:2; 148:5;159:8 clarity (6) 24:18;32:11;39:12;	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23 colleagues (3) 10:13;49:2;79:6 collectively (1) 35:23 colloquy (1) 122:10 combination (1) 61:12	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5 common (2) 32:17;163:3 communicate (3) 7:24;10:14;100:18 communicating (1) 103:7 communication (1) 103:4	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7 concepts (4) 15:2;31:7;117:23,25 concern (3) 65:6;70:19;72:3 concerning (3) 67:12;69:20;70:22 concerns (4)	110:7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12 confusing (1) 117:22 confusion (2) 48:25;64:13 conjunction (2) 97:7;99:11 connect (1) 154:6
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2) 82:5;158:23 clarified (1) 80:15 clarify (5) 56:14;80:12;106:2; 148:5;159:8 clarity (6) 24:18;32:11;39:12; 57:8;62:24;114:18	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23 colleagues (3) 10:13;49:2;79:6 collectively (1) 35:23 colloquy (1) 122:10 combination (1)	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5 common (2) 32:17;163:3 communicate (3) 7:24;10:14;100:18 communicating (1) 103:7 communication (1) 103:4 companies (5)	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7 concepts (4) 15:2;31:7;117:23,25 concern (3) 65:6;70:19;72:3 concerning (3) 67:12;69:20;70:22 concerns (4) 60:21;113:14;157:3, 3	110:7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12 confusing (1) 117:22 confusion (2) 48:25;64:13 conjunction (2) 97:7;99:11 connect (1) 154:6 connected (1)
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2) 82:5;158:23 clarified (1) 80:15 clarify (5) 56:14;80:12;106:2; 148:5;159:8 clarity (6) 24:18;32:11;39:12; 57:8;62:24;114:18 class (9)	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23 colleagues (3) 10:13;49:2;79:6 collectively (1) 35:23 colloquy (1) 122:10 combination (1) 61:12 combine (1) 47:22	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5 common (2) 32:17;163:3 communicate (3) 7:24;10:14;100:18 communicating (1) 103:7 communication (1) 103:4 companies (5) 24:16;29:11;39:1;	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7 concepts (4) 15:2;31:7;117:23,25 concern (3) 65:6;70:19;72:3 concerning (3) 67:12;69:20;70:22 concerns (4) 60:21;113:14;157:3, 3 concession (1)	110:7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12 confusing (1) 117:22 confusion (2) 48:25;64:13 conjunction (2) 97:7;99:11 connect (1) 154:6 connected (1) 155:13
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2) 82:5;158:23 clarified (1) 80:15 clarify (5) 56:14;80:12;106:2; 148:5;159:8 clarity (6) 24:18;32:11;39:12; 57:8;62:24;114:18 class (9) 36:17;50:17,18,18,	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23 colleagues (3) 10:13;49:2;79:6 collectively (1) 35:23 colloquy (1) 122:10 combination (1) 61:12 combine (1) 47:22 combined (2)	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5 common (2) 32:17;163:3 communicate (3) 7:24;10:14;100:18 communicating (1) 103:7 communication (1) 103:4 companies (5) 24:16;29:11;39:1; 106:5;110:12	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7 concepts (4) 15:2;31:7;117:23,25 concern (3) 65:6;70:19;72:3 concerning (3) 67:12;69:20;70:22 concerns (4) 60:21;113:14;157:3, 3 concession (1) 50:23	110:7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12 confusing (1) 117:22 confusion (2) 48:25;64:13 conjunction (2) 97:7;99:11 connect (1) 154:6 connected (1) 155:13 connection (1)
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2) 82:5;158:23 clarified (1) 80:15 clarify (5) 56:14;80:12;106:2; 148:5;159:8 clarity (6) 24:18;32:11;39:12; 57:8;62:24;114:18 class (9) 36:17;50:17,18,18, 22;55:15;57:15;61:9;	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23 colleagues (3) 10:13;49:2;79:6 collectively (1) 35:23 colloquy (1) 122:10 combination (1) 61:12 combine (1) 47:22 combined (2) 55:1;111:16	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5 common (2) 32:17;163:3 communicate (3) 7:24;10:14;100:18 communicating (1) 103:7 communication (1) 103:4 companies (5) 24:16;29:11;39:1; 106:5;110:12 company (15)	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7 concepts (4) 15:2;31:7;117:23,25 concern (3) 65:6;70:19;72:3 concerning (3) 67:12;69:20;70:22 concerns (4) 60:21;113:14;157:3, 3 concession (1) 50:23 concessions (1)	110:7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12 confusing (1) 117:22 confusion (2) 48:25;64:13 conjunction (2) 97:7;99:11 connect (1) 154:6 connected (1) 155:13 connection (1) 15:13
137:8,9;138:13;139:4, 22;140:5;142:7; 153:20;159:1 clarification (2) 82:5;158:23 clarified (1) 80:15 clarify (5) 56:14;80:12;106:2; 148:5;159:8 clarity (6) 24:18;32:11;39:12; 57:8;62:24;114:18 class (9) 36:17;50:17,18,18,	Code (1) 128:18 cold (2) 97:12,23 colleagues (3) 10:13;49:2;79:6 collectively (1) 35:23 colloquy (1) 122:10 combination (1) 61:12 combine (1) 47:22 combined (2)	34:19,19;46:22 committees' (1) 32:18 committing (1) 73:5 common (2) 32:17;163:3 communicate (3) 7:24;10:14;100:18 communicating (1) 103:7 communication (1) 103:4 companies (5) 24:16;29:11;39:1; 106:5;110:12	42:24;62:19 concept (7) 24:23;27:11;63:12; 112:16,18;126:17; 134:7 concepts (4) 15:2;31:7;117:23,25 concern (3) 65:6;70:19;72:3 concerning (3) 67:12;69:20;70:22 concerns (4) 60:21;113:14;157:3, 3 concession (1) 50:23	110:7;118:19,23 confirmed (3) 24:2;43:3;93:2 confused (1) 117:12 confusing (1) 117:22 confusion (2) 48:25;64:13 conjunction (2) 97:7;99:11 connect (1) 154:6 connected (1) 155:13 connection (1)

126:21

98:11

42:3

119:23

19:5

50:2

90:19

67:19

120:7

81:12

124:21

46:12

39:3

9:25

97:3

101:11

160:25;162:15

coordination (1)

consensual (2) 45:20:155:5 consensually (1) 155:24 consensus (1) 166:1 consent (18) 40:23;43:3;108:10, 21;110:1,3,3;152:22; 153:4,5,17,24,25; 154:1,5,19,19;162:13 consents (1) 109:16 consider (1) 90:14 consideration (2) 56:22;67:2 considerations (1) 28:4 considered (3) 13:10;107:3,4 consistency (1) 67:18 consistent (3) 15:2;69:6;127:19 constant (1) 48:9 constituency (3) 7:20;40:4;52:15 constituents (1) 55:16 **Constitution (1)** 81:6 constrained (2) 39:7,7 constructed (1) 83:2 constructionist (1) 20:16 consult (1) 33:22 consultants (1) 18:3 Consulting (1) 17:16 contacting (1) 100:16 contained (1) 13:2 contains (1) 70:12 contemplated (1) 69:1 contentions (1) 145:5 Contested (2) 93:21,21 contesting (2) 44:23;151:3 context (8) 13:11;20:7;44:21;

61:21;62:18;66:14;

72:24;93:18

contingencies (4) 51:23,23,24,24 contingency (1) contingent (7) 117:13,16,18,21,24; 126:19.25 continue (6) 7:9,14;42:10;44:5; 84:12;141:3 continued (1) continuing (1) contract (1) contractor (13) 10:19;13:8,20;14:22, 24;16:15,16,24;17:19; 19:9,13,16;20:9 contractors (15) 12:9,14,17;14:10,12, 18;15:5,8,10,11,22; 17:17;18:10,12,14 contractors' (1) contractual (1) contrary (2) 57:6;85:25 contribution (1) contributions (1) control (5) 34:6,7;113:18;114:6; controlling (1) convenience (1) conventions (1) conversation (3) 22:5;23:14;124:14 conversations (2) 44:7;71:2 convert (1) convinces (1) cooperate (3) 98:7;102:19,21 cooperation (1) cooperative (1) coordinate (7) 95:21,23;96:13; 151:24;160:10;161:3,5 coordinating (2)

159:22 copies (1) 146:12 Cordova (1) 97:1 core (11) 107:14,21;108:2,7, 10,21,22;109:15;112:7, 16,18 Corning (1) 153:1 corporate (2) 67:17;70:1 correctly (1) 162:20 correspondence (1) 20:19 **cost** (5) 48:25;113:25;115:2; 119:22;122:18 costs (3) 120:5,6,8 cost-shifting (1) 87:20 counsel (16) 5:7;18:23;22:8; 32:18;42:19;86:14,15; 87:3;95:22;96:13,17; 122:10;124:23;127:2; 161:16;166:11 counsel's (1) 63:18 count (3) 16:8;102:15;118:24 counting (2) 89:6,6 county (3) 37:8,11;101:18 couple (5) 5:8:46:9:90:10; 125:6;135:24 course (13) 23:9;50:4;51:10,12; 60:19;65:14,15;76:9; 88:25;99:22;102:22; 158:17;164:6 **COURT (667)** 5:3,11,13,15,17,21, 23,25;6:3,5,7,9,13,16, 18,20,24;7:2,7,16,21; 8:3,9,11,13;9:6;10:7, 10,18,22,25;11:2,4,7, 11,23;12:2,6,15,21,23; 13:4,25;14:7,13,19,21; 15:19;16:2,7,10,19,22; 17:9,11;18:1,21;19:2, 17,19,23;20:11,13,15; 21:8,18,22;22:1,7,24; 23:5,22,24;24:9,14,21, 21,22;25:6,22;26:2,5,7, 9,12,16,21,23,23;27:5, 10,13;28:4,9,13,19,22,

21;30:5,8,10,22,25; 31:13.16.18.20:32:2.7. 13,16,24;33:3,5,7,16, 18;34:1,3,24;36:5; 37:1,7,10,13,15;38:9, 14,16,22;39:18,25; 40:11,18,22,25;41:7,9, 17,20,23;42:1,2,4,13, 15,17;43:13,15,22,24; 44:1,3,7,12;45:2,4,12, 15,18,21,22,24;46:4, 16;47:6,10;48:1,6,11, 16,22,24;49:10,13,16, 18;50:6,8;51:6,8,21; 52:4,10,21;53:1,5,13, 22;54:1,3,5,7,8,10,10, 12,15,17,19,22,25; 55:4,6,8,12;56:8,9,12; 57:4,8,11,13;58:11,17, 18,22;59:7,11,24;60:4, 6,9,16;61:3,13,15,19, 23;62:16,19;63:1,7,16, 21,23;64:2,10,11,14, 19,22;65:10,17;66:1,4; 67:20,25;68:10,12,15, 17,19,22,24;69:2,9; 70:24;71:6,9,11,15,20, 25;72:4,14;73:2,18,24; 74:7,14,17;75:1,13,24; 76:3,5,7,9,13,20,24; 77:2,19;78:6,12,20,24; 79:3,6,12;80:10,13,21; 81:3,8,10,10,11,14,16; 82:1,8,10,13,17,20,22; 83:9,18,23;84:5,17; 85:2,7,10,13,21,22,25; 86:4,17,21,25;87:3,24; 88:7,23,25;89:12,15, 17,21,24;90:5,23;91:1, 3,4,9,12,14,16,19,21, 23,24;92:1,4,12,14; 93:2,8,13,15,17,25; 94:13,14,14,19,21,23; 95:1,4,7,12,17,21;96:2, 6,10,16,23,25;97:2,6,9; 98:1,3,9,12;99:4,9,13, 14,15,19,22,25;100:1, 11,15,17,18,20,25; 101:3,5,7,11,24;102:2, 5,7,8,14,17,19,24; 103:2,7,8;104:7,14,16; 105:1,8,12,18;106:1,3, 9,13;107:18;108:12,15, 19;109:3,6,18,20; 110:4,11,21;111:1,6,9, 15,18,21,24;112:10,18; 113:9,12,22,24;114:11, 14,17,21,23,25;115:5, 7,14,19,21,24;116:5, 12,19,21;117:3,18,20, 22;118:4,6,10,21,24; 119:6,11,13:120:1,4, 15;121:1,3,5,14,20,23;

122:20,22;123:1,8,10, 25:124:13.18:125:5.17. 25;126:2,8,8;128:15, 17,22,23,23;129:1,3; 131:1;132:9,10; 133:24;134:2,17,23,25; 135:3,6,8,12;136:4,15; 137:4,10,13,18,22; 138:2,7,14,16,20,22; 139:8,10:140:15; 141:6,7,8,11,12,13,17, 20,23,25;142:6,8,16, 18;143:3,6,8,13,20; 144:2,6,11,15,17,21; 145:2,5,10,20;146:10, 16,20;147:6,9,13,16, 19;148:5,9,15,21,25; 149:2,13,15,18;150:18, 21;151:8,12,17,20; 152:8,13,18;153:14; 154:10,16;155:6,12,14, 17;156:5,9,17,23; 157:8,11,13,15,17,21, 24;158:1,4,7,10,13,15, 19;159:2,4,9,13,16,20, 24;160:8,13,19;161:8, 25;162:4,6,10,12,17, 20,23;163:5,10,13,18, 23;164:1,3,12,18,20, 22,25;165:4,12,16,18, 22,24;166:4,8,10,17, 19;167:11,15,18,20 court-(1) 156:3 courtesy (1) 163:24 courtroom (7) 18:23;84:24;124:2,3; 139:20;152:21;153:2 courts (3) 17:16;27:18;98:14 Court's (7) 21:2;23:12;59:6; 69:5;102:8;107:21; 151:16 cover (2) 21:24;92:24 covering (3) 105:4,5;122:24

41:15;43:8;58:3;77:23; 79:8,16 crack (2)

28:3;31:8,12;34:19;

39:17;42:2 cracked (1)

CPUC (10)

16:5 Craig (2)

86:15;87:2 cram (1) 57:22 Cravath (1) 133:18

25;29:4,6,12,15,15,17,

database (3)

databases (2)

143:9,12

125:12

date (13)

133:5;139:17;152:17

23:23;34:11;47:1;

18;86:11,11;100:3;

60:1;84:21,23;85:4,16,

crazy (1)	dates (5)	19;134:3,3;146:8;	delayed (2)	147:21
153:6	9:23;22:14;83:12,12;	158:25;159:7,23;	149:8;151:3	determination (6)
created (2)	125:19	160:24;165:20	delaying (1)	29:15;45:21;68:11,
18:7;66:9	day (11)	debtors' (12)	151:2	19;121:3;127:8
creative (1)	17:2;61:6;75:6;	5:20;21:3;32:17;	deliberations (1)	determine (8)
166:14	125:10;127:23;132:12;	34:16;39:15;43:19;	70:21	15:15,15;42:9;68:18;
credibility (1)	140:1;145:22;147:12;	77:25;122:10;123:23;	deliver (1)	69:16;94:13;109:15;
155:25	148:8;155:20	126:4;127:2;132:13	19:7	127:24
credible (1)	days (12)	debtor's (3)	delivered (1)	determined (3)
43:1	10:1;90:10;102:15;	98:15;114:1,18	89:5	17:20;30:5;74:5
creditors (3)	141:22;148:14,15,17,	debts (1)	deltas (1)	determines (1)
46:8;47:24;49:7	18;155:15,16,16;	59:10	26:21	132:12
creditors' (1)	163:15	decide (7)	demand (1)	develop (1)
9:20	deadline (11)	29:25;53:11;88:13;	119:25	70:10
criminal (1)	7:14;31:3;52:6,24;	92:23;98:21;104:8;	denial (1)	dictate (1)
12:25	74:22;120:20;129:9;	120:19	133:22	36:21
critical (15)	152:10;162:7;163:24;	decided (1)	denied (3)	differ (1)
8:16;12:3,6;13:18;	167:1	93:7	90:20;92:5;104:10	128:11
15:20;16:23;24:4;	deadlines (1)	decides (2)	Dennis (1)	difference (5)
29:14;67:14;72:9;73:7;	163:8	9:24;97:12	46:7	35:18;44:11,13;
86:12;100:20;104:17;	deal (34)	deciding (1)	deny (8)	117:24;155:18
106:19	10:1;15:3;19:23;	110:13	22:21;88:11;90:15;	differences (1)
criticize (1)	25:17;40:6;41:5,11,11,	decision (18)	95:9,10;116:25;	65:11
166:21	12,15;46:1;52:19;66:5;	42:18;43:6;70:11;	145:17;150:16	different (34)
culpability (2)	79:17;83:6,12;84:5,8,	91:23;93:3;97:10;	denying (4)	12:8,8;25:20;26:25;
16:14;138:17	9;86:8;87:25;94:3,11;	101:9;104:16;109:10,	22:9;108:5;141:15;	28:16,22;33:12;40:8;
culture (4)	103:13;124:22;127:23;	16;128:15,16;129:3,4,	149:13	41:14;42:16;43:10;
35:6,7;67:17;69:24	131:20;154:13,14;	5;154:3,23;155:20	Department (6)	46:19;48:23,24;56:1;
cumbersome (1)	157:4;160:12,20;	decisions (5)	91:5;113:18,18;	61:15;68:14,21;87:15;
161:14	165:1;167:5	23:8;94:15;102:11;	114:6;120:6;122:5	97:17;99:9,23;102:7;
curious (1) 118:11	dealing (9)	106:19,20 declarations (1)	depend (1) 167:3	114:7;117:8,25;
	8:4;39:12,14;44:16; 46:20;48:5;112:25;	6:12		123:21;127:3;141:11;
current (3) 36:12;38:23;39:10	123:2;156:20	dedicated (1)	depending (2) 25:14;140:1	149:5;160:12,21; 163:1;166:10
customers (2)	deals (2)	80:6	depends (2)	differently (3)
17:23;89:7	49:8;110:24	deemed (2)	83:18;88:17	21:19;73:24;149:16
cut (4)	dealt (5)	51:25;130:8	deposition (2)	difficult (2)
42:9;49:8;120:20;	21:5;81:9;116:11;	de-energization (1)	88:3;136:14	35:8;78:21
150:3	127:5;129:23	136:12	depositions (5)	dilute (1)
130.3	death (2)	deeply (1)	136:7,8,10;150:7,8	61:12
D	110:8;112:5	49:5	depository/repository (1)	DIP (1)
	debt (10)	defense (4)	160:2	36:19
damage (11)	36:13,14,14;39:2;	14:24;87:13;130:22;	deputy (1)	direct (3)
82:18;107:19;	47:3;61:12;63:10;68:8,	147:2	124:3	16:16;72:17;122:18
110:24;112:2,25;	25:72:25	defenses (3)	describe (2)	direction (1)
114:5;117:4;120:10;	debtor (31)	13:21:142:23:145:5	44:17;71:3	59:6
123:22;127:13;139:5	7:3;9:7,20;14:23,24;	defer (7)	described (12)	directly (1)
damages (12)	16:17;23:25;28:20;	11:24;22:20;31:25;	17:19;36:16;38:6;	17:21
13:22;38:7;103:19,	35:24;36:4;37:23;39:5,	33:21;53:11;106:14;	71:1,19;72:21;74:2;	disagree (8)
25;111:4,14,15;113:2;	7;42:10,11,18,19;44:4;	127:7	92:11;135:21;138:25;	38:10;62:3;83:19;
123:24;127:17;159:1;	56:22;62:2;73:9;75:2,	deferred (2)	139:15;141:7	105:23;119:12,13;
164:7	5;85:2;90:18;122:14;	32:10;105:14	description (1)	120:16;146:6
data (3)	123:16;126:23;127:24;	definition (4)	144:10	disappoint (1)
153:4,12,13	130:9;131:5	58:15;114:14;119:9;	designed (1)	55:10
datahasa (3)	dobtors (37)	156:21	14.25	disappointed (1)

156:21

degree (2)

delay (7)

147:10

 $definitive \ (3)$

70:20;74:15;101:25

110:13;132:11

18:18;57:5;84:20;

98:9;101:20;104:2;

14:25

desire (1)

45:4

detail (2)

detailed (2)

details (4)

134:5;151:17

70:11;119:22

32:5;61:2;146:23;

debtors (37)

5:16;10:2;11:10;

17:3,3;20:1;24:2;

27:15;35:19;36:11,20;

37:8;41:15;42:2;44:19;

61:24;62:8;63:8;75:9;

81:23;123:19;127:1;

130:1;131:12;133:8,

49:24;51:15;60:19;

disappointed (1) 92:12

disappoints (1)

discharged (1)

81:19

130:22

disclose (1)

disclosed (3)

48:19

57:15;76:17;88:5	157:3	150:5,5,7,9,12,17,20;	duly (1)	145:15
disclosing (1)	dispenses (1)	151:4	130:7	effect (4)
52:14	167:12	DOJ's (1)	Dumas (48)	39:4;68:6;72:12;
disclosure (57)	dispute (15)	121:15	7:7;33:12;35:9,12,	161:22
27:23;30:13,14,16;	82:2;88:10,12;90:15,	dollar (1)	12;37:3,9,11,14,16;	effective (2)
31:3,5,15;32:1,10,19;	21;92:9;94:2,3;95:9;	104:3	38:10,15,17;39:24;	60:1;71:22
33:9,10,22;35:2,5;	114:19;116:2,2;	dollars (19)	40:10,17,21,24;41:1,8,	effectively (4)
41:13;42:21;45:5,7;	126:24;130:2;159:3	26:24;55:20;60:15;	16,19,22,24;42:2,5,16;	83:24;101:14;136:9;
46:15;48:14,17,21;	disputed (19)	62:7,9,13,15;118:2;	43:14,21,23,25;44:2,6,	138:24
49:22;50:9,13,13;	73:5;92:16,21;93:6;	121:10;123:2;130:15;	8,13;45:3,6,14,16,23,	effectiveness (3)
52:18;54:11;55:1,11,	114:23;115:14,19,21;	137:19,19;138:1,1;	25;46:24;56:12;62:3;	65:23;71:5,19
24;56:4;57:7,9,13,21;	117:6,16,25;118:17;	142:11;143:18;147:11;	80:15;122:11;138:15,	efficient (7)
58:8,16;59:1,16;60:3,	126:19,25;128:1;	148:8	24	109:25;111:25;
7;61:16;63:20;71:2,3,	129:16,19;134:13;	Donato (16)	Dumas' (2)	117:4;119:19;125:9;
16,18;153:24;154:17;	138:7	13:5;32:6;98:21;	46:21;77:11	127:20;160:2
156:23,24,25;166:1,14;	disputes (3)	104:20;105:6;106:16;	DUNNE (13)	efficiently (1)
167:12	80:5;115:24;164:8	107:8;110:19,25;	46:6,7,17;47:8,11;	92:7
disclosure- (1)	disputing (2)	111:17,22;112:24;	48:2,7,12,21,23;49:4;	effort (1)
166:5	114:21;164:7	124:16;126:10;127:7;	51:12;63:11	90:16
disclosure-statement (1)	distinction (1)	141:11	Dunne's (1)	efforts (1)
58:4	126:18	Donato's (2)	46:4	48:14
discount (1)	distinguishes (1)	106:9;126:8	duplicates (1)	eight (3)
35:25	92:25	done (35)	150:6	38:12;62:13;145:8
		` '		
discounted (1) 40:1	distraction (1) 48:17	19:15;30:17;34:4,25;	during (4) 79:22;126:10;127:4;	eighteen (13) 36:23;37:21;38:12,
	distress (5)	36:25;43:9;51:13;55:3;	135:23	
discovery (55)		70:17;74:9;79:1,4;		13;39:2;40:8,9;46:25;
11:13;12:9,19;13:6;	105:14;106:6;110:9;	88:15;92:11,15;99:17;	duty (2)	56:24;62:6,9,10,15
15:25;16:14;18:19;	112:5;127:12	106:25;108:15,16,19;	7:19;19:6	eighteen-page (2)
20:2;21:7,20;22:3,18;	distributable (12)	124:9;133:15;134:19;	dying (1)	136:1,21
44:22;83:8;87:21;88:2;	35:24;36:9;37:24;	138:3,4,5;140:8;143:2;	152:20	eighty (4)
98:7;102:23;103:19;	38:18,25;39:13,19;	149:6,6,11;150:2;	dynamics (3)	41:5;136:6,7,8
106:14;108:6;114:16;	41:6;42:8;46:23;61:25;	153:4;155:20,21	38:23;48:18;155:8	either (14)
101.10.100.4 €				07.17.00.14.26.14.
121:19;128:4,5;	139:15	doomed (1)	E	27:17;29:14;36:14;
131:21;132:20,22;	distribution (1)	92:5	E	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7;
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17,	distribution (1) 110:7	92:5 doubt (2)		40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9;
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8;	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15)	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10	earlier (13)	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15;	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23;	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1)	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24;	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2)
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2,	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11;	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19;	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19,	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4;	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18)	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14,	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1)
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23;	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16;	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1)	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17;	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5)	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1)
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1)	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17;	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22;	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1)	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1;	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4)
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9)	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22;	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1)	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7,
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20,	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1)	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7,
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10;	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1)	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1)	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3)
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3)	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5)	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1)	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1)	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1)
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15;	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4)	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:24,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15; 139:17;167:8	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4) 79:25;81:1;82:15;	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25 drafting (4)	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5 easiest (2)	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10 eligibility (2)
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:24,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15; 139:17;167:8 discussing (3)	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4) 79:25;81:1;82:15; 83:3	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25 drafting (4) 39:20;50:21;97:11;	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5 easiest (2) 57:13;58:25	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10 eligibility (2) 116:6;117:10
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:24,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15; 139:17;167:8 discussing (3) 89:8;109:21;127:6	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4) 79:25;81:1;82:15; 83:3 document (5)	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25 drafting (4) 39:20;50:21;97:11; 112:12	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5 easiest (2) 57:13;58:25 easily (1)	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10 eligibility (2) 116:6;117:10 eligible (1)
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:24,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15; 139:17;167:8 discussing (3) 89:8;109:21;127:6 discussion (12)	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4) 79:25;81:1;82:15; 83:3 document (5) 10:19;13:8;17:18;	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25 drafting (4) 39:20;50:21;97:11; 112:12 drafts (1)	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5 easiest (2) 57:13;58:25 easily (1) 18:20	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10 eligibility (2) 116:6;117:10 eligible (1) 67:14
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:24,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15; 139:17;167:8 discussing (3) 89:8;109:21;127:6 discussion (12) 21:6;22:22;25:7;	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4) 79:25;81:1;82:15; 83:3 document (5) 10:19;13:8;17:18; 45:8;75:10	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25 drafting (4) 39:20;50:21;97:11; 112:12 drafts (1) 32:21	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5 easiest (2) 57:13;58:25 easily (1) 18:20 easy (7)	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10 eligibility (2) 116:6;117:10 eligible (1) 67:14 else (18)
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:24,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15; 139:17;167:8 discussing (3) 89:8;109:21;127:6 discussion (12) 21:6;22:22;25:7; 34:10,17;59:12;71:25;	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4) 79:25;81:1;82:15; 83:3 document (5) 10:19;13:8;17:18; 45:8;75:10 documents (46)	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25 drafting (4) 39:20;50:21;97:11; 112:12 drafts (1) 32:21 draw (1)	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5 easiest (2) 57:13;58:25 easily (1) 18:20 easy (7) 35:6;59:12;88:1,2;	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10 eligibility (2) 116:6;117:10 eligible (1) 67:14 else (18) 13:22,24;21:10;25:2;
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:24,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15; 139:17;167:8 discussing (3) 89:8;109:21;127:6 discussion (12) 21:6;22:22;25:7; 34:10,17;59:12;71:25; 79:10;103:10,11;	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4) 79:25;81:1;82:15; 83:3 document (5) 10:19;13:8;17:18; 45:8;75:10 documents (46) 11:21;12:3,24;13:24;	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25 drafting (4) 39:20;50:21;97:11; 112:12 drafts (1) 32:21 draw (1) 8:23	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5 easiest (2) 57:13;58:25 easily (1) 18:20 easy (7) 35:6;59:12;88:1,2; 90:11;110:14,15	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10 eligibility (2) 116:6;117:10 eligible (1) 67:14 else (18) 13:22,24;21:10;25:2; 36:12;50:14;53:3;
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:24,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15; 139:17;167:8 discussing (3) 89:8;109:21;127:6 discussion (12) 21:6;22:22;25:7; 34:10,17;59:12;71:25; 79:10;103:10,11; 112:7;162:3	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4) 79:25;81:1;82:15; 83:3 document (5) 10:19;13:8;17:18; 45:8;75:10 documents (46) 11:21;12:3,24;13:24; 14:8,9;15:21;17:14;	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25 drafting (4) 39:20;50:21;97:11; 112:12 drafts (1) 32:21 draw (1) 8:23 drive (4)	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5 easiest (2) 57:13;58:25 easily (1) 18:20 easy (7) 35:6;59:12;88:1,2; 90:11;110:14,15 echo (1)	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10 eligibility (2) 116:6;117:10 eligible (1) 67:14 else (18) 13:22,24;21:10;25:2; 36:12;50:14;53:3; 79:12;85:14;100:10;
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:24,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15; 139:17;167:8 discussing (3) 89:8;109:21;127:6 discussion (12) 21:6;22:22;25:7; 34:10,17;59:12;71:25; 79:10;103:10,11; 112:7;162:3 discussions (7)	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4) 79:25;81:1;82:15; 83:3 document (5) 10:19;13:8;17:18; 45:8;75:10 documents (46) 11:21;12:3,24;13:24; 14:8,9;15:21;17:14; 19:12;20:4,5,9,20,21,	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25 drafting (4) 39:20;50:21;97:11; 112:12 drafts (1) 32:21 draw (1) 8:23 drive (4) 28:22;80:5;83:14;	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5 easiest (2) 57:13;58:25 easily (1) 18:20 easy (7) 35:6;59:12;88:1,2; 90:11;110:14,15 echo (1) 74:18	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10 eligibility (2) 116:6;117:10 eligible (1) 67:14 else (18) 13:22,24;21:10;25:2; 36:12;50:14;53:3; 79:12;85:14;100:10; 113:10;121:8;127:2;
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:24,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15; 139:17;167:8 discussing (3) 89:8;109:21;127:6 discussion (12) 21:6;22:22;25:7; 34:10,17;59:12;71:25; 79:10;103:10,11; 112:7;162:3 discussions (7) 66:14,18,24;67:6;	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4) 79:25;81:1;82:15; 83:3 document (5) 10:19;13:8;17:18; 45:8;75:10 documents (46) 11:21;12:3,24;13:24; 14:8,9;15:21;17:14; 19:12;20:4,5,9,20,21, 23,24;21:9,21;22:4,13;	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25 drafting (4) 39:20;50:21;97:11; 112:12 drafts (1) 32:21 draw (1) 8:23 drive (4) 28:22;80:5;83:14; 153:6	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5 easiest (2) 57:13;58:25 easily (1) 18:20 easy (7) 35:6;59:12;88:1,2; 90:11;110:14,15 echo (1) 74:18 economical (1)	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10 eligibility (2) 116:6;117:10 eligible (1) 67:14 else (18) 13:22,24;21:10;25:2; 36:12;50:14;53:3; 79:12;85:14;100:10; 113:10;121:8;127:2; 128:3;135:4;160:4;
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:24,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15; 139:17;167:8 discussing (3) 89:8;109:21;127:6 discussion (12) 21:6;22:22;25:7; 34:10,17;59:12;71:25; 79:10;103:10,11; 112:7;162:3 discussions (7) 66:14,18,24;67:6; 78:8;79:22;96:17	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4) 79:25;81:1;82:15; 83:3 document (5) 10:19;13:8;17:18; 45:8;75:10 documents (46) 11:21;12:3,24;13:24; 14:8,9;15:21;17:14; 19:12;20:4,5,9,20,21, 23,24;21:9,21;22:4,13; 140:10;142:9,14;	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25 drafting (4) 39:20;50:21;97:11; 112:12 drafts (1) 32:21 draw (1) 8:23 drive (4) 28:22;80:5;83:14; 153:6 driving (1)	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5 easiest (2) 57:13;58:25 easily (1) 18:20 easy (7) 35:6;59:12;88:1,2; 90:11;110:14,15 echo (1) 74:18 economical (1) 107:18	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10 eligibility (2) 116:6;117:10 eligible (1) 67:14 else (18) 13:22,24;21:10;25:2; 36:12;50:14;53:3; 79:12;85:14;100:10; 113:10;121:8;127:2; 128:3;135:4;160:4; 165:11;167:20
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15; 139:17;167:8 discussing (3) 89:8;109:21;127:6 discussion (12) 21:6;22:22;25:7; 34:10,17;59:12;71:25; 79:10;103:10,11; 112:7;162:3 discussions (7) 66:14,18,24;67:6; 78:8;79:22;96:17 dismiss (1)	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4) 79:25;81:1;82:15; 83:3 document (5) 10:19;13:8;17:18; 45:8;75:10 documents (46) 11:21;12:3,24;13:24; 14:8,9;15:21;17:14; 19:12;20:4,5,9,20,21, 23,24;21:9,21;22:4,13; 140:10;142:9,14; 143:15,17;144:9,12,24;	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25 drafting (4) 39:20;50:21;97:11; 112:12 drafts (1) 32:21 draw (1) 8:23 drive (4) 28:22;80:5;83:14; 153:6 driving (1) 26:12	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5 easiest (2) 57:13;58:25 easily (1) 18:20 easy (7) 35:6;59:12;88:1,2; 90:11;110:14,15 echo (1) 74:18 economical (1) 107:18 Edison (2)	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10 eligibility (2) 116:6;117:10 eligible (1) 67:14 else (18) 13:22,24;21:10;25:2; 36:12;50:14;53:3; 79:12;85:14;100:10; 113:10;121:8;127:2; 128:3;135:4;160:4; 165:11;167:20 else's (1)
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15; 139:17;167:8 discussing (3) 89:8;109:21;127:6 discussion (12) 21:6;22:22;25:7; 34:10,17;59:12;71:25; 79:10;103:10,11; 112:7;162:3 discussions (7) 66:14,18,24;67:6; 78:8;79:22;96:17 dismiss (1) 92:16	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4) 79:25;81:1;82:15; 83:3 document (5) 10:19;13:8;17:18; 45:8;75:10 documents (46) 11:21;12:3,24;13:24; 14:8,9;15:21;17:14; 19:12;20:4,5,9,20,21, 23,24;21:9,21;22:4,13; 140:10;142:9,14; 143:15,17;144:9,12,24; 145:18,18;148:3,11;	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25 drafting (4) 39:20;50:21;97:11; 112:12 drafts (1) 32:21 draw (1) 8:23 drive (4) 28:22;80:5;83:14; 153:6 driving (1) 26:12 due (3)	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5 easiest (2) 57:13;58:25 easily (1) 18:20 easy (7) 35:6;59:12;88:1,2; 90:11;110:14,15 echo (1) 74:18 economical (1) 107:18 Edison (2) 91:5,6	40:1;45:20;79:25;94:7; 100:6;104:23;107:7,9; 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7, 9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10 eligibility (2) 116:6;117:10 eligible (1) 67:14 else (18) 13:22,24;21:10;25:2; 36:12;50:14;53:3; 79:12;85:14;100:10; 113:10;121:8;127:2; 128:3;135:4;160:4; 165:11;167:20 else's (1) 104:13
131:21;132:20,22; 135:17,22,23;136:1,17, 21,24;137:2,4,5,8; 138:9;139:6;140:15; 143:15;149:4;151:2, 25;152:3;159:19,19, 20;160:10;161:23; 163:7,21;165:15 discretion (1) 132:10 discuss (9) 66:15;88:6;104:20, 25;110:21;125:10; 156:2;162:3;165:24 discussed (5) 34:17;88:5;112:15; 139:17;167:8 discussing (3) 89:8;109:21;127:6 discussion (12) 21:6;22:22;25:7; 34:10,17;59:12;71:25; 79:10;103:10,11; 112:7;162:3 discussions (7) 66:14,18,24;67:6; 78:8;79:22;96:17 dismiss (1)	distribution (1) 110:7 district (15) 23:11;24:21;26:23; 35:2;45:22;55:1;81:11; 85:22;109:6,7,8;110:4; 112:13;114:17;156:18 Division (1) 122:5 doable (1) 76:14 doc (1) 164:18 docket (3) 113:17;118:10,12 doctrine (4) 79:25;81:1;82:15; 83:3 document (5) 10:19;13:8;17:18; 45:8;75:10 documents (46) 11:21;12:3,24;13:24; 14:8,9;15:21;17:14; 19:12;20:4,5,9,20,21, 23,24;21:9,21;22:4,13; 140:10;142:9,14; 143:15,17;144:9,12,24;	92:5 doubt (2) 93:5;134:10 Dow (1) 153:1 down (18) 17:24;38:17;42:16; 43:12;48:12;55:17; 57:23;87:12;90:8,17; 97:2;98:17;100:1; 107:2;118:16;120:22; 144:4;152:14 dozens (1) 136:16 draft (1) 75:25 drafting (4) 39:20;50:21;97:11; 112:12 drafts (1) 32:21 draw (1) 8:23 drive (4) 28:22;80:5;83:14; 153:6 driving (1) 26:12	earlier (13) 32:21;76:19;80:24; 84:12;85:3;86:19; 102:17,18,20;125:14, 14,22;138:23 early (5) 57:6;58:7;102:22; 120:25;122:11 earnest (1) 66:19 ease (1) 10:12 easier (1) 40:5 easiest (2) 57:13;58:25 easily (1) 18:20 easy (7) 35:6;59:12;88:1,2; 90:11;110:14,15 echo (1) 74:18 economical (1) 107:18 Edison (2)	40:1;45:20;79:25;94: 100:6;104:23;107:7,9 118:20;121:9,10 elaborate (2) 54:10;59:14 electric (1) 69:21 electricity (1) 19:7 elements (4) 134:12;159:1;164:7,9 elephant (3) 153:9,10;154:25 eleven- (1) 108:10 eligibility (2) 116:6;117:10 eligible (1) 67:14 else (18) 13:22,24;21:10;25:2;36:12;50:14;53:3;79:12;85:14;100:10;113:10;121:8;127:2;128:3;135:4;160:4;165:11;167:20 else's (1)

72:8 25:5:37:12 12;122:15;123:13,17, ex (1) email (3) entitled (7) 19.20.23:124:22.24: 101:12 7:13;24:17;101:16; 17:19,21;18:3 126:15,24;127:9,10,25; exact (1) emails (4) 115:13:119:24:138:8: 128:7.17:129:7.18.24: 116:10 17:15;18:16;149:24, 152:23 exactly (19) 131:3,6;132:6,8,16; 24 entitlement (2) 134:9;137:14;139:3, 24:16;28:21;38:15; embed (1) 97:14:130:18 13;140:4;141:10; 39:24;40:10;45:14; 88:19 entity (3) 147:13,17;150:25; 49:4,7;51:14;62:23; embedded (1) 35:22;59:9;69:6 79:2;81:15,17;87:5,5; 151:6;153:18,19; 87:23 environmental (1) 154:6,8,11:155:9,19, 95:25;99:14,20;120:3 emerge (1) 120:7 24;156:8,14,18;157:20 exam (1) 60:19 envision (2) estimations (1) 19:20 Emergency (4) 55:24:88:15 147:20 example (15) 113:17;114:7;120:5, equation (1) estimator (1) 7:17;11:15;17:12; 90:19 132:12 69:15;70:9;83:15; equipment (2) emotional (5) et (6) 87:18;90:3;114:10; 105:14;106:6;110:9; 146:4,4,22,22; 131:7,8 122:24;136:6;145:20; 112:4;127:12 equity (12) 164:14;167:4 147:5;151:22;167:1 27:2;39:3,9;48:3; emotional-distress (1) evaluate (2) exceeds (2) 38:7 61:11;63:8,10;68:8; 67:12;68:5 47:4;61:25 69:1,11;72:25;77:6 evaluated (1) except (1) emotional-distress-type (1) 127:17 equivalent (2) 38:23 117:7 employee (2) 88:8;92:16 evaluating (1) excess (1) 19:10;36:14 error (1) 18:6 42:8 exclude (2) employees (2) 120:17 evaluation (2) 18:2;149:24 especially (1) 155:5;156:10 164:13;165:4 employment (1) 109:5 evaluations (1) excluded (1) 9:12 essential (5) 156:16 127:8 encourage (1) 12:17;13:1;14:14; even (33) exclusive (1) 104:24 72:19,23 13:1;19:15;20:5; 42:3 encouraging (2) essentially (3) 23:15;24:3;32:5;38:4; exclusivity (9) 128:19,22 108:25:122:7:159:9 23:10:25:8:33:8: 42:24;45:10;57:23; end (10) established (1) 59:11;62:11;76:16,19; 42:23;43:4,7;51:11; 9:24;16:9;17:2;23:8; 130:3 77:21;81:10,11,22; 76:25:167:6 estate (4) 88:11;96:7;103:21; exculpatory (1) 24:10;34:13;71:12; 46:21;48:4;49:6; 76:8;77:23;132:11 146:18 104:9;107:2,10; ended (2) 143:18 109:21;111:6;112:15; excuse (6) 75:14;129:1 37:7:83:20:95:16: estimate (2) 119:24;131:7,8;146:3; 130:3;132:13 161:15.22 129:24:131:8:133:2 endgame (1) 153:22 estimated (6) event (2) execution (5) ends (2)36:15;47:14;114:14; 64:19,25 26:19,25;61:11;63:4, 8:14;24:3 118:5,20;131:24 eventually (2) 13 engage (5) estimating (2) 44:21,22 exegesis (1) 20:20,22;103:20; 132:9;142:7 everybody (16) 71:24 129:7;131:22 estimation (121) 25:2;34:8,15;41:2; exercise (1) 8:4;12:7,11,12;13:7, 49:7;52:15;54:9;58:8; 70:5 engagement (5) 7:8,9;9:11,12,18 98:15;121:23;152:1,1, 10,17,19,21,21;14:25; exist (1) enjoy (1) 15:21,25;16:10;18:19; 3,4;153:6,7 51:19 99:24 20:3;22:6,19;24:3,13; everybody's (2) existing (1) enough (7) 25:15,16;26:22;28:15; 55:9;90:16 68:3 24:9:53:19:58:17: 29:8;30:17;32:4;34:4, everyone (6) exists (2) 152:18,19 59:3;85:18;100:3; 8;38:14,18;40:4,13; 35:16;36:8;74:19,22; 156:3 41:21;43:9;44:9;51:14; 160:3;167:21 exit (1) 58:11;62:17,23;72:3,7, everyone's (1) enter (1) 68:6 18;73:8;74:20,23; 124:20 exonerated (1) 64:15 75:19,22;77:23;78:1; evidence (5) enterprise (6) 132:2 36:2,3,7;40:19;47:4; 79:22,23;84:10;90:7, 74:12;132:14;151:2, expansive (1) 56:11 19;93:19,19;98:19; 5,7 28:2 entire (2) 100:21;103:15;104:25; evident (1) expect (5) 18:7;98:23 105:6;107:14,16; 42:6 46:20;53:10;77:13; entirely (1) 109:14;111:12,14,15; evidentiary (4) 102:4.12 14:5 114:1,8,20;115:4; 67:3:70:6:98:10: expectancy (1) entities (2) 116:17;120:12;121:7, 105:19 15:12

expectation (2) 100:14:125:8 expectations (2) 55:10:57:9 expected (1) 76:10 expects (1) 64:15 expedient (1) 44:14 expedited (2) 148:19:161:12 expeditious (1) 107:17 expended (1) 123:1 experience (5) 75:4,9;101:24; 105:23;156:3 experienced (2) 96:3;162:25 experiences (1) 102:10 expert (1) 156:15 experts (2) 151:1;154:8 explain (14) 33:13;36:1;60:3; 66:22;71:12;72:10; 82:11:105:11:108:15, 21:141:15:142:6: 144:7;149:9 explaining (1) 52:12 explanation (8) 28:2;100:25;132:5; 144:25;146:2,5,6; 147:2 explanations (1) 146:21 explanatory (1) 35:16 expressing (1) 35:17 extend (3) 9:17,24;10:10 extended (1) 82:23 extension (3) 5:20;6:17;98:5 extent (13) 13:8;45:6;46:14; 49:24;83:22;84:8; 123:12,12,15,16; 140:25;159:5;161:20 extra (3) 84:25;85:6,19 extrapolate (1) 140:5 extreme (3) 61:20;132:17;139:11

		I		1
	9:1	95:19,20;116:23;	12:5,19,20;13:3;	27:11;63:11,18;
-	faster (1)	120:21,21;129:9;	15:14;23:9;24:16;	74:22
${f F}$,
	103:18	166:1,4;167:7,16	28:20;34:5;44:20,23,	fly (2)
face (2)	favor (1)	filed (25)	23;54:6;55:16;56:19;	160:14;162:17
66:1;147:4	63:19	6:14;14:9;20:4;31:1;	81:25;83:2,19;86:15;	focus (2)
faced (2)	favorably (1)	47:12;51:3,16,21;61:1;	87:11;101:19;104:4;	12:8;41:18
32:4;131:23	97:18	66:21;74:10;75:2,5,13,	106:7;113:2,17,21;	focused (1)
facie (4)	favorite (1)	18;93:11;96:22;	114:1,5,9;117:20;	13:18
130:7,10,23;131:22	50:12	106:24;118:13;119:21;	118:1;119:18,22;	focusing (2)
	feasibility (1)	125:22;129:8;130:8;	120:9;121:19;123:2;	16:11;58:5
facilitate (2)	68:11	149:11;150:15	126:20;127:14,18;	fold (2)
70:21;72:18				22:22;83:25
facilitating (1)	feasible (4)	files (6)	129:11;131:7,9,9,14;	
161:10	30:2;52:12;58:2;	23:25;56:23;116:24;	132:1,15;140:11;	folder (1)
fact (22)	60:23	130:14;131:6;137:8	142:3,19,22,22;144:6,	21:9
14:4;20:4;24:4;	February (3)	filing (9)	12,22;145:22,25;146:2,	folks (1)
70:12;81:18;87:7;	77:24;78:2;149:11	21:3;23:19;31:25;	25;147:1;149:14;	161:2
88:20;92:9,17,18,19,	federal (9)	32:9;33:21;64:18,23;	150:15;151:4,14,22;	follow (4)
20,25;93:6;105:15;	43:15;81:6;99:25;	75:14;125:8	155:23;159:18;160:3,	75:9;78:3;149:22,23
110:5;124:16;129:20;	100:20;109:3;122:6,8,	filings (1)	10;165:2	following (6)
	12,17	134:8	fire-related (1)	64:18;67:3;128:14,
136:7,8;137:17;148:1	feel (3)	fill (1)	114:2	25;131:25;132:4
factors (1)		29:23	fires (24)	follows (2)
152:25	99:22,24;113:6			
facts (23)	feet (3)	filled (1)	14:5;33:14;56:19;	75:17;80:25
21:15;80:5;81:7;	97:12,23,24	77:21	81:23;82:24;89:8,10,	follow-up (1)
82:2;83:4,8,13,19;	Feld (1)	final (4)	13,15,18,21;90:2;	166:22
87:23;88:1,10,12;	49:15	73:3,14;78:1;128:6	117:5;122:17;127:18;	footnote (2)
90:15,20;94:1,2,2,3;	fell (3)	finalization (1)	129:17;132:2,3,15;	101:10,11
95:9;106:7;120:25;	145:25;146:3,21	75:22	133:25;141:16;147:24;	forces (1)
154:3;164:10	fellow (1)	finally (1)	151:15;153:23	26:24
	55:21	69:24	firm (3)	Forest (1)
factual (22)	felt (2)	financed (1)	8:8,9;10:13	132:2
70:6,10;80:5;81:24;	110:3,16	39:13	first (18)	forever (1)
82:1;84:8;86:20;87:17;	FEMA (4)	financial (9)	9:9;11:17;41:13;	149:20
90:2,12;91:7,18;108:5,				
22;116:10;134:13;	37:4;122:24,24;	24:10;42:6;45:9,16;	42:2;49:20;52:5;65:25;	forget (2)
141:15;142:7,25;	123:1	56:1;77:14;122:18;	67:4;86:8;96:22;100:8;	77:1;99:3
144:10;145:1;164:8	few (5)	166:11;167:3	104:4;105:20;109:15;	form (4)
factually (1)	22:10;64:8;125:1;	financially (1)	142:2;144:8;152:20;	55:6;66:25;72:12;
89:8	158:7;165:20	60:20	154:1	77:2
fail (1)	fielding (1)	financing (18)	fish (2)	formal (4)
15:17	8:17	33:25;39:8;51:10,15,	84:13;120:20	53:16;65:22;70:15;
failed (3)	fifteen (4)	19,22,25;53:3;63:8,9,	fit (2)	134:21
` /	124:10,11;145:17;	14;69:1;72:12,15;73:1,	90:11;155:7	formally (1)
13:2;15:13;17:22	160:21	21;74:15;75:23	five (5)	167:13
failure (1)	fifteen-minute (2)	finding (2)	118:2;147:23,24;	formula (1)
15:17		25:24;98:10		
fair (7)	124:7,20	/	148:17;150:5	90:19
25:3,4;82:4;121:5;	fifty (3)	findings (5)	fix (2)	formulation (1)
127:1,7;129:17	44:18,25;140:1	67:12,17;68:2;70:6,	115:8,10	65:4
fairly (1)	fight (1)	12	fixed (4)	forth (5)
35:17	44:20	finds (1)	25:17;115:8,10;	22:12;24:6;86:13;
fairness (1)	figure (10)	121:9	129:12	95:5;149:6
119:16	34:13;40:15;44:24;	fine (15)	fixing (1)	fortunately (1)
faith (1)	53:20;92:6;124:24;	5:25;6:9;10:6;31:18;	27:18	45:25
, ,	136:22;143:20;145:14;	46:10;57:16;86:9;	flesh (2)	forty (9)
156:12	150:22	94:12;99:6;100:16,19;	76:15;77:19	38:13;40:9;41:4;
fall (3)	figured (1)	109:19:136:8:157:21;	fleshed (1)	44:14,18,25;47:2;
61:24;83:2;145:24	38:11	161:24	31:8	77:12;80:17
familiar (4)				
54:19,25;90:6;	figuring (1)	fines (1)	flip (1)	forums (1)
126:16	165:6	69:17	100:17	17:15
fancy (1)	file (25)	finished (1)	flipside (1)	forward (26)
27:23	16:23;21:9;23:20;	165:17	29:22	5:19;8:6;10:18;
far (3)	33:19;42:20;50:1;52:6,	finite (1)	floor (1)	22:18;24:18;27:12;
56:20;76:17;107:18	17;56:23;75:21;85:3;	37:8	90:9	29:7;30:19;33:24;
farther (1)	91:6;92:10;93:17,24;	fire (69)	fluid (4)	44:10;48:15;53:4;
initial (1)		, ,	, ,	, , ,

				1
84:10;86:11;97:24;	fund (8)	65:5,21;66:25;67:1;	36:22	150:1,11
98:19;104:19;105:18;	25:10,18;56:24;66:9;	69:13,13,15;76:2	guidance (2)	heads-up (1)
130:13,24;131:11;	67:15;72:13;73:12,25	goal (3)	126:7;128:18	9:21
137:11;150:22;157:16;	fundamental (2)	48:16;65:14;76:19	guided (1)	healthy (3)
			128:14	
161:23;167:21 found (3)	38:11;115:12	goals (4)		145:23,25;146:3
	fundamentally (2)	65:4,21;66:8;67:18	Gump (1)	hear (12)
8:7;16:23;150:2	92:8;116:17	God (1)	49:15	18:21;19:1;30:12;
four (6)	funding (12)	16:24	gut (1)	34:19;46:21;49:10;
15:24;16:1;20:23;	26:6,9;27:8,9,16;	goes (20)	83:10	85:21;86:21;121:5,23;
67:21;153:23,25	28:12;30:1;31:6;47:23;	14:18;17:5,25;18:9,	guys (1)	155:7;166:23
fourteen (4)	59:10;73:11;76:17	11;26:24;28:19;30:4;	151:23	heard (18)
39:2;148:14,17;	further (4)	31:1;45:18;51:9;52:4;	-	10:4;11:21;55:25;
163:15	11:15;34:10;162:23;	73:4;100:22;105:18,	H	63:4;67:9;72:11;73:9;
Fox (1)	165:13	20;128:23;132:16;		77:5,6;79:12;94:5;
60:13	future (2)	135:19;160:3	habit (1)	103:4;113:10;122:3;
frame (4)	87:15;107:19	Good (29)	104:22	138:15,23,24;148:22
44:15,25;66:15;		5:10,17;6:25;7:1;	hairsplitting (1)	hearing (31)
128:6	G	10:11,21,22;17:3;33:1;	18:4	31:3;32:5;34:13;
framed (1)		35:11,12;49:1,18;	half (6)	52:7;53:10,15;63:4;
13:9	gamble (1)	53:18,24;54:7;60:12;	28:6;39:11;93:3;	66:6;76:20,24,25,25;
frames (1)	112:23	64:1;73:22;77:8;82:19,	103:10;128:7;134:2	79:23;91:13;97:3;
131:10	game (1)	24,24;86:7,7;87:1;	Halloween (1)	98:20;100:12;105:19;
FRANCISCO (7)	129:18	102:10;148:2;156:12	34:4	106:4;122:12;131:15;
5:1;45:10;62:16;	gap (2)	gorilla (2)	halves (1)	134:9;154:8,12;156:8,
97:2;101:20;112:17;	139:24;167:4	155:3,4	27:22	14,18;160:23,24;
165:20	Garrison (1)	Gotshal (1)	hand (4)	161:3;167:21
frankly (5)	64:5	5:14	29:21;66:13;128:10;	hearings (5)
59:4;64:11;110:12;	gas (1)	governance (1)	132:22	67:3;70:6;74:11;
115:10;134:20	69:21	67:17	handful (2)	100:3;101:12
Frascella (4)	gave (1)	governing (1)	82:23;114:4	heart (4)
128:16,16;129:1;	42:2	70:4	handle (1)	14:18;17:25;18:9,11
131:1	general (2)	government (2)	165:20	Heath (1)
frequently (1)	36:21;134:6	122:8,12	handled (2)	129:2
8:1	generally (2)	Government's (1)	6:22;91:5	heavy (1)
Friday (4)	11:13;137:1	123:18	hang-up (1)	8:23
163:16,16,16;164:11	General's (2)	grace (1)	58:12	held (6)
friends (1)	113:16;159:18	167:9	happen (16)	12:25;14:23;96:8;
83:22	generic (1)	grant (5)	7:17;34:5;40:12,13,	101:17,18,20
fringe (1)	129:16	8:15;22:21;96:20;	13;42:25;47:19;50:8;	help (11)
129:22	gentleman (1)	104:11;160:14	70:18;73:23;74:2;	7:12;8:8,10;9:4;
frivolous (2)	148:21	grants (1)	97:15,21;99:1;126:12;	29:23;38:22;49:11;
129:23;130:1	gets (13)	159:6	136:25	74:3;96:19;159:22;
front (10)	27:21;30:10;47:12;	Great (8)	happened (6)	161:5
13:20;15:6;87:11;	56:9;74:4,5;75:18;	6:6;9:3;45:20;49:1;	16:8;99:20;100:10;	helpful (7)
97:7;103:6;111:16,22;	97:12;100:19;104:2;	54:9;61:3;91:21;	101:14;129:8;150:11	49:4;53:19;64:9;
138:11,19;141:4	121:10,10;127:15	149:21	happening (2)	88:7;107:5,10;166:13
fruit (1)	Gibson (1)	greater (1)	17:22;99:14	helping (1)
66:24	152:22	56:20	happens (3)	8:25
frustrated (1)	given (14)	ground (2)	29:8;45:21;167:22	here's (7)
13:14	14:11;21:1;43:7;	13:10;126:23		8:22;55:5;124:1;
			happy (6)	
fry (1) 84:13	44:15;70:14;83:1;	group (14)	83:25;84:19;86:21;	133:5;151:21,24;
	106:15;132:10;133:4;	25:9,14;47:1;49:19;	100:25;116:16;165:21	153:22
full (5)	140:8;143:11;150:4,5;	53:18;95:23;140:9;	hard (1)	hey (1)
36:13;57:16;59:23;	151:6	151:14;152:2;157:23;	136:4	39:1
60:3;139:5	gives (4)	158:21;161:3,16;	hardest (1)	hide (1)
full-blown (1)	31:25;84:22,23;	165:10	138:12	50:11
103:18	166:10	groups (2)	hat (1)	high (4)
fully (3)	giving (4)	112:21;131:14	92:14	17:22;73:18,19,20
50:2;80:2;134:11	34:11;43:20;78:15;	guess (11)	Hauer (1)	higher (4)
function (1)	149:14	7:3;9:8;10:2;42:5;	49:15	35:24;38:3;40:5;
70:5	glad (1)	45:7;74:18;83:10;89:9;	Hays (2)	73:12
functions (1)	162:4	98:22;113:1;145:24	53:25;151:14	higher- (1)
72:19	global (8)	guesses (1)	hazard (2)	38:6
	1	1		

August 27, 2019

GAS AND ELECTRIC C			I	August 21, 2017
himself (1)	17,21;160:22;161:24;	133:22	Indeed (2)	inspection (4)
98:21	162:5,8,16,22;163:4,	ignition (2)	66:15;70:16	143:15,16;146:12;
historical (1)	19;164:19;165:3,7	131:7;132:3	indemnified (1)	147:7
153:3	Honor's (7)	III (7)	9:15	instead (3)
hoc (6)	51:11;63:19;103:5;	104:9,17;106:15;	indenture (2)	103:23;124:11;
25:9;49:19;79:19;	104:6;105:5;135:17,20	110:14;112:22;121:3;	60:13,24	160:11
128:11;158:20;165:10	hook (2)	140:4	individual (17)	instituting (1)
	15:5,6	imagine (5)		64:16
hold (1) 98:16			25:25;35:21;37:19; 38:2;47:2;80:17;	
	hope (9)	39:20;59:17;68:16;		institutions (2)
holders (1)	5:4;31:7,22;35:16;	69:15;75:17	123:24;131:14;137:5;	56:1;166:11
60:22	46:20;47:8;77:10;	immediacy (1)	138:11,13;139:5,9,19;	instrument (1)
holds (1)	78:20;79:4	12:10	147:24,24;155:5	39:3
92:22	hopefully (5)	immediately (2)	individual-plaintiff (1)	insufficient (1)
home (3)	44:5;65:21;66:24;	70:16;78:10	136:3	141:23
97:10;127:13;138:1	72:8;74:23	impact (3)	inefficient (1)	insurance (9)
homes (1)	hoping (2)	30:1;72:17;73:21	160:7	14:11;24:16;29:11;
89:5	35:15;92:13	impacted (1)	inflexible (1)	36:23;38:4;39:1;62:7;
Honor (213)	horrible (1)	153:22	125:13	106:5;110:12
5:10;6:2;7:1,18;10:6,	112:4	impair (1)	inform (1)	insurer (2)
17,21;11:1,9,14,25;	hour (1)	48:19	36:7	137:19,25
12:13;13:23;14:3,17;	124:11	impaired (12)	information (23)	insurers (4)
15:23;16:1,18;17:6,12;	hour-and-fifteen-minute (1)	24:6;25:1,5;36:17;	9:2;10:15;12:25;	36:24;62:8;72:7;
19:1,25;20:19;21:5,19;	124:7	47:24;50:20;59:22,24;	15:14,20;19:24;21:11;	137:8
22:2;24:11;25:23;27:7;	hours (3)	61:8,10;73:25;77:6	33:24;45:9,16;53:19;	intend (4)
28:10,23;31:23,25;	121:21;125:22;	impairing (1)	55:13;56:2,3;132:24;	23:20;41:25;50:2;
33:2;35:12;41:24;	145:22	57:23	133:1;134:20;135:19;	111:4
45:16;46:3,6,7,10,11;	house (1)	implicated (1)	138:9;139:22;140:3;	intended (2)
47:13,17;48:8,13;	137:18	85:24	154:20,23	44:9;109:14
49:12,20;51:4,9,10,18,	housekeeping (2)	importance (3)	informed (10)	intends (1)
22;52:1,20;53:21,24;	125:6;165:25	12:10;13:16;67:14	55:15;104:23;153:3,	60:24
54:8,18;55:2;57:3;	houses (1)	important (11)	24,25;154:1,5,19,19,23	intensity (1)
59:21;60:8,12,17,20,	112:5	19:14;22:13;49:23;	infrastructure (2)	12:8
25;61:6,21;62:17;64:1,	how're (1)	51:12,18;66:11,19;	19:7;69:22	intent (2)
6,23;65:24;66:7,19;	28:6	74:24;78:11;103:8;	ingrained (1)	107:20;110:23
67:13,24;69:8;70:2,19,	huge (1)	117:9	126:18	intention (4)
25;72:4;73:17;74:6,18;	62:21	importantly (1)	initial (2)	41:3;52:6;53:13;
75:12,16;78:14;79:11;	huh (1)	58:2	11:18;103:23	166:22
80:9,16,23;81:15,17,	152:18	imposing (1)	initiated (2)	interest (3)
19;82:5;84:11,19,22;	human (1)	126:16	75:5;132:22	30:2;60:19;119:24
85:12,17,17;86:3,7,24;	155:16	impossible (3)	initiation (1)	interests (1)
87:2;88:18;89:3,20,23;	hung (1)	78:2,2,4	64:25	77:14
90:1,22;91:25;92:8,18;	19:20	imprecise (1)	injuries (4)	interfering (1)
93:16;94:17;95:11,16;	hypothetical (5)	128:17	112:3;127:11,16,17	99:25
96:15,24;97:8;99:8;	37:17;55:13;130:14;	impressed (1)	injury (9)	interim (1)
100:14,24;101:4,17;	137:23,24	97:18	97:23;105:14;106:6;	66:21
100:14,24,101.4,17,	137.23,24	improved (1)	107:19;110:8;111:16;	interlock (1)
105:2,22;106:12;	I	42:12	112:3;113:4;127:12	140:22
107:13;113:8,11,13,20;	1	inclined (1)	innovative (1)	intermediaries (1)
114:24;115:23;116:4,	idea (7)	99:4	41:12	8:17
10;117:2;118:1,18;		include (8)		
	71:18;77:8;80:16;	6:14;10:2;37:12;	innovators (1)	intermediate (1)
119:4,20;120:9;121:4,	134:6;149:16;153:11;		35:1	82:22
13,18;122:4,7;123:5,	154:20	105:2;127:11;139:5;	input (1)	interplay (1)
11,15;124:17;125:2;	identifiable (1)	150:10;164:13	60:6	79:16
128:20;133:17,20;	18:20	included (3)	inquiry (1)	interpret (1)
134:10,19;135:2,14;	identification (2)	10:3;45:9;127:8	22:11	108:23
137:6,15,24;138:11,25;	108:4;109:15	includes (2)	insider (1)	interrelated (1)
139:16;140:4,12,18;	identified (4)	106:3;164:18	9:1	63:12
141:10;142:11,14;	60:21;84:23;136:6,	including (3)	insolvent (1)	interrogatories (21)
145:9;147:3,11;	16	20:21;93:2;97:11	41:6	133:4,7;134:18,22;
148:11,24;149:1,19;	identify (6)	incorporate (1)	inspect (1)	141:4,14,17,22;142:9,
150:7,24;151:11;	18:13;89:12;144:12,	134:22	15:15	10;143:24;145:2,7,12;
152:7,12,23;158:3,5,9,	16;158:25;164:9	increased (1)	inspecting (1)	148:13;158:22,24;
11,17,21,23;159:5,12,	identifying (1)	87:19	148:2	159:7,10;163:9,14

GAS AND ELECTRIC C		1	1	August 27, 2019
intermedatory (0)	involves (2)	61:6	16.9.42.7.66.9.	39:11,18;45:5;46:13,
interrogatory (9)			16:8;43:7;66:8;	
134:4;135:11;142:4,	65:16;69:22	job (6)	74:21	22,23;47:4,13,15,22;
5,18,21;144:9,11,23	involving (2)	74:21;123:3;146:15;	jurisdiction (4)	48:9,14;52:8;73:8;
interrogs (1) 143:21	70:6;104:17	148:2,4;151:5	65:19;107:22,25; 108:10	76:21,23;77:13;88:15;
	isolated (1) 13:13	JOHNSTON (13)		120:13;129:23;146:7;
interrupt (3) 67:20;68:10;154:10		61:5,6,14,17,20,24;	jury (3) 109:7,8;121:8	165:2;166:13 kinds (5)
into (38)	issuance (2) 68:25;69:1	62:23;63:2,8,17,21,22; 77:5	Justice (1)	59:15;69:3;77:17;
10:1;11:20;15:25;	issue (58)	joinder (1)	122:5	113:3;150:12
17:1;18:19;22:22;23:2;	7:2,3;9:13;13:9;	96:14	122:3	knew (3)
28:4;30:4;35:3;36:18;	17:24;20:7,12;22:3;	Jones (1)	K	17:7;150:13,15
44:16;59:15;75:19,25;	35:10,15;41:20;44:20;	61:6	K	knowing (2)
77:17;83:2,25;84:9;	46:1;50:5;58:3;72:3;	Judge (53)	Karnow (1)	13:19;138:16
90:8,11;95:12;98:12;	75:16,20;79:18,24;	13:5;32:6;43:12;	102:10	knowledge (2)
103:14,23;114:16,16;	80:23;82:25;83:25;	87:11;90:25;93:9;97:8;	Karotkin (101)	8:20,21
121:11;127:20;137:8;	84:6;85:24;86:12;87:8,	98:6,9,21;99:4,11,17,	5:3;6:23,24,25;7:1,5;	known (3)
138:10,25;139:15;	17,22;88:14,20;91:13;	17,18;100:11,13,17,18;	22:24;23:3,18,20,23;	15:25;82:15;87:15
146:23;151:17;152:20;	93:7;94:10,11,18;95:6;	102:2,10,10;104:17,20;	24:8,11,15;25:4,21,23;	knows (4)
155:7;165:19	96:11;98:6;101:8;	105:5,21,23;106:9,15,	26:3,6,8,10,15,20;27:4,	73:4,15;99:14;100:6
intro (1)	103:13;104:9;108:9,	16;107:7;109:8;	6,11;28:8,10,14,21,23;	Kornberg (73)
132:19	24;113:20;121:16;	110:14,19,25;111:12,	29:1,5,7,16,20;30:7,9,	27:25;29:22;30:24;
introduce (1)	124:22;127:9;128:21;	16,22;112:12,13,22,24;	21,23;31:9,14,17,19,	31:10,11;49:10,14;
86:14	131:10;140:13;141:10,	124:2,16;126:8,10;	21;32:3,8,14,23;33:1,4,	61:5;63:23;64:1,4,4,11,
introduction (1)	11;147:25;149:17;	127:7;128:8;140:4;	6,15,17,19;34:2,23,25;	15,21,23;65:12,18;
162:24	153:18;157:6;167:6	141:11;154:13;156:18;	36:16;40:14;42:24;	66:3,7;67:23;68:1,13,
inverse (22)	issued (4)	162:25	45:19;46:1;50:10;52:5;	16,20,23;69:8,10;71:8,
79:18,24;80:22;81:1,	23:13;39:5,9;104:21	judges (5)	53:6;63:3,11;98:15;	10,14,16,24;72:2,15;
20,24;82:18;84:1,12;	issues (67)	12:8;43:9,15;77:16;	100:5;117:8,14,19,21,	73:16,19;74:6,8,15,18;
87:4,9;89:11;90:10;	7:23;9:2;11:16,22;	99:23	22;118:1,5,9,18,22;	75:12,16;76:1,4,6,8,12,
93:3;94:15;110:23;	12:4;13:18,20;24:18,	judge's (1)	119:10,12;120:16;	23;77:18;78:4,7,13;
111:1,3,4,14;112:1;	20;28:16,18;30:15;	99:25	124:5;125:12,16;	79:2,4,11;101:6,8;
131:25	32:11;44:22;48:3,5;	judgment (13)	126:1;165:14,15,17,21,	102:1,4,6,18,21,25;
investigation (2)	51:5;56:16;58:4;65:6;	55:15;82:7;83:15,16,	23,25;166:7,9,16,18;	103:3;104:13,15;
12:9;64:16	66:10,11,15;69:25;	24,24;87:7;88:9;92:5;	167:10,14,17,19	105:2,17,22;106:2,8,12
	00.10,11,15,07.25,		107.10,1 1,17,17	103.2,17,22,100.2,6,12
investigations (1)	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4;	93:18,22,22;130:18	Karotkin's (5)	Kornberg's (1)
12:25	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9;	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1)	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6;	
12:25 investor (2)	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19,	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8	Kornberg's (1) 92:22
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6;	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78)	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7)	Kornberg's (1)
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1)	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17;	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10,	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5;	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2;	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12;	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17;	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2)
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2)	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10,	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25;	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16;	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15;	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1)	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1)
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1)	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16;	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17;	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19,	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23;	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1)	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4)
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2)	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22;	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5;
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5)	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21;	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5)	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1)	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6;	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21;	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13;	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1)
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11,	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5 invites (1)	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16 itemized (1)	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11, 14;144:1,5,7,13,16,20,	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18 kept (1)	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14 landslide (1)
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5 invites (1) 133:2	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16 itemized (1) 136:21	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11, 14;144:1,5,7,13,16,20, 25;145:4,9,15;146:9,	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18 kept (1) 99:15	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14 landslide (1) 89:6
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5 invites (1) 133:2 inviting (1)	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16 itemized (1) 136:21 items (3)	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11, 14;144:1,5,7,13,16,20, 25;145:4,9,15;146:9, 11,19;147:3,7,10,15,	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18 kept (1) 99:15 Kevin (2)	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14 landslide (1) 89:6 language (1)
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5 invites (1) 133:2 inviting (1) 166:20	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16 itemized (1) 136:21	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11, 14;144:1,5,7,13,16,20, 25;145:4,9,15;146:9, 11,19;147:3,7,10,15, 18,22;148:7,11,17,24;	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18 kept (1) 99:15 Kevin (2) 20:1;133:18	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14 landslide (1) 89:6 language (1) 9:11
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5 invites (1) 133:2 inviting (1) 166:20 invoices (1)	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16 itemized (1) 136:21 items (3) 23:1;106:13;163:8	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11, 14;144:1,5,7,13,16,20, 25;145:4,9,15;146:9, 11,19;147:3,7,10,15, 18,22;148:7,11,17,24; 152:9;161:21;162:6;	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18 kept (1) 99:15 Kevin (2) 20:1;133:18 key (3)	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14 landslide (1) 89:6 language (1) 9:11 large (4)
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5 invites (1) 133:2 inviting (1) 166:20 invoices (1) 18:16	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16 itemized (1) 136:21 items (3)	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11, 14;144:1,5,7,13,16,20, 25;145:4,9,15;146:9, 11,19;147:3,7,10,15, 18,22;148:7,11,17,24; 152:9;161:21;162:6; 163:8,15	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18 kept (1) 99:15 Kevin (2) 20:1;133:18 key (3) 75:20;136:11;140:13	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14 landslide (1) 89:6 language (1) 9:11 large (4) 62:12;63:6;122:17;
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5 invites (1) 133:2 inviting (1) 166:20 invoices (1) 18:16 involve (1)	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16 itemized (1) 136:21 items (3) 23:1;106:13;163:8	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11, 14;144:1,5,7,13,16,20, 25;145:4,9,15;146:9, 11,19;147:3,7,10,15, 18,22;148:7,11,17,24; 152:9;161:21;162:6; 163:8,15 Julian's (2)	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18 kept (1) 99:15 Kevin (2) 20:1;133:18 key (3) 75:20;136:11;140:13 kid (1)	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14 landslide (1) 89:6 language (1) 9:11 large (4) 62:12;63:6;122:17; 123:5
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5 invites (1) 133:2 inviting (1) 166:20 invoices (1) 18:16 involve (1) 140:7	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16 itemized (1) 136:21 items (3) 23:1;106:13;163:8 J Jackson (2)	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11, 14;144:1,5,7,13,16,20, 25;145:4,9,15;146:9, 11,19;147:3,7,10,15, 18,22;148:7,11,17,24; 152:9;161:21;162:6; 163:8,15 Julian's (2) 46:1;100:6	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18 kept (1) 99:15 Kevin (2) 20:1;133:18 key (3) 75:20;136:11;140:13 kid (1) 56:18	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14 landslide (1) 89:6 language (1) 9:11 large (4) 62:12;63:6;122:17; 123:5 last (20)
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5 invites (1) 133:2 inviting (1) 166:20 invoices (1) 18:16 involve (1) 140:7 involved (10)	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16 itemized (1) 136:21 items (3) 23:1;106:13;163:8 J Jackson (2) 97:8;99:11	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11, 14;144:1,5,7,13,16,20, 25;145:4,9,15;146:9, 11,19;147:3,7,10,15, 18,22;148:7,11,17,24; 152:9;161:21;162:6; 163:8,15 Julian's (2) 46:1;100:6 July (1)	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18 kept (1) 99:15 Kevin (2) 20:1;133:18 key (3) 75:20;136:11;140:13 kid (1) 56:18 kill (1)	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14 landslide (1) 89:6 language (1) 9:11 large (4) 62:12;63:6;122:17; 123:5 last (20) 24:25;35:3;63:4;
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5 invites (1) 133:2 inviting (1) 166:20 invoices (1) 18:16 involve (1) 140:7 involved (10) 8:25;16:10;22:25;	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16 itemized (1) 136:21 items (3) 23:1;106:13;163:8 J Jackson (2) 97:8;99:11 January (5)	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11, 14;144:1,5,7,13,16,20, 25;145:4,9,15;146:9, 11,19;147:3,7,10,15, 18,22;148:7,11,17,24; 152:9;161:21;162:6; 163:8,15 Julian's (2) 46:1;100:6 July (1) 135:25	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18 kept (1) 99:15 Kevin (2) 20:1;133:18 key (3) 75:20;136:11;140:13 kid (1) 56:18 kill (1) 124:8	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14 landslide (1) 89:6 language (1) 9:11 large (4) 62:12;63:6;122:17; 123:5 last (20) 24:25;35:3;63:4; 70:24;76:25;98:20;
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5 invites (1) 133:2 inviting (1) 166:20 invoices (1) 18:16 involve (1) 140:7 involved (10) 8:25;16:10;22:25; 32:6;43:11;98:19;	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16 itemized (1) 136:21 items (3) 23:1;106:13;163:8 J Jackson (2) 97:8;99:11 January (5) 77:24;78:1;102:16;	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11, 14;144:1,5,7,13,16,20, 25;145:4,9,15;146:9, 11,19;147:3,7,10,15, 18,22;148:7,11,17,24; 152:9;161:21;162:6; 163:8,15 Julian's (2) 46:1;100:6 July (1)	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18 kept (1) 99:15 Kevin (2) 20:1;133:18 key (3) 75:20;136:11;140:13 kid (1) 56:18 kill (1) 124:8 Kimberly (1)	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14 landslide (1) 89:6 language (1) 9:11 large (4) 62:12;63:6;122:17; 123:5 last (20) 24:25;35:3;63:4; 70:24;76:25;98:20; 103:11;107:23,23;
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5 invites (1) 133:2 inviting (1) 166:20 invoices (1) 18:16 involve (1) 140:7 involved (10) 8:25;16:10;22:25;	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16 itemized (1) 136:21 items (3) 23:1;106:13;163:8 J Jackson (2) 97:8;99:11 January (5) 77:24;78:1;102:16; 149:12;152:20	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11, 14;144:1,5,7,13,16,20, 25;145:4,9,15;146:9, 11,19;147:3,7,10,15, 18,22;148:7,11,17,24; 152:9;161:21;162:6; 163:8,15 Julian's (2) 46:1;100:6 July (1) 135:25 jumped (1) 41:24	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18 kept (1) 99:15 Kevin (2) 20:1;133:18 key (3) 75:20;136:11;140:13 kid (1) 56:18 kill (1) 124:8 Kimberly (1) 10:23	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14 landslide (1) 89:6 language (1) 9:11 large (4) 62:12;63:6;122:17; 123:5 last (20) 24:25;35:3;63:4; 70:24;76:25;98:20; 103:11;107:23,23; 124:22;131:15;136:20;
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5 invites (1) 133:2 inviting (1) 166:20 invoices (1) 18:16 involve (1) 140:7 involved (10) 8:25;16:10;22:25; 32:6;43:11;98:19; 100:20;112:12;151:15, 15	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16 itemized (1) 136:21 items (3) 23:1;106:13;163:8 J Jackson (2) 97:8;99:11 January (5) 77:24;78:1;102:16;	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11, 14;144:1,5,7,13,16,20, 25;145:4,9,15;146:9, 11,19;147:3,7,10,15, 18,22;148:7,11,17,24; 152:9;161:21;162:6; 163:8,15 Julian's (2) 46:1;100:6 July (1) 135:25 jumped (1)	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18 kept (1) 99:15 Kevin (2) 20:1;133:18 key (3) 75:20;136:11;140:13 kid (1) 56:18 kill (1) 124:8 Kimberly (1)	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14 landslide (1) 89:6 language (1) 9:11 large (4) 62:12;63:6;122:17; 123:5 last (20) 24:25;35:3;63:4; 70:24;76:25;98:20; 103:11;107:23,23;
12:25 investor (2) 55:14;87:20 investor- (1) 84:1 investor-owned (2) 81:2;94:16 invitation (1) 50:10 invite (2) 79:8;107:2 invited (1) 152:5 invites (1) 133:2 inviting (1) 166:20 invoices (1) 18:16 involve (1) 140:7 involved (10) 8:25;16:10;22:25; 32:6;43:11;98:19; 100:20;112:12;151:15,	70:7,11,14;72:22;76:4; 77:20;78:7,18;80:9; 81:18;84:9,25;86:19, 20;87:12,17;88:4,6; 90:2;91:8,18;92:9,17; 102:12,13;104:2; 108:22;109:15;116:10, 13;128:10;134:14,16; 136:11,25;145:16; 156:21;157:18;159:19, 19,20;162:3;164:17 item (5) 5:18;57:21;124:6; 153:15,16 itemized (1) 136:21 items (3) 23:1;106:13;163:8 J Jackson (2) 97:8;99:11 January (5) 77:24;78:1;102:16; 149:12;152:20 Jessica (1)	93:18,22,22;130:18 Judicial (1) 152:23 Julian (78) 7:7,15,18,22;8:4,10, 12,22;10:6,8,17;33:12; 44:17;94:5,9,20,25; 95:2,5,11,21;96:12,15; 107:12,13;108:14,17; 109:19;110:10,20,23; 111:3,8,13,20,22; 112:9;113:8;127:21; 141:8,10,14,19,21; 142:2,17;143:5,7,11, 14;144:1,5,7,13,16,20, 25;145:4,9,15;146:9, 11,19;147:3,7,10,15, 18,22;148:7,11,17,24; 152:9;161:21;162:6; 163:8,15 Julian's (2) 46:1;100:6 July (1) 135:25 jumped (1) 41:24 jumping (2)	Karotkin's (5) 52:12;53:14;75:6; 77:12;125:8 keep (7) 22:14;27:6;61:5; 85:8;111:4;120:17; 145:21 keeper (1) 152:16 keeping (1) 126:18 Kelly (5) 96:18;99:2,9,13; 161:18 kept (1) 99:15 Kevin (2) 20:1;133:18 key (3) 75:20;136:11;140:13 kid (1) 56:18 kill (1) 124:8 Kimberly (1) 10:23 kind (32)	Kornberg's (1) 92:22 L lack (2) 126:3;166:5 ladder (1) 12:8 land (4) 46:19;47:18;89:5; 114:16 lands (1) 47:14 landslide (1) 89:6 language (1) 9:11 large (4) 62:12;63:6;122:17; 123:5 last (20) 24:25;35:3;63:4; 70:24;76:25;98:20; 103:11;107:23,23; 124:22;131:15;136:20; 140:21;143:14;145:18;

GAS AND ELECTRIC C		T	T	August 21, 201.
late (2)	legal (29)	lift (1)	134:1	loss (2)
64:18;153:20	14:5;21:15;50:25;	101:9	litigating (1)	138:5;153:19
later (7)	81:14;83:25;84:6;	light (2)	117:5	losses (2)
13:4;16:7;24:5;	89:22;90:11;94:10,11,	89:8;115:1	litigation (5)	92:24;112:25
52:23;84:7;109:10;	18;108:4,21;111:1;	liked (1)	12:20;86:16;96:17;	lost (3)
120:25	116:13;120:16;123:21;	102:6	142:3;153:4	81:21;90:16;137:18
latest (1)	127:9;132:1,15;	likelihood (1)	litigations (1)	lot (11)
102:15	133:13,14;134:12;	17:22	102:11	5:7;23:6,10;44:16;
launched (1)	141:15;142:6,23;	Likely (5)	Little (20)	62:16;72:21;74:3,9;
78:19	144:10,25;161:9	7:14;17:23;39:25;	22:9;30:3;33:7;35:4;	84:13;140:8,23
law (21)				
	legally (3)	55:22;72:11	41:25;46:13;53:8;	lots (3)
35:3;70:13;71:9;	43:2;91:11;131:9	likens (1)	54:10;59:14;68:20;	30:14;149:19,20
81:1;82:15;88:21,22;	legislation (1)	128:24	84:15;93:20;103:17;	lot's (2)
90:14;92:15;93:1;95:8;		likes (1)	106:16;112:11,20;	23:7,8
110:5,8;111:11;	legislative (2)	52:16	124:14;128:13;139:1,2	loud (2)
115:22;116:22;117:7;	51:24;52:24	limb (2)	live (1)	98:22;103:4
119:25;130:7,8;156:11	lend (1)	145:23,25	17:24	lower (3)
law-trained (1)	93:21	limit (2)	living (1)	8:8;40:5;73:12
8:14	less (10)	96:8,10	145:21	lunch (1)
lawyer (4)	10:14;17:23;37:24;	limited (2)	LLP(1)	63:24
8:8,25;16:3;54:16	61:21;73:21,21,21;	68:2;140:10	46:7	luxury (1)
lawyerly-like (1)	93:14;110:18;139:2	limits (1)	loan (1)	52:25
10:14	lesser (3)	146:4	42:19	3.5
lawyers (31)	13:16;98:19;110:12	line (15)	loans (1)	M
7:24;8:15,24;25:11,	letter (32)	12:18;13:2;15:15;	36:19	
12,13;27:14;30:12;	21:13,13;22:15;80:4;	17:15,20;20:10;30:25;	locate-and-mark (1)	Macon (1)
54:12;55:25;58:1,22;	81:18;83:13;89:13,13;	31:1;62:5;75:3,10;	69:21	135:25
59:18;86:2;96:3;97:16,	132:23;133:1,2;134:4;	79:19;82:23;83:1;	location (2)	Macon's (1)
22;98:13;103:20;	135:19,25;136:1,20,21;	133:13	83:20;119:18	140:20
104:19;112:23;125:21;	140:19,20,21;143:9;	linear (2)	logistics (1)	magic (2)
126:16;131:17,17;	144:8,13;146:12;	30:13;47:16	86:9	30:2;73:10
132:8;136:2,3;139:20;	148:18;158:25;159:8,	lines (6)	long (16)	magnitude (1)
142:6;160:1	10;163:11,20;164:6,12	17:10;18:5,6;19:6;	29:13;30:4;45:9;	38:6
lay (1)	letters (5)	42:10;80:6	71:25;73:14;93:9;	maintain (2)
133:13	51:20;136:22;	LIOU (20)	103:10;121:24;132:11;	19:6,7
layman's (1)	140:23;145:16;154:19	5:10,12,12,13,14,16,	134:15;135:11,19,23;	maintenance (1)
108:11	letting (1)	18,22,24;6:1,4,6,8,11,	140:11;164:22;167:21	146:13
lays (1)	127:4	14,17,18,19,22,24	look (19)	major (1)
28:3	level (2)	liquidated (20)	11:20;18:5;32:20;	35:18
lead (2)	87:19;112:15	47:3;114:10,12,13;	44:24;48:23;61:10;	majority (1)
86:15;87:3	levels (2)	115:25;117:6,11,12,15,	70:4;75:2;77:7;93:5;	129:14
leads (1)	46:19;47:22	16;118:3,17,20;119:17,	105:8;109:18;120:15;	makes (7)
48:7	liabilities (2)	24;121:11;123:14;	121:8;123:8;138:11;	34:2;109:11;111:24;
learn (1)	72:9,11	128:1;129:14;130:16	143:13;145:15;167:21	125:9;146:1;160:15;
26:17	liability (18)	liquidated/unliquidated (1)	looked (3)	161:22
learned (3)	14:10;19:5;69:17;	126:15	24:24;118:10;151:22	making (8)
33:7;36:3;112:11	108:5;117:1,21;	liquidation (2)	looking (13)	9:14;34:18;44:22;
lease (1)	123:22;129:17;130:2;	124:22;126:22	9:1;11:21;13:23;	47:16;49:1;98:24;
5:20	131:16;133:23;141:16;	list (1)	39:18;109:23;125:7;	102:20;105:13
least (14)	142:15;145:17;147:24;	106:15	137:24;142:18;148:3;	manage (1)
9:19;18:14;43:11;	149:13;150:16;155:16	listed (2)	149:12;150:16;159:21;	108:25
50:14;51:21;52:14;	liability's (1)	78:10;89:17	160:10	management (4)
55:19,20;56:21;58:14;	130:2	listen (2)	looks (7)	99:12;109:1;136:12;
81:23;88:8;123:12;	liable (19)	50:6;106:18	29:25;51:14;77:9;	152:23
128:9	13:22,24;19:11;	listens (1)	80:4;105:7,25;123:15	managers (3)
leave (6)	21:12,16;131:9,9;	99:19	looming (1)	27:14,15;149:25
32:14;45:1;107:7;	132:4;133:9,9;142:24;	literal (2)	43:7	mandate (1)
113:9;142:20;144:18	132:4;133:9,9;142:24; 145:6;146:1,2,8,20;	19:20;20:15	loose (1)	155:9
			24:3	
leaving (1)	147:23;148:1;150:14	literally (1)		mandated (2)
23:24 Left (4)	liaison (1)	20:15	Los (1)	97:25;98:3
left (4)	156:4	litigable (1)	91:4	Manges (1)
109:18;124:6;	life (1)	50:5	losing (1)	5:14 Manhattan (3)
127:21;128:2	15:12	litigated (1)	38:5	Manhattan (3)
	in the second se	·	0	İ

GAS AND ELECTRIC C	OMPANY		August 27, 2019	
33:14;45:10;59:3	113:3;117:3;118:14;	136:18;140:16;141:3;	65:9;133:2;142:20;	17:6,10,12;18:2;19:4,
manner (3)	119:18;120:11,23,24;	150:16,140:16,141:3,	148:15	12;22:9;163:20;
10:14;46:11;167:7		152.2,5,5,130.2,	minutes (5)	
	138:3,4,5;139:1	,	22:11;124:11,12;	164:15,16,22 Morris' (1)
many (15)	McAllister (1) 43:14	meet-and- (1) 11:15		` /
8:19;12:4,24;43:9;			125:1;158:7	164:12
63:10;64:12;67:8;78:7,	McCallen (20)	members (2)	misestimated (1)	most (14)
7;83:4;90:6;93:10,20;	86:4,7,18,24;158:5,9,	7:23;8:10	138:4	11:20;12:5;25:1;
96:17;116:22	11,14,17,18,19,20;	memorized (1)	missing (1)	28:5;44:14;58:2;67:9;
marching (1)	159:3,5,12,14;163:10;	135:12	40:3	86:12;93:2;103:7;
111:11	164:1,2,6	memory (1)	misspoke (1)	107:17;109:25;129:16;
mark (1)	McCallen's (1)	134:11	144:21	135:10
55:14	163:23	mentioned (6)	mistake (1)	motion (47)
MARSHACK (44)	McKinsey (4)	24:23;66:7;69:13;	154:7	5:20;6:9,21;11:15,
53:24,25,25;54:1,2,4,	17:16;18:2;20:21;	70:15;89:4;124:23	misunderstanding (1)	16;14:1,1;20:4;21:3,
5,6,8,14,16,18,20,24;	21:10	merge (1)	64:13	23,25;22:21;51:11;
55:2,5,8;56:7,9;57:3,5,	MDL (2)	155:21	misunderstood (1)	52:2;84:20;85:2;87:7;
12;58:9,13,21;59:4,8,	155:19;156:3	merits (4)	79:23	88:9,17;89:2;90:3,16,
20;60:2,5,8;95:16,17,	mean (90)	81:13;92:20;95:12;	mix (1)	20;92:3,5,10,16;93:17,
18,25;96:5,8;151:11,	5:6;7:10;8:15;13:17;	146:6	17:1	18,23,24;95:10,10;
13,13,14,19,21;165:5	14:1;21:8,14;23:6,24;	message (2)	mode (1)	104:10;114:1,1,18;
mass (4)	24:4,5;28:19;34:1;	98:22;131:2	48:9	115:4;122:15;123:20,
129:20;152:24;	36:1,9;39:7,22;40:11;	met (2)	model (1)	23;127:24;160:14;
155:11,22	41:17;47:17;50:15;	66:15;167:8	18:7	161:10;162:6,8;164:14
material (2)	54:20;55:21,25;58:22;	metaphors (1)	modified (3)	motions (8)
70:10;88:10	59:17;60:23;66:4;	78:23	26:4;94:12;162:8	25:8;88:18;89:11;
materials (1)	68:17;69:2;71:6,12;	methods (1)	modify (1)	93:10;100:4;101:25;
134:2	75:7,8;78:17,21,22;	7:25	96:10	102:3;161:9
mathematics (1)	79:24;83:10,20;87:25;	microphone (1)	moment (5)	motives (2)
40:8	88:1;90:13;92:6;94:2;	164:5	23:17;42:21;78:25;	57:5,6
matter (18)	96:3,7;97:13,15;	mid- (1)	106:14;144:18	mousetrap (1)
5:19;59:25;61:10;	100:17;103:9;104:7;	9:18	money (9)	46:14
71:17;79:17;85:23;	105:8,9,20;106:7;	might (19)	15:1,2;25:10,20;	move (5)
88:15;93:21;100:18;	110:6,17,18;111:6,9,	7:17;13:22;15:7;	29:3;36:18;40:14;47:3;	29:7;33:24;48:15;
109:17;110:5;119:7;	19,21,24;112:3;	28:20;34:7,12;47:19,	73:6	150:21;151:18
127:5;129:20;130:16;	114:21;116:2;119:8,	21,22;61:15;64:9;	month (2)	moves (1)
151:5;157:22;165:25	13,15;124:8;125:13,20,	79:23,25;112:17;	8:24;9:17	24:18
matters (11)	21;128:3;137:4;	122:21,22;127:2;	months (5)	moving (6)
5:5,8;34:15;69:14;	142:25;145:6;152:9;	129:19;137:22	15:24;16:1;20:8;	12:7;27:11;85:19;
78:11;79:14;92:18,21;	153:14;157:2,2;159:9,	Milbank (1)	57:17;149:7	86:10,11;157:16
93:21;104:1;125:6	11;160:14,19;161:13;	46:7	more (41)	much (27)
Matthew (1)	164:14;166:10,20	million (12)	19:14;22:25;24:5,18;	8:25;9:4,10;10:16;
122:5	meaning (1)	118:13,14;121:10;	28:2;32:11;33:10,24;	19:17;23:20;26:22,24;
maximize (2)	155:25	137:19,25;138:1;	37:10,11;38:19;40:12;	27:1,1,24;28:2;32:24;
107:21;108:19	meaningful (1)	142:11;143:18;147:11;	41:2;53:16;55:18,23;	34:6,25;40:8;48:8;
may (35)	154:1	148:7;150:5,6	59:14,15;61:8,21;74:3;	55:19,19,23;63:12;
8:16,17,17;13:24;	means (15)	million-dollar (1)	78:22;79:5;84:16;	76:14;93:8;109:5;
16:8;24:19,25;27:11;	26:18,25;54:10;	137:20	85:18;100:19;119:19;	115:17;125:13;139:17
36:22;37:5,20;38:1;	61:11;63:4,13;73:2;	millions (1)	126:7,7;127:15;128:7;	multibillion (1)
39:8;47:19,19,23,24;	93:4;102:14;110:17,	123:2	136:6;137:1,24;139:1,	37:5
48:2;51:24;60:21;	17;114:23;115:14;	mind (10)	22;143:22;149:8;	multiple (2)
65:13;83:2;101:6,17;	118:1,7	24:1;27:6;100:4;	152:10,13;161:8	129:21;160:12
103:17;104:18;114:4;	meant (1)	109:9,24;112:22;	morning (21)	multistep (2)
120:9;123:3;130:1;	111:18	120:17;128:9;129:18;	5:10,17;6:25;7:1;	65:3,4
135:12,23;140:7,9;	Meanwhile (1)	148:6	10:21,22;35:11,12;	must (5)
160:12	30:17	mine (1)	52:7;53:10,24;60:11,	67:16;68:4;69:16;
maybe (39)	meat (3)	129:3	12;64:1;72:11;74:19;	124:1;154:10
5:8;9:21;14:22;15:5;	24:9;63:3;84:9	mini (1)	86:7;87:1;118:11;	myriad (2)
17:4;25:14;31:6;36:10;	mediation (3)	88:14	151:3;167:21	70:14;76:2
42:12;44:21;47:2;	106:23;107:1,3	minimum (1)	MORRIS (34)	myself (3)
50:23;63:24;64:8;	mediations (1)	40:15	10:21,23,23;11:1,3,6,	45:25;109:25;110:13
76:20,25;77:1,5,23,24,	156:13	minor (3)	24,25;12:3,12,16,22,	.5.25,107.25,110.15
24,24;80:4;99:2;	meet (12)	7:8;9:8;12:13	24;13:23;14:3,8,14,20;	N
104:10,21;107:5,20;	11:19;32:16;40:15;	minute (4)	15:9,20;16:6,18,20;	11
107.10,21,107.3,20,	11.17,52.10,70.13,		15.7,20,10.0,10,20,	

27:17;76:2

name (5)	negotiation (3)	notably (1)	131:4	128:4
43:12;149:1;152:16;	17:1;26:23;44:4	67:9	objections (4)	one (104)
158:13;163:20	negotiations (2)	note (3)	53:16;126:5;134:9;	8:13,13,15;9:8;11:7;
narrow (1)	7:22;8:5	128:25;130:18;	167:2	12:18,19;16:11,13;
72:22	neither (2)	135:15	objective (1)	17:12,16,17;22:13;
narrowed (1)	22:21;107:8	noted (1)	58:13	24:4;25:11,13;26:17,
109:11	net (4)	74:22	objectives (1)	22;27:22;29:21;33:5,7;
natural (1)	35:24;36:20;38:3;	noteholder (1)	58:9	34:5,6;36:25;37:1,7,8,
69:21	139:15	49:19	objectors (1)	11,22;38:11,12,13;
nature (4)	neutral (1)	noteholders (3)	84:15	40:8,9;41:11;42:11;
14:11;123:22;126:6;	35:15	60:18,21;61:2	objects (2)	43:1,11,16;46:17;
129:19	neutrality (1)	notes (1)	126:23;130:10	48:25;50:12;57:21;
near (2)	67:18	60:14	obligated (1)	61:8;62:5;66:12;68:16;
9:23;47:14	new (3)	notice (1)	18:16	71:21;73:7;80:12;
necessarily (4)	27:2;61:11,11	9:21	obligations (1)	85:25;86:11;87:2,6;
31:14;52:7;65:16;	next (13)	noticed (2)	161:11	88:3,7;90:24;92:20;
167:3	34:12;42:25;43:20;	31:23;118:12	observed (1)	94:6,6;95:24;97:9;
necessary (8)	52:7;53:20;97:11;	notices (1)	72:4	99:2;103:6;105:9;
36:19;72:13;96:11;	125:10,18;135:3;	136:13	obvious (2)	106:7,7,13;113:2,2,14;
98:7;107:20;127:24;	163:16,21;164:11;	notion (5)	71:21;79:3	114:9;116:24,24,25;
128:5;149:10	167:9	35:5;100:2;128:1,12;	obviously (18)	117:5;119:18;120:21;
need (78)	Nice (1)	160:5	27:6;28:17;30:12;	123:11;124:6,6;
10:15;27:23,25;28:1;	63:24	November (6)	31:25;32:9;48:16;75:6;	127:10,14;128:9,10;
30:22;31:12,14;32:24;	night (9)	7:9;9:19,23;85:3,15;	88:18,22;97:15;101:8;	130:5;133:2;135:15;
39:17;43:10,16,16;	136:20;140:21;	95:2	103:15;104:1;129:4;	137:7;139:6,11,16,19;
44:24;45:10,12,16;	141:23;143:12,14;	nowhere (1)	136:12;137:1;139:5;	140:7;142:16;145:22;
49:7;51:14;53:4;55:16,	145:18;146:12;148:18;	112:14	141:1 OCC (1)	152:10,13;158:22;
23;56:3,5;59:2,8,8,9,	163:12	number (50)		160:11;162:15;163:25; 165:6
11,14,20,23;73:13;	nineteen (1) 37:18	12:16;21:1;23:15;	10:2	
79:21;80:20;84:8;88:2; 89:1;92:3;97:16;100:7;	ninety (1)	25:19;27:3;36:7,22; 37:8,20;38:2;39:15,21;	occur (1) 105:6	one-on-one (1) 52:15
103:23;104:20;107:3,	59:5	40:5,6,19;41:4;45:19;	occurs (1)	ones (8)
10;109:14;114:15,15;	Ninth (5)	47:18,18,22;62:20;	126:20	11:18;26:22;89:18,
115:4;120:12,13;	116:5;117:23;118:6;	73:12,13,18,19,20,20;	October (4)	19;92:23;147:22,23,25
133:5;136:12;137:8;	119:13;120:1	74:4,5;77:6;80:20;	9:24;31:3;85:11;	ongoing (2)
138:9;140:2;142:8;	nobody (3)	89:4;115:24;116:7;	94:18	65:8;100:3
143:22,23;144:3;	43:25;78:25;98:5	118:11;119:8,14;	off (7)	only (46)
145:12,19;146:12,16;	noncore (7)	129:22,25;131:16,17;	15:5,24;18:19;	5:8;6:11;7:2,3;9:16;
147:1,2,7,13,15,20,23;	107:14,25;108:7;	136:9;138:25;139:24,	107:19;114:16;121:19;	10:12;14:9;15:9;19:13;
149:23;150:19;153:23;	109:16;112:8,17,19	25;142:18,19,21;	153:7	36:16,22;37:1,3,3;
154:23;157:19;159:11;		144:23;162:24	offered (3)	48:8;57:12;59:20;
161:5;165:24	19:6	numbers (7)	79:18;99:9;109:22	64:11;65:7;90:24;
needed (1)	none (3)	25:14;28:14;46:24;	offering (1)	94:16;97:19;98:1,6;
73:21	18:17;79:8;137:2	48:18;62:6;77:21;	27:2	99:12,20;103:3;105:6;
Needless (1)	nonissue (1)	139:14	Office (6)	110:13,24;112:5,24;
136:24	157:13	nut (2)	113:16,17;114:6;	115:13;120:15;123:11;
needs (12)	nonlawyers (1)	16:5;39:17	120:6;121:16;159:18	127:17;129:13;131:16;
7:4;22:18;34:17;	10:13		official (4)	138:1;144:16;146:25;
38:11,17;76:17;80:2,	nonliability (1)	O	9:20;10:24;35:13;	147:25;150:22;154:8;
15;88:4;102:23;	142:25		46:8	155:18;162:15
114:17;140:13	nonregulated (1)	object (13)	often (2)	oOo- (1)
negligence (10)	69:6	50:25;57:24;77:20;	15:15,16	5:2
9:13,15;14:20;17:25;	nontort (1)	103:21;116:16,24;	OII (14)	open (5)
18:9,12;19:11,13,15;	39:21	117:1;118:19;130:20,	64:17,24;65:1;66:17;	107:7;120:17;127:4;
111:16	NorCal (2)	21,21;136:8;150:14	67:4,8,11;69:20,24;	163:7;164:17
negligent (2)	149:4;150:8	objected (4)	70:9,16;74:10;75:5;	opened (1)
14:22;104:5	normal (4)	118:21,22;119:2;	78:19	16:23
negotiate (2)	46:12,13;49:22;	150:8	Oldco (1)	opening (4)
27:19;67:5	93:25	objecting (3)	63:10	20:24;44:8;70:16;
negotiated (3)	North (2)	56:6;108:1;130:19	on- (1)	128:14
66:12;74:23;149:6	86:15;133:25	objection (8)	129:4	operated (3)
negotiating (2)	Northern (3)	9:7;116:15;128:24;	once (5)	8:23;13:1;17:10
		129.24 25.130.6 20.	61.1 1.66.17.104.11.	opinion (2)

129:24,25;130:6,20;

61:1,1;66:17;104:11;

19:8;54:25;98:23

opinion (2)

35:18;117:8	15,18,21,23;139:9,11;	109:2;119:2,17;132:6;	part (51)	159:17,17,21;160:1,9,
opinions (1)	140:18;141:7;145:11,	134:1;143:19;147:12;	11:12;13:9;15:13,16;	18,22;161:24;162:2,5;
43:10	23;148:22;149:5;	149:7;153:10;156:18;	16:4,12,21;17:1,6,6,19;	165:1,8
opponent (3)	152:8,11,14;156:12;	161:19,20	18:11,17;20:3;21:6,11,	Pascuzzi's (3)
94:3;120:23;126:22	157:9;158:1,2;161:21;	overarching (2)	12;22:11,18;25:1;28:5;	116:22;122:8;162:14
opponents (2)	162:6,8,11,13,19,21;	35:20;72:5	35:5,6,7;39:11;41:4;	passed (1)
83:18;85:14	163:3,7,11,14,19,25;	overlap (1)	60:24;73:1;80:8;83:14;	24:25
opportunity (7)	164:9,15,19,21,24,25;	140:23	90:8,8,9;105:14;	past (2)
10:3;35:14;67:8;	165:3,7	overly (1)	113:14;114:8;115:4;	7:9;99:17
98:8;151:7;153:23;	Orsini'll (1)	161:13	116:16;117:24;121:12;	path (3)
154:2	23:2	overrule (2)	122:17;123:6,19;	39:15;42:16;78:22
oppose (1)	Orsini's (7)	9:6;94:14	129:16;133:21;138:12;	paths (1)
96:2	22:15;37:20;46:1;	overseeing (1)	154:1,5,5,20;156:11	78:22
opposition (6)	80:18;87:4;124:19;	102:11	parte (1)	Paul (3)
9:9;49:21;84:23;	143:8	oversimplification (1)	101:12	64:4;113:15;159:17
85:6;86:11;88:9	others (9)	25:7	partial (2)	pay (11)
optimistically (1)	13:5;22:17;28:1;	owe (3)	83:16;93:22	27:1;36:19,24;37:23;
36:10	29:22;65:20;85:21;	17:3;115:25;131:25	participant (1)	38:19;73:5,6;74:5;
option (2)	161:18,18;162:11	owed (5)	79:9	98:17;129:11;139:4
109:22;112:22	otherwise (1)	116:1;117:15;118:2;	participate (2)	paying (1)
oracle (1)	50:4	126:21;130:15	66:9:67:15	115:11
155:1	ought (5)	own (7)	participated (1)	payment (2)
order (42)	21:5;22:3;31:5;	7:9;9:15;17:7;69:5;	149:4	36:13;72:6
6:1;9:14;10:5,8;	32:18;76:15	109:23;134:8;146:21	participates (1)	payments (4)
15:23;23:5,13;24:23;	Ours (1)	owned (4)	152:2	36:23;38:4;62:8,12
26:3;31:23;49:8;55:12;	94:20	84:2;87:8,16;88:19	participation (2)	PE (3)
64:7,16;70:24;75:3,15;	ourselves (1)	04.2,87.8,10,88.19	154:2,3	35:22;36:25;37:1
78:1;92:24;94:10;	56:18	P	particular (10)	penalties (1)
95:19;97:11;104:21;	out (57)	1	47:22;55:20;83:1,19,	69:17
106:23;108:6,24;	8:25;9:5,9,10;16:3;	package (1)	20;91:20;101:10;	pendency (1)
111:12,14;113:14;	18:5;24:15,20;28:3;	75:23	109:24;129:19;134:1	8:5
118:18;126:11;131:20;	31:8;34:13;36:24;	page (10)	particularly (7)	pending (3)
148:13;150:22;151:16;	38:11;39:22;40:15;	26:13;28:7;36:16;	40:22;53:9;82:25;	65:10;69:14,20
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9,	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10;	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24;	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1)
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2;	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8)	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19)	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1)
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1)	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12,	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23;	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1;	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5)
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3)	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12)	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11;	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16;
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22,	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24;	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146)	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11;	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9;	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32)
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17;	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17,	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16,	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6;	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17;
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22,	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21;
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18;	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1)	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1)	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12;
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23;	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5;
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11,	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1)	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2)	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8;
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15,	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6)	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9,
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21;	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8)	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6)	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22;
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8,	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8) 7:6,11;27:23;60:16;	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6) 13:2;15:13;90:8;	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15;
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8, 12,16;86:3,10,19;89:7,	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6 outline (9)	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8)	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6)	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15; 160:23;161:14;163:2
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8, 12,16;86:3,10,19;89:7, 9,17,19,23;92:4,8,15;	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6 outline (9) 50:13;75:6,7,8,8;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8) 7:6,11;27:23;60:16; 63:5;80:3;83:24; 106:24	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6) 13:2;15:13;90:8; 127:20;153:23,25 partway (1)	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15; 160:23;161:14;163:2 people's (2)
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8, 12,16;86:3,10,19;89:7,	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6 outline (9)	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8) 7:6,11;27:23;60:16; 63:5;80:3;83:24;	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6) 13:2;15:13;90:8; 127:20;153:23,25	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15; 160:23;161:14;163:2
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8, 12,16;86:3,10,19;89:7, 9,17,19,23;92:4,8,15;	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6 outline (9) 50:13;75:6,7,8,8;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8) 7:6,11;27:23;60:16; 63:5;80:3;83:24; 106:24	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6) 13:2;15:13;90:8; 127:20;153:23,25 partway (1)	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15; 160:23;161:14;163:2 people's (2)
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8, 12,16;86:3,10,19;89:7, 9,17,19,23;92:4,8,15; 93:15,16;94:17,24;	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6 outline (9) 50:13;75:6,7,8,8; 77:25,25;166:7,8	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8) 7:6,11;27:23;60:16; 63:5;80:3;83:24; 106:24 Parada (1)	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6) 13:2;15:13;90:8; 127:20;153:23,25 partway (1) 133:6	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15; 160:23;161:14;163:2 people's (2) 112:5;117:10
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8, 12,16;86:3,10,19;89:7, 9,17,19,23;92:4,8,15; 93:15,16;94:17,24; 95:19;98:14;99:15;	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6 outline (9) 50:13;75:6,7,8,8; 77:25,25;166:7,8 outset (1)	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8) 7:6,11;27:23;60:16; 63:5;80:3;83:24; 106:24 Parada (1) 125:18	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6) 13:2;15:13;90:8; 127:20;153:23,25 partway (1) 133:6 party (4)	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15; 160:23;161:14;163:2 people's (2) 112:5;117:10 per (1)
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8, 12,16;86:3,10,19;89:7, 9,17,19,23;92:4,8,15; 93:15,16;94:17,24; 95:19;98:14;99:15; 100:5,10;101:10;	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6 outline (9) 50:13;75:6,7,8,8; 77:25,25;166:7,8 outset (1) 122:12	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8) 7:6,11;27:23;60:16; 63:5;80:3;83:24; 106:24 Parada (1) 125:18 paragraph (2) 107:23,23	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6) 13:2;15:13;90:8; 127:20;153:23,25 partway (1) 133:6 party (4) 12:17;15:5;16:15;	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15; 160:23;161:14;163:2 people's (2) 112:5;117:10 per (1) 144:6
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8, 12,16;86:3,10,19;89:7, 9,17,19,23;92:4,8,15; 93:15,16;94:17,24; 95:19;98:14;99:15; 100:5,10;101:10; 111:10;114:25;115:3,	38:1;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6 outline (9) 50:13;75:6,7,8,8; 77:25,25;166:7,8 outset (1) 122:12 outside-the-box (2)	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8) 7:6,11;27:23;60:16; 63:5;80:3;83:24; 106:24 Parada (1) 125:18 paragraph (2)	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6) 13:2;15:13;90:8; 127:20;153:23,25 partway (1) 133:6 party (4) 12:17;15:5;16:15; 130:19	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15; 160:23;161:14;163:2 people's (2) 112:5;117:10 per (1) 144:6 percent (2)
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8, 12,16;86:3,10,19;89:7, 9,17,19,23;92:4,8,15; 93:15,16;94:17,24; 95:19;98:14;99:15; 100:5,10;101:10; 111:10;114:25;115:3, 6,9,16,20,23;116:9,13,	38:1;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6 outline (9) 50:13;75:6,7,8,8; 77:25,25;166:7,8 outset (1) 122:12 outside-the-box (2) 61:22;63:19	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8) 7:6,11;27:23;60:16; 63:5;80:3;83:24; 106:24 Parada (1) 125:18 paragraph (2) 107:23,23 paragraphs (1)	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6) 13:2;15:13;90:8; 127:20;153:23,25 partway (1) 133:6 party (4) 12:17;15:5;16:15; 130:19 party-in-interest (1)	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15; 160:23;161:14;163:2 people's (2) 112:5;117:10 per (1) 144:6 percent (2) 59:5;154:22
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8, 12,16;86:3,10,19;89:7, 9,17,19,23;92:4,8,15; 93:15,16;94:17,24; 95:19;98:14;99:15; 100:5,10;101:10; 111:10;114:25;115:3, 6,9,16,20,23;116:9,13, 19,20;117:2;124:5,10,	38:1;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6 outline (9) 50:13;75:6,7,8,8; 77:25,25;166:7,8 outset (1) 122:12 outside-the-box (2) 61:22;63:19 outstanding (5)	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8) 7:6,11;27:23;60:16; 63:5;80:3;83:24; 106:24 Parada (1) 125:18 paragraph (2) 107:23,23 paragraphs (1) 67:21	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6) 13:2;15:13;90:8; 127:20;153:23,25 partway (1) 133:6 party (4) 12:17;15:5;16:15; 130:19 party-in-interest (1) 160:6	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15; 160:23;161:14;163:2 people's (2) 112:5;117:10 per (1) 144:6 percent (2) 59:5;154:22 percentage (1)
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8, 12,16;86:3,10,19;89:7, 9,17,19,23;92:4,8,15; 93:15,16;94:17,24; 95:19;98:14;99:15; 100:5,10;101:10; 111:10;114:25;115:3, 6,9,16,20,23;116:9,13, 19,20;117:2;124:5,10, 17;125:2;128:10;	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6 outline (9) 50:13;75:6,7,8,8; 77:25,25;166:7,8 outset (1) 122:12 outside-the-box (2) 61:22;63:19 outstanding (5) 12:1;15:24;60:14;	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8) 7:6,11;27:23;60:16; 63:5;80:3;83:24; 106:24 Parada (1) 125:18 paragraph (2) 107:23,23 paragraphs (1) 67:21 parallel (2) 30:15;69:4	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6) 13:2;15:13;90:8; 127:20;153:23,25 partway (1) 133:6 party (4) 12:17;15:5;16:15; 130:19 party-in-interest (1) 160:6 PASCUZZI (36)	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15; 160:23;161:14;163:2 people's (2) 112:5;117:10 per (1) 144:6 percent (2) 59:5;154:22 percentage (1) 59:17
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8, 12,16;86:3,10,19;89:7, 9,17,19,23;92:4,8,15; 93:15,16;94:17,24; 95:19;98:14;99:15; 100:5,10;101:10; 111:10;114:25;115:3, 6,9,16,20,23;116:9,13, 19,20;117:2;124:5,10, 17;125:2;128:10; 131:15,21;132:20; 133:11,17,18,25;	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6 outline (9) 50:13;75:6,7,8,8; 77:25,25;166:7,8 outset (1) 122:12 outside-the-box (2) 61:22;63:19 outstanding (5) 12:1;15:24;60:14; 65:6;136:17	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8) 7:6,11;27:23;60:16; 63:5;80:3;83:24; 106:24 Parada (1) 125:18 paragraph (2) 107:23,23 paragraphs (1) 67:21 parallel (2)	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6) 13:2;15:13;90:8; 127:20;153:23,25 partway (1) 133:6 party (4) 12:17;15:5;16:15; 130:19 party-in-interest (1) 160:6 PASCUZZI (36) 113:11,12,13,15,23, 25;114:13,15,22,24;	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15; 160:23;161:14;163:2 people's (2) 112:5;117:10 per (1) 144:6 percent (2) 59:5;154:22 percentage (1) 59:17 percentages (1) 68:8
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8, 12,16;86:3,10,19;89:7, 9,17,19,23;92:4,8,15; 93:15,16;94:17,24; 95:19;98:14;99:15; 100:5,10;101:10; 111:10;114:25;115:3, 6,9,16,20,23;116:9,13, 19,20;117:2;124:5,10, 17;125:2;128:10; 13:15,21;132:20; 133:11,17,18,25; 134:19,24;135:2,5,7,	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6 outline (9) 50:13;75:6,7,8,8; 77:25;25;166:7,8 outset (1) 122:12 outside-the-box (2) 61:22;63:19 outstanding (5) 12:1;15:24;60:14; 65:6;136:17 outvoted (1) 119:8	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8) 7:6,11;27:23;60:16; 63:5;80:3;83:24; 106:24 Parada (1) 125:18 paragraph (2) 107:23,23 paragraphs (1) 67:21 parallel (2) 30:15;69:4 parameters (1) 41:6	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6) 13:2;15:13;90:8; 127:20;153:23,25 partway (1) 133:6 party (4) 12:17;15:5;16:15; 130:19 party-in-interest (1) 160:6 PASCUZZI (36) 113:11,12,13,15,23, 25;114:13,15,22,24; 116:4;119:1,4,15,20;	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15; 160:23;161:14;163:2 people's (2) 112:5;117:10 per (1) 144:6 percent (2) 59:5;154:22 percentage (1) 59:17 percentages (1) 68:8 perfect (2)
148:13;150:22;151:16; 152:23;159:7;160:9, 16;161:10;166:2; 167:12 ordered (1) 94:12 original (3) 47:21;79:22;104:8 Orsini (146) 11:7,9,14;13:17; 16:13;18:21;19:19,22, 25;20:1,12,14,18; 21:17,19,23;22:2,23; 34:3;77:12;80:4,9,11, 14,18,22;81:5,9,13,15, 17;82:4,9,11,14,18,21; 83:17,22;84:18;85:5,8, 12,16;86:3,10,19;89:7, 9,17,19,23;92:4,8,15; 93:15,16;94:17,24; 95:19;98:14;99:15; 100:5,10;101:10; 111:10;114:25;115:3, 6,9,16,20,23;116:9,13, 19,20;117:2;124:5,10, 17;125:2;128:10; 131:15,21;132:20; 133:11,17,18,25;	38:11;39:22;40:15; 41:13;42:12;44:19,24; 48:17;49:11;52:11; 53:20;62:6;73:20; 76:15,22;77:19;87:6; 90:17,24;92:6;94:8; 100:12;106:23;107:6; 112:14;115:11,11; 122:11;123:1;124:25; 133:13;136:22;137:17, 25;139:14;140:19; 143:20;145:14;150:22; 151:6;161:6,12,18; 165:6;167:2 outcome (6) 80:5;83:14;92:12; 103:8;109:24;129:6 outline (9) 50:13;75:6,7,8,8; 77:25,25;166:7,8 outset (1) 122:12 outside-the-box (2) 61:22;63:19 outstanding (5) 12:1;15:24;60:14; 65:6;136:17 outvoted (1)	26:13;28:7;36:16; 58:15;93:9;96:8,10; 135:13,14;138:19 pages (8) 45:13;59:3;93:10,12, 25;108:3;133:3;145:17 paid (12) 55:18;57:16;59:22, 25;60:2;115:7,11; 123:1;129:12;137:16, 19,25 Palermo (1) 12:18 pans (1) 24:15 papers (8) 7:6,11;27:23;60:16; 63:5;80:3;83:24; 106:24 Parada (1) 125:18 paragraph (2) 107:23,23 paragraphs (1) 67:21 parallel (2) 30:15;69:4 parameters (1)	40:22;53:9;82:25; 96:18;98:13;99:24; 102:6 parties (19) 17:14;30:5;33:23; 34:20;51:21;52:1; 66:15;67:8;70:11; 73:24;80:17;84:24; 101:14;107:1;108:9; 110:18;112:23;149:6; 160:9 parties-in-interest (1) 64:12 partly (2) 43:6;96:19 parts (6) 13:2;15:13;90:8; 127:20;153:23,25 partway (1) 133:6 party (4) 12:17;15:5;16:15; 130:19 party-in-interest (1) 160:6 PASCUZZI (36) 113:11,12,13,15,23, 25;114:13,15,22,24;	65:10;69:14,20 pendulum (1) 135:20 Pennsylvania (1) 128:15 penny (5) 116:1;117:1;118:16; 119:23;120:22 people (32) 8:14;10:14;13:5,17; 28:17;29:1;34:21; 52:10;59:5,18;66:12; 82:23;83:4;88:4,5; 94:6;106:24;124:8; 125:10;129:22;140:9, 11;150:12;153:10,22; 154:9,21;156:7,15; 160:23;161:14;163:2 people's (2) 112:5;117:10 per (1) 144:6 percent (2) 59:5;154:22 percentage (1) 59:17 percentages (1) 68:8

-				
46:10;130:3	67:16;68:6;69:17,20,	39:21;42:11,20,22;	119:14;123:11;129:5,	preference (4)
perform (1)	25	43:1,19;44:21;45:8;	6;144:17;154:25;	97:4,13,13;101:16
12:17	PGE's (1)	46:18;47:20,23;48:5;	157:1,2;158:23;162:14	preferences (1)
performed (4)	13:21	49:25;50:16,16,21;	pointed (4)	103:5
14:16,17;15:12;20:9	ph (1)	51:16,20;55:1,17;56:6,	9:9,10;122:11;	preferential (1)
performing (1)	78:23	23;57:7,18;58:7;59:10;	158:23	103:21
8:16	phase (3)	60:23,23,25;61:1;	points (4)	prejudge (1)
perhaps (9)	67:7;80:24;83:7	63:19;64:18,24;65:15,	35:17;61:7;133:20;	70:7
10:13;34:16;44:6,8;	phone (8)	16,22,23;66:13,20,25;	135:16	pre-judgment (1)
50:23;61:17;98:19;	11:6;32:21;33:1,16;	67:12,16;69:1;70:21,	poles (1)	119:24
126:7;128:11	45:10;59:3;99:3;	22;71:5,21;72:13,15;	89:6	preliminary (3)
period (7)	121:16	73:25;74:10,15,16;	policies (3)	66:21;70:17;78:15
9:18;32:10;42:3;	phones (1)	75:5,17,21;76:6,16;	149:19,20,21	premise (1)
63:15;77:24;121:11;	99:18	77:3,3,9,17;119:2;	pool (1)	43:5
167:9	physics (1)	125:8,22;126:4;154:6;	15:21	preparatory (1)
permissible (1)	102:25	156:23;166:12,13,19;	pools (1)	128:6
43:3	pick (3)	167:7,16	14:11	prepare (1)
permission (2)	99:3;116:24;142:22	plan-and-disclosure- (1)	populace (1)	159:11
87:14,14	picked (1)	56:15	98:23	prepared (6)
permit (1)	80:16	plan-disclosure-statement (1)	portion (2)	7:5;23:13;88:6;
64:24	piece (3)	79:15	20:10;30:18	104:20;110:11;143:17
person (6)	84:5;90:17;115:9	plans (7)	position (15)	pre-petition (2)
14:22;98:3;108:11;	pieces (1)	24:5,24;36:4;38:24;	16:25;18:4;75:20;	69:18,22
118:13;138:6;163:1	39:10	56:21;154:6;155:4	80:19;101:18,19;	present (1)
personal (18)	pin (1)	plan's (1)	107:25;108:18;123:18;	50:16
9:14;97:15,23;	107:2	77:7	134:7;136:23;141:2;	presentation (1)
105:14;106:6;107:19;	pinning (1)	playbook (1)	143:24;158:12,21	155:4
110:8;111:16;112:2,3,	144:3	105:10	positions (1)	presented (3)
25;113:4;122:2;124:3,	pipeline (3)	players (2)	22:12	43:18;67:7;154:7
21;127:11,11;128:25	65:11,12,13	79:7;160:17	possessions (1)	preserve (3)
personally (1)	piss (1)	plays (1)	127:14	107:24,25;108:17
34:6	153:6	24:20	possibility (1)	preserving (1)
personal-type (1)	pitch (1)	pleading (1)	76:22	108:2
127:16	49:2	113:17	possible (1)	preside (1)
perspective (7)	Pitre (17)	please (4)	161:4	105:24
11:15;68:14;75:17;	96:18,19,24;97:1,7,	57:8;64:14;125:5;	possibly (3)	President (1)
80:11;116:14;124:10;	22;98:2,5;99:8;100:8,	151:24	52:11;59:1;90:13	35:3
150:13	14,24;101:2,4,12;	pleased (3)	post (1)	presiding (2)
persuade (3)	102:12;161:18	46:21;97:1;100:9	126:11	132:6;156:18
110:19;112:24;	pivot (1)	plug (1)	post-2000 (1)	press (1)
120:23	48:12	103:14	153:3	8:2
persuaded (5)	place (6)	plugged (3)	posted (1)	pressing (1)
10:11;43:7;97:18;	46:24;62:21;67:22;	74:4;75:25;76:16	126:10	45:17
102:19;104:11	74:4;77:22;119:17	plus (17)	post-reorganization (1)	presumably (3)
persuasive (1)	placing (1)	37:22,22;38:12,12,	72:16	66:13;129:10;131:24
96:20	147:25	13,13;40:8,8,9,9;41:20;	pot (2)	presume (5)
pervious (1)	plaintiff (5)	43:8,8;45:22;47:2;	25:18;30:4	5:7;97:13;102:7;
102:10	35:22;97:13;121:9,9;	139:7;159:10	potential (2)	125:12;130:7
PG&E (49)	130:23	pm (3)	69:25;137:20	presumption (2)
12:16;13:1;15:14,24;	plaintiffs (6)	125:3,3;167:23	potentially (1)	25:3,4
17:7,10,13;18:2,6,8,10;	19:4;47:2;91:6;	podium (1)	103:12	pretend (2)
19:6,10,10,10,10,16;	102:20,22;139:9	56:10	practices (1)	57:15;131:22
36:1;41:2;53:6;65:6,	plaintiffs' (5)	point (48)	161:9	pre-trial (5)
20,25;66:8,12,18;67:6,	59:18;86:2;112:14;	11:18;13:13;14:25;	pre- (1)	108:25;109:2,5,6,7
14;69:16;72:22;78:9;	142:6;160:1	15:6;16:22;21:24;22:6;	109:10	pretty (3)
81:2,21;89:4;93:4;	plaintiff's (1)	27:13,14,21;30:10;	precedent (2)	44:25;77:8;125:12
97:3;98:6;104:5,5,5;	139:20	34:8;42:24;43:5;46:17;	71:4;81:12	preview (1)
108:5;129:11,16;	plan (97)	47:11,16;62:24;63:2;	precluded (1)	166:14
132:16;149:8,11,19;	7:20;8:5;15:3;23:21,	75:1;77:1;80:6;81:21;	92:17	previous (1)
150:8,13	25;24:1,1,17,19;25:17;	83:12;87:6;89:2;92:2;	predicate (1)	56:10
PG&E's (11)	26:3;27:22;30:13,15;	95:25;98:14,20;	137:13	price (1)
14:4;17:7;18:11;	31:2,8,12;33:20;34:16;	100:16;103:3;108:8,	preferable (1)	98:17
19:15;64:18;66:25;	35:2,5;36:11,12;38:23;	23;113:5,6;116:3,9;	124:11	prima (4)
,020,00.20,		,		F (-)

GIB III D EEECTIGE	701/H 1H111		T	1148450 27, 2012
130:7,10,23;131:22	71:4,12;73:8;76:8;	66:13	49:24	21:4;39:6;64:7;
primarily (1)	78:16;84:10;86:1;90:7;	proponents (1)	purpose (1)	70:11,23;85:25;104:7;
119:21	93:19;103:15;104:25;	36:12	72:5	109:20,21,22;113:15,
Prime (2)	105:21;107:10;116:17;	proportion (1)	purposes (6)	20;157:7,9,18
118:12;153:12	120:12,14;123:18,19;	62:12	37:17;50:19;56:14;	raises (2)
principal (5)	127:10,25;128:18,24;	proposal (6)	110:6,7;140:16	48:3;94:3
97:16;104:19;	129:7,25;131:21;	50:11;85:13,16;	purse (1)	raising (1)
120:17;125:21;160:16	132:18;136:14;139:16,	104:8;105:7;153:18	92:22	47:20
principally (1)	21,23;141:4;150:2;	proposals (2)	pursuant (1)	rambling (1)
123:23	151:6;154:11,19;	42:12;153:20	151:16	133:11
prior (8)	155:8,8,23;161:9	propose (5)	push (3)	range (3)
12:20;66:5;76:20,24;	processes (6)	9:22;11:18;83:6;	15:24;18:19;125:13	25:11;73:15,16
79:21;106:4;129:10;	41:15;65:2;70:3;	84:18;165:7	pushing (2)	ranges (1)
134:22	73:3;74:2;116:20	proposed (12)	98:18;137:11	139:25
priority (1)	produce (10)	6:1;36:11;38:24;	put (15)	rate (3)
15:6	15:23;18:16;20:23;	56:21;79:18,19;80:23;	23:16;27:1;30:15;	67:18;69:11;88:4
private (4)	144:13,16;148:19,20;	86:10;92:10;103:19;	42:12;44:16;45:19;	ratemaking (5)
80:7;82:24;83:21;	149:7,9,20	160:16;166:2	55:13;59:2;95:13;	65:16;68:3;72:19,24;
90:4	produced (3)	proposing (4)	106:14;107:19;118:24;	74:13
privately (4)	17:15,17;150:9	46:25;84:4;122:15;	126:2;151:1;152:23	ratepayer (1)
52:15;87:8,16;88:19 proactive (2)	produces (1) 160:3	123:20	puts (1) 50:22	67:9
161:17;165:6	producing (1)	proposition (1) 129:2	putting (2)	ratepayers (2) 67:19;73:22
probably (11)	151:3	prospect (1)	112:16;121:19	rates (5)
42:22,25;47:6;54:13;	product (3)	58:6	puzzle (1)	8:8;30:1;68:5;72:17;
57:1;59:19;88:3;	15:10;40:4;44:3	protective (1)	39:10	92:24
112:11;120:10;121:24;	production (2)	161:10	37.10	rather (8)
153:6	18:17;142:9	protocol (1)	Q	9:10;16:7;52:23;
problem (11)	professionals (2)	161:23		84:7;85:1;86:11;
5:21;10:5;17:5;28:6;	9:11;77:16	prove (1)	quantification (2)	120:25;121:25
40:20;90:20;104:12;	program (2)	133:16	72:5,10	re (2)
115:8,10;149:22;	44:17;149:7	proven (1)	quantified (2)	128:16;129:2
164:10	programs (1)	35:23	56:20;123:3	reach (2)
problems (2)	136:12	provide (11)	quarrel (1)	29:14;49:8
41:11;120:21	projections (2)	27:8;28:12;36:13;	45:4	reached (2)
procedural (3)	36:4;45:8	54:9;73:11;77:2;100:9;	quasi-judicial (1)	65:22;67:6
88:16;132:9;166:24	promises (1)	128:18;134:21;155:24;	70:5	react (1)
procedurally (4)	149:8	164:10	questionnaire (1)	62:5
160:13,20;162:20,21	promissory (1)	provided (8)	140:7	reaction (1)
procedure (9) 8:19;63:19;100:21;	130:18 prompt (2)	15:10;18:15;95:19;	quick (6)	53:17 read (22)
106:21,22;114:8;	53:15;72:17	122:19;133:3;134:2, 21;135:18	9:20;61:7;102:11; 151:11,13;165:25	20:15;25:17;29:12,
123:13;136:14;161:9	promptly (2)	providing (2)	quickly (5)	13,13;30:3;51:10;59:5,
procedures (1)	52:7;66:20	44:21;134:20	42:23;84:5;93:7;	18;67:21;89:13;101:8,
109:1	proof (9)	provision (1)	102:25;158:6	10;111:13,14;133:1;
proceed (3)	105:16;123:6,7,10;	68:2	quite (1)	143:1;149:21;152:22;
86:25;128:19;132:10	128:12;130:12,15;	public (14)	90:6	154:22,22;166:12
proceeding (13)	132:11;133:21	25:5;27:2;35:22;	quote (1)	readily (2)
12:22;19:15;23:6;	proofs (4)	37:12;59:16;64:5;80:7;	17:21	118:7;120:1
44:10;46:11;74:20;	116:23;123:16;	82:19,24;83:21;87:8,	quoted (1)	reading (3)
75:19,22;100:21;	129:8,9	16;90:4;93:1	55:12	67:22;80:3;145:2
108:2,6;150:25;155:19	proper (6)	public- (1)	QURESHI (16)	ready (8)
proceedings (8)	17:4;67:19;129:6;	17:23	49:12,14,15,16,17,	16:10;52:2;142:12,
65:8;70:4;72:3,7,18;	130:25;131:19;146:4	public-entity (1)	19;50:7;51:3,7,9;52:9,	12;147:12;148:8;
109:12;114:17;167:23	130.23,131.17,140.4			166051671
	properly (1)	47:1	20,22;53:2,12,21	166:25;167:1
process (67)	properly (1) 121:1	puppet (1)		real (7)
process (67) 8:4;12:7,11,13;	properly (1) 121:1 property (10)	puppet (1) 106:21	20,22;53:2,12,21 R	real (7) 120:24,25;154:9;
process (67) 8:4;12:7,11,13; 14:25;15:21;24:13;	properly (1) 121:1 property (10) 38:7;107:18;110:24;	puppet (1) 106:21 pure (6)	R	real (7) 120:24,25;154:9; 156:7,10,15,16
process (67) 8:4;12:7,11,13; 14:25;15:21;24:13; 27:22;29:8;30:13,19;	properly (1) 121:1 property (10) 38:7;107:18;110:24; 112:2,25,25;114:4;	puppet (1) 106:21 pure (6) 84:6;89:21;90:10;	R raise (11)	real (7) 120:24,25;154:9; 156:7,10,15,16 realistically (1)
process (67) 8:4;12:7,11,13; 14:25;15:21;24:13; 27:22;29:8;30:13,19; 34:8;38:14,18,23;40:4,	properly (1) 121:1 property (10) 38:7;107:18;110:24; 112:2,25,25;114:4; 120:10;127:13,17	puppet (1) 106:21 pure (6) 84:6;89:21;90:10; 94:10,11;156:20	R raise (11) 11:17;20:5,24;47:25;	real (7) 120:24,25;154:9; 156:7,10,15,16 realistically (1) 36:10
process (67) 8:4;12:7,11,13; 14:25;15:21;24:13; 27:22;29:8;30:13,19; 34:8;38:14,18,23;40:4, 5;48:24;49:21;51:2;	properly (1) 121:1 property (10) 38:7;107:18;110:24; 112:2,25,25;114:4; 120:10;127:13,17 property-damage/personal-injury (1)	puppet (1) 106:21 pure (6) 84:6;89:21;90:10; 94:10,11;156:20 purely (2)	R raise (11) 11:17;20:5,24;47:25; 49:20;51:9;73:6;92:23;	real (7) 120:24,25;154:9; 156:7,10,15,16 realistically (1) 36:10 reality (1)
process (67) 8:4;12:7,11,13; 14:25;15:21;24:13; 27:22;29:8;30:13,19; 34:8;38:14,18,23;40:4,	properly (1) 121:1 property (10) 38:7;107:18;110:24; 112:2,25,25;114:4; 120:10;127:13,17	puppet (1) 106:21 pure (6) 84:6;89:21;90:10; 94:10,11;156:20	R raise (11) 11:17;20:5,24;47:25;	real (7) 120:24,25;154:9; 156:7,10,15,16 realistically (1) 36:10

159:15;164:16

records (1)

8:2

relevant (5)

GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY August 27, 2019				
34:3;161:16	146:13	33:24;55:15;70:19;	136:23;144:8,9;	21:14;22:15,16;23:12;
		92:10:151:4		
realizes (1)	recovery (4)	· ·	148:12;151:25;160:11	86:4;107:10;120:5;
41:2	113:25;115:2;	reliable (1)	requested (1)	122:9,19;136:18;
really (16)	119:22;122:18	60:20	109:7	140:20,22;146:11;
9:3;22:10;23:14,18;	redetermining (1)	relief (6)	requests (10)	164:11
27:22;29:17;42:9;	25:8	21:25;96:20;104:10,	20:8;21:24;22:4;	responses (7)
44:23;58:5;64:2;85:5;	red-hot (1)	12;151:16;159:6	135:11;136:17;151:23,	134:4,5,21;143:2;
104:3;110:21;116:17;	97:23	rely (1)	24;160:12,21;163:21	146:18;152:1,4
128:8;158:23	reduced (2)	53:14	require (9)	responsibility (4)
reason (16)	9:1;38:17	relying (1)	66:16;70:5,20,24;	14:5;69:12;105:13;
11:23;18:13,18;	reevaluation (1)	53:14	74:12;78:11;81:7;83:7;	132:16
40:12;46:12;51:22;	48:10	remain (1)	139:3	responsible (1)
57:12;92:6;100:2;	refer (2)	125:5	required (4)	19:16
108:1;109:4;114:9;	64:16;65:8	remains (1)	29:9;65:1;68:2;	responsive (2)
132:4;146:25;147:10;	reference (4)	100:22	70:21	21:4;143:3
161:4	23:11;111:13;143:8;	remediation (1)	requirement (1)	rest (4)
reasonable (3)	152:22	120:8	93:9	7:5;85:8;143:1,1
47:5;125:15,16	referenced (2)	remember (5)	requirements (2)	restate (1)
reasonableness (1)	31:24;135:18	25:12;75:10,11;	29:23;43:8	158:13
68:5	references (2)	116:7;136:4	requires (3)	result (4)
reasons (9)	135:24;143:9	Remind (1)	69:23;74:15;127:3	17:2,4;33:9;71:13
12:18;90:6;97:15,19;	referred (1)	163:25	requiring (1)	results (4)
105:11;106:18;131:25;	67:13	reminding (1)	66:11	74:20,23;75:19,21
141:24;142:7	referring (2)	37:4	rescue (1)	return (3)
reassessment (1)	81:18;142:19	remote (1)	46:5	69:11;87:20;103:21
48:9	reflect (1)	17:24	research (1)	reverse-engineering (1)
rebuild (1)	152:15	renew (2)	128:13	46:23
138:6	reflecting (2)	43:4;52:2	resolution (15)	review (1)
rebuts (2)	6:11;32:3	reorganization (2)	15:4;16:21;29:15;	155:5
130:19,22	refuted (1)	39:4;67:1	49:3,8;66:11,16;69:23;	reviewed (2)
recall (8)	134:8	reorganized (4)	72:6,18;78:11;84:20;	5:23;6:9
25:7,11;65:24;85:23;	regard (4)	68:8;69:10;72:25;	92:17;100:21;116:14	revised (1)
101:17;109:22;114:18;	58:15;88:4;89:3;	77:15	resolve (6)	6:1
135:23	90:2	repairing (1)	65:15;70:10;80:7;	revisit (1)
receive (1)	regarding (3)	148:2	83:23;86:22;164:17	127:22
136:20	78:1;89:11;157:7	repeat (1)	resolved (4)	revisited (1)
received (3)	regardless (2)	90:7	77:20;82:6;118:23;	28:17
18:17;150:13;163:20	19:8;43:9		128:21	Richard (2)
recent (1)	regular (3)	repeated (2) 20:19;149:8	respect (23)	53:24;151:13
93:3	53:6;99:12;100:3	repeatedly (1)	7:20;25:24,25;29:10;	RIDDLE (4)
	regulatory (1)	2 , ,		
recently (2)	• •	72:4	35:19;38:25;51:4,16,	19:1,3,3,18
118:13;145:16	65:8	rephrase (1)	19;69:18;80:22;81:18;	Rifkind (1)
receptive (1)	reinvent (2)	50:15	84:11;115:11;133:22,	64:5 D: 14 (140)
42:23	119:9;160:20	replaced (1)	25;135:17,22;137:7;	Right (140)
Recess (1)	reiterated (1)	15:18	139:6;146:15;158:22;	6:13;7:21;9:25;
125:3	42:19	reply (4)	163:7	14:13;15:1,1,19;17:4,5,
recognition (1)	relate (6)	11:17,22;95:3;	respectfully (2)	5;20:6,16,17,18;21:1,
67:19	12:4;15:22;19:13,14;	114:18	119:10;148:12	18,22,23;22:1,2;24:23;
recognize (1)	28:5;72:2	report (6)	respective (1)	25:22;27:3,4;28:13,21;
50:2	related (5)	97:1;99:15;100:5,9;	68:8	29:12;30:5,8,23;31:9,
recognized (1)	12:12;65:2;67:13;	144:22;146:14	respond (14)	16,25;32:2,2,7;34:12;
86:5	114:1;122:13	reports (7)	86:2;88:21;91:7;	35:9;37:2,8,12,18;
recollection (1)	relates (5)	15:14;99:13;143:16,	107:11;131:12,24;	38:16;40:10,16;41:3,
109:20	17:7;62:12;63:2;	16;146:13;147:1,7	136:17,23;141:5;	24;42:1,7,18;45:15,22;
recommendation (3)	71:1;144:22	representatives (3)	148:1;159:7,8;160:11;	48:1,6,11;50:17,21;
85:22,24;105:11	relating (7)	27:15;32:18;67:9	163:20	51:2;53:1,6,7,15;54:5;
record (15)	64:17;65:6;67:17;	representing (5)	responded (2)	55:12;58:12;59:18;
20:1;46:7;49:14;	69:17,21,25;74:13	25:13;27:14,15;56:1;	132:21;136:5	60:1,16;63:16;65:17;
70:10;80:12,20;87:22;	relative (1)	166:11	responding (5)	66:1;67:21;68:12;
102:7;120:25;133:18;	13:16	request (17)	11:9;22:25;94:7;	71:15,20,24;72:14;
146:22;152:14;158:16;	releases (1)	7:11;11:25;17:13,18;	133:7;135:11	76:3,12;78:12;79:1;

18:18;19:20;20:6;21:5; **response (17)**

9:10;10:4;17:18;

22:10,13;134:5;

80:5,24;81:3,13;87:1;

89:20,24;91:9;92:19;

17:24;67:10,17;

17:14

	(-	•		0 /
98:4;101:5;102:15,		scoring (2)	11:12;41:14;70:12;	shared (1)
105:17;106:1;112:		18:6,8	120:24;144:12	51:20
113:1,22;116:22,24		scream-or-die (1)	September (16)	shareholders (5)
120:2;121:14;122:2		9:21	23:19;29:2;31:2;	61:7,9,12;63:5;
123:8;124:1,17;	40:18;45:18;46:22,24;	seated (1)	33:20;47:12;50:1;	166:12
125:19,23;126:2;	58:15;67:22;68:19;	125:5	51:17;64:18;75:14,18;	shed (1)
135:17;136:15;137	:20; 75:6;84:22;85:9;89:7;	second (15)	77:8;97:4;100:9;	115:1
138:14;139:2,8,10,		5:18,20;21:13;28:6;	101:21;126:4;163:21	sheet (2)
140:12;141:18;146		39:11;51:7;81:19;83:7;	sequence (1)	50:12;166:6
8,18,19;154:15;155	5:12, 135:13,14;138:18;	99:1;107:23;128:7;	23:16	shift (1)
14,17;156:22;157:	12, 142:24;149:14;160:6;	142:5,16;145:12;154:5	sequencing (2)	85:1
17;159:2,4;161:11;	163:24,24	Secondly (1)	30:11;145:14	ship (1)
163:11,13;164:22;	SAN (7)	123:15	series (1)	109:2
165:13,14;167:20	5:1;45:10;62:15;	Section (2)	11:16	short (3)
rights (2)	97:2;101:20;112:17;	57:21;155:9	serious (1)	5:8;121:25;134:17
50:3;112:23	165:19	sections (1)	153:8	shorten (3)
ripe (1)	sanction (2)	29:14	served (13)	101:15;103:15;
137:3	98:10,11	securities (2)	20:8;83:4;133:8;	161:13
rise (1)	sanctioning (1)	9:2;59:18	141:5,22;142:3,5;	shorter (2)
125:4	98:13	securitization (1)	145:13;151:25,25;	122:1;124:14
risk (8)	satisfaction (1)	39:8	152:1,3,4	shortfall (2)
17:14;18:7;20:21;	130:21	seeing (2)	service (1)	40:6,7
25:6;87:13,15,18;	satisfactory (1)	48:13;124:16	8:16	shortly (2)
110:11	165:9	seek (1)	services (6)	104:24;124:16
role (4)	satisfy (1)	24:2	7:4;12:17;15:12;	shot (1)
104:18;106:15,16;	37:23	seeking (3)	113:18;114:7;120:6	152:14
120:13	Saturday (2)	14:8,9;50:4	serving (1)	show (10)
room (5)	141:22;143:11	seeks (1)	143:22	17:16;21:12;131:14,
40:13;41:2;153:10,		87:19	session (1)	18;137:16;139:3;
10;154:25	36:5	seem (2)	103:24	142:14;146:14;148:3;
roughly (2)	saying (30)	25:11;28:5	set (24)	149:23
36:23;103:9	13:12,12;28:10,23;	seemed (2)	22:12;24:6;32:5;	shows (1)
round (2)	29:5;41:1;47:17;50:9;	90:11;109:24	41:14;52:7;62:5;69:10;	117:9
39:21;43:20	55:5;78:25;83:9;88:9;	seems (15)	87:21;95:5;97:4;	sic (7)
rule (8)	107:20;131:5,25;	6:9;10:11;12:9;13:7;	100:12;102:15,18,21;	6:20;7:8;13:16;
41:13;57:25;81:11,		16:4,11;26:21;31:4;	106:7;113:2;120:25;	17:16;22:9;122:17;
20;90:18;91:16;102 136:9		32:8,15;43:18;53:8;	130:11;140:11;145:12;	129:4
ruled (1)	149:15,16;150:19,24; 156:1,1;160:15;161:7	113:1;142:21;160:2	156:13;161:12,22; 167:1	side (25) 13:17;27:22;31:5;
91:17	scalability (1)	segue (1) 44:9	sets (3)	45:5;50:10;61:24;79:7.
rules (10)	46:18	send (3)	70:17;94:10;140:10	9;83:23;88:5;89:10;
13:10;31:24;34:18:		91:25;110:19,23	setting (4)	94:6;97:12,23;98:15;
41:14;51:1;69:21;8		91.23,110.19,23 senior (4)	85:17;86:13;88:16;	100:5,17;104:8;
93:25;128:18;130:		25:9;53:17;57:22;	103:22	105:20;111:11;112:11;
ruling (5)	47:5	60:14	settings (1)	119:16;131:21;153:9;
51:11;76:21;91:25:		sense (16)	166:24	160:25
92:1;161:14	23:19;53:6;78:21;	24:1;34:2;37:21;	settled (1)	sides (3)
rulings (3)	83:11;84:11,14;85:9,	48:11;52:11;56:13;	47:1	38:22;49:2;121:6
70:22;78:15;109:1		76:14;109:11;110:15;	settlement (16)	sidetracked (1)
run (4)	90:12;92:11;94:10;	111:24;116:18;121:25;	38:4;40:3;65:5,10,	97:20
15:16;116:20;	95:9	129:16;131:20;160:15;	21;66:14,18,25;67:1;	signal (1)
153:12,12	scheduled (3)	162:1	69:14,16;72:8;74:24;	107:17
running (1)	34:17;52:23;56:21	sent (7)	76:2;78:8;129:11	signed (1)
41:23	scheduling (3)	107:16;135:25;	settlements (1)	35:3
	86:9;127:6;128:6	136:1;141:14;145:18;	153:3	significance (1)
S	school (1)	146:12;158:24	seventeen-and-a-half (1)	102:9
	26:17	sentence (2)	60:15	significant (13)
safe (1)	science (2)	71:21;128:14	several (2)	12:16;14:11;60:18;
25:16	155:15,20	separate (10)	58:19;149:7	64:25;71:14,18;78:10,
safely (1)	scope (2)	70:6;79:17;80:9;	shapes (1)	18;80:14;122:13;
19:8	108:6;136:13	116:20;117:4;126:19;	72:16	135:19;140:9,11
safety (4)	score (1)	161:2;166:13;167:2,12	share (3)	significantly (3)
the state of the s			1	i

58:9,13;165:9

80:19;123:21;139:24

separately (5)

similar (6)	small (2)	speak (9)	83:2;142:3;147:1;	stay (5)
9:11;77:22;78:3;	35:1;55:3	11:5;18:24;31:10;	156:24	101:9;104:10,12;
121:16;122:9;160:6	smaller (1)	35:9;56:14;60:9;85:21;	starting (1)	107:18;151:16
similarly (2)	39:14	98:9,21	78:18	stays (1)
104:16;109:9	snobby (1)	SPEAKER (2)	starts (1)	110:24
Simon (30)	58:22	94:22;128:20	119:18	step (3)
86:15,22;87:1,2,2;	so-called (1)	speakers (2)	State (21)	77:14;80:8;139:16
88:3,14,17,24;89:1,14,	69:24	56:10;77:6	39:9;80:1;81:1;87:3;	stepped (2)
16,25;90:1,22,24;91:2,	solved (1)	speaking (3)	92:11,15;113:16,19,19,	37:4;63:5
4,10,13,15,17,20,22,25;	40:20	13:16;19:12;162:11	21;114:4;119:25;	stepping (1)
92:2;93:8,8,11,14		specialist (1)	120:11;134:2;136:2,2;	134:6
	solvent (5)	8:7		
simple (13)	36:11;46:20;48:4;		141:12,23;142:6;	steps (1)
25:19;34:24;35:6;	49:6;62:2	specific (6)	143:3;158:15	143:23
50:21;55:18,24;79:24;	somebody (8)	15:21;64:6;70:23;	stated (6)	Steve (2)
80:1;81:6;98:6;143:7;	13:5;21:10;53:3;	103:25;124:23;143:15	21:19;70:12;73:24;	149:1;152:16
145:7,8	107:11;119:2;124:2;	specifically (4)	82:16;107:24;108:3	stick (6)
simplified (5)	127:22;165:9	18:17;19:14;23:10;	statement (64)	23:16;46:12;93:24;
35:2,4;55:1,11;58:16	somebody's (1)	89:10	27:24;30:14,14,16;	95:8;97:12;140:16
simplifies (1)	44:15	specifics (1)	31:3,5,15;32:1,10,20;	sticking (1)
51:2	somehow (1)	152:21	33:9,22;35:3;41:13;	22:10
simplify (3)	13:9	specified (1)	42:21;45:5,7;46:15;	sticks (1)
45:5;50:9;90:5	someone (10)	136:10	48:14,17,21;49:22;	85:16
simplifying (1)	11:4;36:12;60:9;	speech (2)	50:9,13,14,14;52:4,18;	still (27)
58:5	79:9;104:13;107:8;	157:23;158:8	53:14,15;54:11;55:1,	8:25;10:19;12:24;
simply (7)	109:6;127:16;129:12;	speedy (1)	11,24;56:5,16;57:7,10,	17:2;23:10,19;25:16;
8:7;21:25;57:8;	151:8	72:17	13;58:8,16;59:1,16;	48:4;60:11;74:1;78:2;
82:16;92:25;107:7;	sometimes (2)	spent (5)	60:3,7;63:20;71:2,3,6,	81:14,23;83:7;84:21,
108:4	99:16;116:21	115:17,18;142:11;	9,17,18;79:21;130:7;	22;108:18;109:9,13;
single (6)	somewhere (1)	147:11;148:7	153:24;154:18;155:7;	110:2;117:16;121:11;
17:18;92:18,19;	132:17	splitting (1)	156:23,24,25;166:2,6,	127:18;137:20;143:20;
	Sonoma (1)	90:7		
139:6;155:4;160:6			14;167:12	158:2;164:10
Singleton (5)	101:18	spoken (1)	statements (4)	stipulation (3)
161:2,16;165:4,5,10	soon (7)	160:24	33:10;61:16;86:20;	97:3;103:19;139:19
sit (3)	31:6;34:12;52:8;	spread (3)	134:22	stipulations (1)
32:19;89:19;124:4	78:18;125:10;126:13;	80:16;87:13,15	states (6)	6:15
sitting (2)	156:20	spreading (1)	117:14;121:17;	stockholders (1)
9:22;99:11	sooner (6)	87:18	122:16;123:13,20;	39:10
situation (5)	16:7;52:23;74:19;	staff (6)	126:21	stop (1)
52:24;63:18;74:22;	84:7,21;104:20	66:14,18;67:5;70:15;	States' (1)	150:16
90:24;165:2	sorry (8)	72:23;78:9	123:14	stopped (1)
six (2)	76:23;125:19;	staffing (1)	state's (1)	149:12
57:17;150:5	126:15;134:3;144:19,	112:15	67:18	stops (1)
sixty-four-million- (1)	19;158:5,14	stage (3)	stating (2)	30:17
104:2	sort (15)	70:17;103:17;130:11	71:20;79:3	story (2)
size (2)	11:12;13:15,20;	stakeholder (1)	station (2)	25:20;97:17
14:11;47:14	21:14;25:14;26:16;	60:18	30:17;109:18	straight (1)
Skikos (22)	35:17;36:6;38:11;39:3,	stakeholders (2)	statistically (2)	22:14
139:19;152:14,16,	11;44:10;83:6;136:1;	52:16,17	140:9,10	straightforward (2)
19;153:16;154:15,17;	161:10	stand (3)	status (15)	143:7;145:6
155:10,13,15,18;156:7,	sound (3)	52:10;152:11;158:2	5:5,6;23:1;34:14;	strange (1)
	60:20;157:2;164:4	1 1	99:12;100:4,5,8;	106:21
10,22;157:6,9,12,14,		Standard (1)		
16,18,23,25	sounds (9)	136:14	104:24;126:3;135:23;	Strauss (1)
sky (1)	25:19;78:21,25;	standards (2)	139:21;156:19;162:2;	49:15
63:14	83:15;118:14;121:1;	57:19,20	166:22	streamline (1)
SLF (4)	123:4;138:7;161:24	standing (1)	statute (6)	48:14
54:4;151:14,15;	source (3)	52:2	55:12,14;67:15;	streamlined (3)
152:2	30:1;131:7;132:3	start (12)	110:6;126:24;167:9	49:21;54:11;61:18
slight (1)	sources (10)	5:6;13:1;14:15;	statutes (1)	streamlining (1)
79:19	26:8,9;27:8,16;	22:12;46:9;57:20;62:9,	70:4	48:16
slim (1)	28:11;39:8;40:15;	14;74:10;78:9;138:16;	statutorily (2)	street (4)
71:18	47:23;63:10;73:11	139:23	97:24;98:3	43:13;90:8;99:11;
slow (1)	Southern (1)	started (7)	statutory (2)	100:1
98:17	87:12	11:4;31:12;75:14;	7:19;101:16	stretch (1)
		, , ,	,	

strings (1) 92-22 strongly (1) 90-12 153:106:18.1513.15.10.10.18. 199-22 structure (7) 47:216.89:70:1; 172:11.2.16 172:11.62.57.42.1677.9 172:22 studied (1) 172:11.2.16 172:22 studied (1) 57:24.15.15.14 172:23 studied (1) 57:24.15.15.14 172:23 studied (2) 172:11.2.16 186:13 172:12 163:106:18.15.15.15.14 172:11.2.10 sufficient (2) surprised (2) 172:11.2.16 sufficient (2) surprised (2) 179:25.15.15.14 186:10 172:24 studied (1) 51:34 47:55.625.584.3; 186:11.2.12.15.15.16.10.18 187:17.17.94:11.14.3.3; 144:3.145.14.159.11; 160:6.6 187:17.19.41.14.33.3 144:3.145.14.159.11; 160:6.6 187:17.19.42.14.15.15.16.10.14.12.15.16.16.16.16.16.16.16.16.16.16.16.16.16.	GAS AND ELECTRIC C	COMPANY		T	ı
	12:10	155-21	65:24:60:7:73:16:22:	131-13-132-21-133-8-	th
99:22 strongly (1) 99:23 strongly (1) 99:24 structure (7) 17:22 structures (1) 17:22 studied (1) 16:25 studied (1) 13:225 studied (2) 99:24 subject (22) 12:20:228.18;323:8; 349:345;1429;123:12 12:20:228.18;323:8; 349:45;195;123; 62:20:38:415; 13:31;17:20:91;48; 13:31;17:20:91;48; 13:31;17:20:91;48; 13:31;17:20:91;48; 13:31;17:20:91;48; 13:31;17:20:91;48; 13:31;17:20:91;48; 13:31;17:20:91;48; 13:31;17:20:91;48; 13:31;17:20:91;48; 13:31;17:20:91;48; 13:31;48; 12:3					LI.
		• ` ′			Т
99:22 structure (7) 472:12:68:97.01: 3 suffered (3) 17:22 structures (1) 17:22 structures (1) 18:23 studied (1) 18:22:5 studied (1) 18:22:5 stuff (8) 77:17:94:11:143:3; 144:31:15:11; 160:6.6 46:11:79:17:85:2,14, 17:10:624 143:3145:14:15:91:1; 160:6.6 46:14:99:24 subject (2) 19:24 subject (2) 19:20:22:8.18:32:8; 34:94:51:95:123: 62:20:87:99:12:10:31:2; 13:20:14:20:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:					1
139-25;158:11,21					th
472:168:970:1; 72:162:574:167:79 structures (1) 116:22 studied (1) 116:23 stuff (8) 113:22.5 stuff (8) 77:17:94:11;14:3; 77:17:94:11;14:3; 144:3;145:14;159:11; 160:66 styles (1) 129:22 subject (22) subject (22) subject (22) subject (22) subject (22) subject (23) 13:12:10:22:8,18;32:8; 349:45:19;51:23; 349:45:19;51:24; 349:19;52:18;35:12;56:5; 349:48:11;24:18; 349:24:19;31:19;11:10 340:22 338:12:69 338:12:69 338:12:69 338:12:69 338:12:69 338:12:69 338:12:69 338:12:69 338:12:69 338:12:69 338:12:99 39:10:10 35:20 338:12:19 313:14 47:6;56:25;84:3; 107:2 47:6;56:25;84:3; 107:2 47:6;56:25;84:3; 107:2 47:6;56:25;84:3; 107:2 47:6;56:25;84:3; 107:2 47:6;56:25;84:3; 107:2 47:6;56:25;84:3; 107:2 47:6;56:25;84:3; 107:2 47:6;56:25;84:3; 107:2 47:6;56:25;84:3; 107:2 47:6;56:25;84:3; 107:2 47:6;56:25;84:3; 107:2 48:11;10:10:1 15:20 338:12:69 338:12:19 107:2 48:41:49:11:49 115:19 48:41:49:19 48:41:49:19 48:41:49:19 48:41:49:19 48:41:49:19 49:40:40:11:49 40:40:11 40:19 41:40:40:11 40:19 41:40:40:11 40:19 41:40:40:11 40:19 41:40:40:11 41:40:19 41					LI.
72:16.25;74:16;77:9 structures (1) 17:22 stuck (1) 17:22 studied (1) 18:25 stufficiently (1) 18:21 18:21:133:6 sufficiently (1) 18:21 18:21:133:6 suggest (1) 18:22 studied (1) 18:25 stuff (8) 17:17;94:11:143:3; 144:3;145:14;159:11; 160:6,6 stuff (8) 17:17;94:11:143:3; 144:3;145:14;159:11; 160:6,6 stuff (8) 17:19* subject (2) 13:25 styles (1) 99:24 suggest (1) 17:19 12:22 studied (1) 18:7:17;158:21,4 17:10:22 suggest (1) 18:7:17;158:21 subject (2) 13:20;22:8,18;32:8; 33:21;62:3;84:15; 99:24 subject (2) 99:18 subject (4) 47:6;625;28;43; 107:2 swing (1) 13:20:2 swing					th
					u
strick (1) strick (1) strick (1) suggest (1) suspect (4) 47:65:62:58:43; 107:2 ted (1) 100:24 ted (1) 100:24 ted (1) 120:24 ted (1)					41.
116:22 studied (1) 132:25 studied (1) 132:25 stuff (8) 46:11,79:17,85:2,14, 17,106:24 suggested (6) 46:11,79:17,85:2,14, 17,106:24 suggesting (7) 42:543:21,49-7; styles (1) 99:28 suggesting (8) 33:21,62:3,84:15; suggesting (8) 33:21,62:3,84:15; suggesting (8) 33:21,62:3,84:15; suggesting (8) 33:21,62:3,84:15; suggesting (1) 133:14 styles (1) 133:14 styles (1) 133:14 styles (1) 104:12 104:22; 107:5,133:11, 12(14:32) 104:12 104:22; 107:5,133:11, 12(14:32) 104:12 submission (1) 188:24 submitted (2) 67:2,158:25 43:15,166:2; 167:14 submitted (2) 67:2,158:25 43:15,166:2; 166:5; 161:11; 162:16; 164:13 subpoena (4) 160:5,161:11; 162:16; 164:13 subpoena (4) 161:2 subpoena (4) 161:2 subpoena (4) 161:2 subpoena (4) 160:5,161:11; 162:16; 164:13 subpoena (5) 137:21 subpoena (6) 137:20 137:21 subpoena (7) 137:21 subpoena (8) 137:21 subpoena (9) 137:21 subpoena (1) 137:21 subpoena (1) 157:1 subrogation (16) 57:1 subpoena (1) 137:21 subpoena (1) 138:31:4 suppoed (1) 138:4 subpoena (1) 138:4 subpoena (1) 14:25 subpoena (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		th
studied (1) 132:25 stuff (8) 17:71:794:11;143:3; 1443:145:14;159:11; 160:6.6 styles (1) 99:24 subject (22) 132:02;28,18;32:8; 12:20;228,18;32:8; 12:20;228,19;95:123; 62:20;87:99:613; 98:12:99:7;108:22; 133:11,17:126:914; 128:13;129:10 submit (4) 158:24 submit (4) 158:24 submit (4) 158:24 submit (4) 160:25;166:2; 167:24 submit (4) 158:28 suboenad (1) 159:23 subpoenad (1) 161:2 subpoenad (1) 159:23 subpoenad (1) 159:25 subrogai (1) 137:21 subrogation (16) 25:91;324:27:28;132;140:2; 162:16:164:13 subpoenad (1) 137:21 subrogation (16) 25:91;324:27:18; 2919;35:21;40:2; 144:9 subros (1) 137:21 subros (1) 138:114:6;120:7 subros (1) 148:2 Supreme (3) 138:143:6;121:5 138:144:6;180:10 140:12 switch (1) 138:122 switchs (1) 133:14 switchs (1) 138:12:12:13:2 switchs (1) 133:14 switchs (1) 140:12 switchs (1) 140:12 switchs (1) 140:12 switchs (1) 140:19 140:12 140:19 140:19 140:19 140:19 140:19 153:14 140:19 153:14 140:19 153:14 140:19 153:14 140:19 153:14 140:19 153:14 140:19					
Studied (1) 132:25 stuff (8) 46:11:79:17:85:2,14, 17:106:24 swinging (1) 46:12:77:75:11; swinging (1) 135:20	, ,				
132:25 stuff (8) 77:17;94:11;143:3; 144:3;145:14;159:11; 160:6.6 99:18 suggesting (7) 160:6.6 styles (1) 99:24 subject (22) suggesting (8) 33:21:62:3;84:15; 98:899:12;103:12; 98:899:12;103:12; 98:899:12;103:12; 98:81:999-108:22; 13:20:12:20;22:8,18;32:8; 33:21:62:3;84:15; 98:899:12;103:12; switching (1) 12;143:2 tells (1) 99:18 switching (1) 13:10:14:98:14;101:13; switching (1) 12;143:2 tells (1) 149:14:98:14;101:13; switching (1) 12;143:2 tells (1) 149:14:98:14;101:13; switching (1) 12;143:2 tells (1) 149:14:98:14;101:13; switching (1) 12;143:2 tells (1) 149:25; 13:20; switching (1) 12;143:2 tells (1) 149:25; 13:20; switching (1) 12;143:2 tells (1) 149:25; 13:20; switching (1) 12;143:2 tells (1) 140:12 140					
stuff (8) 77:17;94:11;143:3; 46:11:79:17;85:2,14, 17:106:24 swinging (1) telephonic (1) 77:17;94:11;143:3; 117:106:24 swinging (1) 135:20 99:18 144:3;145:14;159:11; suggesting (7) 42:5;43:21;49:7; switch (1) 133:14 16:27:77:51:1; 152:20;22;8,18;32:8; 34:9;45:19;51:23; 66:43:01:38:13; switch (1) 16:12 16:12 16:21:20;99:13:82:2; switch (1) 13:14 16:12 16:12 16:31:24:19 switch (1) 16:12					th
77:17;94:11:143:3; 144:3;145:14;159:11; 160:6.6 styles (1)					
144:3:145:14;159:11; suggesting (7)					th
160.6.6 42.54.32.1.49.7; 59:24 59:24 59:24 59:24 59:24 59:21.293.71.36.21.3. 104:12 59:14.32. 106:3;124:19 59:12.33.17;126:9,14; 158:24 516:14.20;121.6; 158:24 516:14.20;121.6; 167:14.20;121.6; 167:12.55:15.8 59:10.82.7;83.15.16. 167:12.55:18 167:12.55:18 167:12.55:18 169:13.17;105:1; 169:16.16.16.11; 169:16.16.16.11; 169:16.16.16.11; 169:16.16.16.11; 169:16.16.16.11; 169:16.16.16.11; 169:16.16.16.16.15.15 137:21					
styles (1) 69/4:80:15:85:3,5 switches (1) 76:14:98:14:101:3; 104:12 76:14:98:14:101:3; 104:22:107:5;133:11, 31:20:20:22:8,18:32:8; 33:21:52:33:84:15; switching (1) 118:21:14:19 104:12 104:12 104:12 104:12:10:15; 104:12:11:18 119:4,6,7<	144:3;145:14;159:11;				
99:24 subject (22) suggestion (8) 33:21;62:38:415; 98:899:12;103:12; 31:2 switching (1) 104:22;107:5;133:11, 12;143:2 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:22;107:5;133:11, 140:19 104:12 119:46,7 119:46,7 119:46,7 119:46,7 119:46,7 119:46,7 119:46,7	160:6,6	42:5;43:21;49:7;	133:14	16:4;27:7;51:1;	
subject (22) 33:21;62:3;84:15; switching (1) 12:143:2 tells (1) 12:20;22:8,18;32:8; 33:21;62:3;84:15; 98:89:91:21;03:12; 31:2 tells (1) 62:20;87:99:613; 98:12;99:7;108:22; suggestions (1) 99:20 118:8;126:18 temporary (3) 98:12;99:7;108:22; 13:31;17;126:9,14; 18:8;129:10 tempted (1) 50:11 128:13;129:10 sum (3) 118:7,7;135:21 table (2) 10:4;123:5;166:2; 79:7;112:18 10:4;4,6,7 158:24 submit (4) 59:108:27;83:15.16, 24;24;87:7;88:9;92:5; 33:1 23:3 77:12:18 79:7;112:18 10:1;37:20,22;38:13; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 79:7;112:18 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 79:7;112:18 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 79:21;10:10 10:1;37:20,22;38:13; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 79:7;112:18 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:1;44:16;23:1; 40:1;44:16;123:1;	styles (1)	69:4;80:15;85:3,5	switches (1)	76:14;98:14;101:3;	
12-20;22:8,18;32:8; 98:8:99:12;103:12; system (2) 140:19 199:20 113:10;114:20;121:6; suggestions (1) 18:7,7;135:21 summission (1) 18:7,7;135:21 summary (12) summission (1) 18:7,7;135:21 summary (12) summisted (2) 59:10;82:7;88:15.16, 10:4;123:5;166:2; 24;24;87:7;88:99:25; 50:18 subpordinated (1) 91:4;96:23;97:2;98:9; 50:18 support (7) 160:5;161:11; 162:16;164:13 support (7) subpoenad (1) 159:23 subpoenad (1) 159:23 subpoenad (1) 137:21 subrogation (16) supportive (1) 137:21 subrogation (16) 57:1 subrogation (16) supportive (1) 137:21 subrogation (16) supportive (17) supportive (17) 137:21 subrogation (18) supportive (18) supportive (19) supportive	99:24	suggestion (8)	104:12	104:22;107:5;133:11,	
12-20;22:8,18;32:8; 98:8:99:12;103:12; system (2) 140:19 199:20 113:10;114:20;121:6; suggestions (1) 18:7,7;135:21 summission (1) 18:7,7;135:21 summary (12) summission (1) 18:7,7;135:21 summary (12) summisted (2) 59:10;82:7;88:15.16, 10:4;123:5;166:2; 24;24;87:7;88:99:25; 50:18 subpordinated (1) 91:4;96:23;97:2;98:9; 50:18 support (7) 160:5;161:11; 162:16;164:13 support (7) subpoenad (1) 159:23 subpoenad (1) 159:23 subpoenad (1) 137:21 subrogation (16) supportive (1) 137:21 subrogation (16) 57:1 subrogation (16) supportive (1) 137:21 subrogation (16) supportive (17) supportive (17) 137:21 subrogation (18) supportive (18) supportive (19) supportive	subject (22)	33:21;62:3;84:15;	switching (1)		th
34:94:519:51:23; 62:20,87:99:613; 98:12:99:7;108:22; 133:10:114:20:121:6; 123:13,17;126:9,14; 128:13;129:10 submission (1) 158:24 submit (4) 59:10.82:7;83:15,16, 10:4;123:5;166:2; 67:14 49:15:20:15:166:19 59:10:82:7;83:15,16, 167:14 49:15:20:15:18 subpordinated (1) 50:18 submitted (2) 67:2;158:25 subroidinated (1) 50:18 supervisors (1) 160:5;161:11; 162:16;164:13 subpoenaed (1) 159:23 subpoenaed (1) 159:23 subpoenaed (1) 159:23 subpoenaed (1) 159:23 subroidinated (1) 157:1 subroidinated (1) 153:14 supposedly (1) 153				tells (1)	
62:20;87:99:61:3; 98:12;99:7;108:22; 113:10;114:20;121:6; 123:13;17:126:9,14; 128:13;129:10 submission (1) 118:7,7:135:21 submit (4) 158:24 submit (4) 10:4;123:5;166:2; 167:14 submited (2) 67:2;158:25 subordinated (1) 50:18 superior (12) 43:13;45:21;62:16; 50:18 subpoena (4) 160:5;161:11; 162:16;164:13 subpoena (4) 160:5;161:11; 161:2 subpoena (1) 159:23 subpoena (1) 159:23 subpoena (1) 161:2 subpoena (1) 161:2 subpoena (1) 17:2 subpoena (1) 18:8;126:18 T table (2) 17:4 table (2) 17:112:18 tag-teaming (1) 23:3 18:4;46:2;23:1; 34:15;41:10;59:17; 64:2;77:5;79:14;95:14; 152:21;153:24 take (3) 119:4,6,7 tempted (1) 50:11 ten (18) 10:1;37:20,22;38:13; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 77:12;80:17,18,13; 29:19;49:21;10:10 tenderd (1) 154:22;156:4;163:16 ten-day (2) 129:1;10:10 tendered (1) 13:4;6;123:12 tentative (2) 129:1;10:10 tendered (1) 13:4;6;123:12 tentative (2) 129:1;153:24 tentative (2) 129:1;150:1;152:2 tentative (2) 130:16;132:14 149:7 13:16;143:15 149:7 13:16;143:15 15:3;147:20;149:11; 153:14 149:7 13:16;143:15 15:3;147:20;149:11; 153:14 149:7 13:16;143:15 15:3;147:20;149:11; 153:14 149:7 13:16;143:15 15:11 Subrogation (16) 25:9;13,24;27:18; 29:19;35:21;40:2; 130:16;132:14 149:7 13:16;143:15 15:3;147:20;149:11; 153:14 149:7 13:16;143:15 149:7 13:16;143:15 149:7 13:16;143:15 149:7 13:16;143:15 149:7 144:1					
98:12:99:7;108:22; 113:10;114:20:121:6; 128:13;129:10 submission (1) 118:7,7;135:21 submit (4) 12:3:3 14:42:3:3 14:48:2 149:25 subpoena (4) 162:5;161:10 161:2 subpoena (1) 161:1 17:10:12:18:125:21; 162:14;142:23 talked (5) 118:18;125:21; 126:14;142:23 talked (5) 118:18;125:21; 126:14;142:23 talked (5) 13:18;144:23 talked (5) 126:14;142:23 talked (5) 13:18;121:1;50:12;52:16; 13:6;20:7;38:12,13; 14:9:2 14:9:3 13:18;144:9 14:9:3 13:18;144:6;120:7 subposed (4) 14:9:1 13:11 15:11 Substances (3) 11:1:4abe (2) 79:7;112:18 table (2) 71:12:18 10:1;37:20:22;38:13; 48:9:17:93:14;13; 48:11:19:19:19:11 13:4;16:2;23:1; 13:4;16:2;23:1; 13:4;16:2;23:1; 13:8:14:6:19:5:14 119:25 13:4;16:2;23:1; 13:4;10:59:17; 13:8:14:6:19:15:34:29; 13:14:25:11:15:12 13:12:18:11 13:12:18 149:25 13:4;16:2;23:1; 13:4;10:59:17; 13:4;10:59:17; 13:4;10:59:17; 13:4;10:59:17; 13:4;10:59:17; 13:4;10:59:17; 13:4;10:19:11;13:12:18 11:10:12:18;125:21; 13:4;10:19:13:14:10;59:17; 13:4;10:19:13:14:10;59:17; 13:4;10:19:13:14:10;59:17; 13:4;10:19:13:14:10;59:17; 13:4;10:19:13:14:10;59:17; 13:4;10:19:19:14:12:15; 13:4;10:19:13:12:14 119:25 13:4;10:19:13:12:14 119:25 13:4;10:19:13:12:14 119:4;47:12:18 119:4;47:12:18 119:4;47:12:18 119:4;47:12:18 119:4;47:19:11 13:19:4;47:19:11 13:19:19:4;47:19:11 13:19:19:4;47:19:11				temporary (3)	T
T			,		
123:13,17;126:9,14; 128:13;129:10 sum (3) 118:7,7;135:21 sum (3) 158:24 summary (12) 59:10,82:7,83:15,16, 24,24;87:7,88:9;92:5; 167:14 93:18,22,22 66:19 154:22,156:4;163:16 154:22,156:4;163:16 154:22,156:4;163:16 156:5;161:11; 160:5;161:11; 162:16;164:13 supperisors (1) 159:23 subpoenaed (1) 159:23 subpoenaed (1) 161:2 support (2) 93:24;129:3;142:9; 137:21 subroga (1) 137:15 138:14 29:19;35:21;40:2; 153:14 supports (2) 131:18;113:136:2; 131:18;114:6;120:7 substances (3) 113:18;114:6;120:7 substances (3) 72:12;74:12;123:12 successful (1) 71:3 71:3 71:3 71:3 71:5 10:15;13:14 successful (1) 71:3 71:3 71:5 10:15;13:14 successful (1) 71:3 71:3 71:5 10:15;13:14 successful (1) 71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 56:24;85:14;86:295:20; testimony (2) testimony		suggests (2)	T		T
128:13;129:10 submission (1) 118:7,7;135:21 submission (4) 59:10;82:7;83:15,16, 10:4;123:5;166:2; 24;24;877;88:9;92:5; 93:18;22,22 submitted (2) 43:13;45:21;62:16; 3ubordinated (1) 59:14;96:23;97:2;98:9; 50:16:11; 126:8 13:4;10:1,7;105:1; 16:2;16;164:13 suprovisors (1) 161:2 subpoenaed (1) 159:23 subpoenaed (1) 161:2 subrogation (16) subrogation (16) 25:9,13,24;27:18; 29:13;212; 3ubrogation (16) 25:9,13,24;27:18; 29:13;214 suppose (2) 13:7;158:20 subros (1) 13:11 15:11 supressor (1) 15:11 subros (1) 15:11 subros (1) 15:11 supressor (1) 15:11 supressor (1) 15:11 supposedly (1) 15:11 supposedly (1) 15:11 supposedly (1) 15:11 supposedly (1) 15:11 supcessful (1) 7:13; 41:19;15; 41:49; 51:18; 53:12; 56:5; 56:149; 149:15 15:11 supposedly (1) 15:11 supposedly (1) 15:11 supposedly (1) 17:19; 15:14 supposedly (1) 17:19; 15:19; 16:23; 16:30 149:25 subros (1) 15:11 supposedly (1) 148:2 supposedly (1) 149:15; 34:29; 149:15; 25:16; 25: 16:10; 25:18; 53:12; 56:5; 56: 12:10; 76:21; 77:34; 26:14; 16:14; 16:14; 15:15; 16:14; 14:25; 119:21; 15:14 supposedly (1) 15:14 supposedly (1) 148:2 supposedly (1) 148:2 supposedly (1) 148:2 supposedly (1) 148:4 supposedly (1) 149:15; 34:29; 11,13; 149:15; 15:10; 22:15; 34:29; 11,13; 149:15; 15:10; 22:15; 34:29; 11,13; 149:15; 149:15; 34:29; 11,13; 149:15; 149:15; 34:29; 11,13; 149:15; 149:15; 34:29; 11,13; 149:15; 149:15; 34:29; 11,13; 149:15; 149:1			_		-
submission (1) 118:7,7;135:21 79:7;112:18 10:1;37:20,22;38:13; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 79:7;112:18 10:1;37:20,22;38:13; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 77:12;80:17,18,18; 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:14 40:14 40:14 41:34:13:13 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:14 40:14 41:34:13:13 40:8;44:14,18,24; 40:14 40:14 41:34:13:13 4			table (2)		th
158:24 submit (4) 59:10;82:7;83:15,16, 23:3 77:12;80:17,18,18; 40:8;43:14,18,24; 77:12;80:17,18,18; 40:8;43:14,16;2;23:1; 40:15;42:15;14;16;2;23:1; 40:15;41;10;59:17; 40:2;110;10;11;10;11;13;125:2; 40:2;110;10;11;10;11;12;12;12; 40:2;110;10;10;11;12;12; 40:2;110;10;10;11;12;12; 40:2;110;10;10;11;12;12; 40:2;110;10;10;10;11;12; 40:2;110;10;10;11;12; 40:2;110;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10;10					
submit (4) 59:10;82:7;83:15,16, 24,24;87:7;88:9;92:5; 167:14 23:3 takeaway (1) 77:12;80:17,18,18; 89:17,93:14;139:24; 166:19 submitted (2) 43:13;45:21;62:16; 91:4;96:23;97:2;98:9; 99:4;100:1,17;105:1; 160:5;161:11; 162:16;164:13 43:13;45:21;62:16; 99:4;100:1,17;105:1; 152:21;153:24 13:4;16:2;23:1; 34:15,41:10;59:17; ten-day (2) 43:13;45:21;62:16; 17:10;121:18;125:21; ten-day (2) 99:21;10:10 ten-day (2) 13:13:10:12:11;17;12:15;13:12 42:123 ten day (2) 42:13:12 42:13:14 42:13:14 42:13:14 42:13:14 43:14;14:12:23					
10:4;123:5;166:2; 167:14					th
167:14 93:18,22,22 superior (12) talk (15) 13:4;16:2;23:1; subordinated (1) 91:4;96:23;97:2;98:9; 50:18 99:4;100:1,17;105:1; 64:2;77:5;79:14;95:14; 138:5 tenday (2) 92:1;10:10 tendered (1) 138:5 tenday (2) 149:2 tenday (2) 138:5 tenday (2) 129:1;10:121:18;125:21 tenday (2) 129:1;10:121:18;125:12 te					L1.
submitted (2) superior (12) 43:13;45:21;62:16; talk (15) ten-day (2) 9:21;10:10 subordinated (1) 91:4;96:23;97:2;98:9; 34:15;41:10;59:17; 64:2;77:5;79:14;95:14; 138:5 subpoena (4) 126:8 117:10;121:18;125:21; 138:5 subpoenaed (1) 162:16;164:13 supervisors (1) 152:21;153:24 talked (5) subpoenaed (1) suppoemental (1) 56:12 talked (5) 76:21;77:3 subpoenas (1) support (7) talking (16) 32:17;50:12;52:16; 126:14;142:23 term (7) subroga (1) 93:24;129:3;142:9; 134:29; 54:13;56:12,15;62:14; 114:2,5;119:21; terminate (4) 95:25;165:10 144:9 133:21 11,18 149:7 terminate (4) 137:21 137:21 130:16;132:14 149:7 terminology (2) 25:9,13,24;27:18; 29:19;35:21;40:2; 73:19;111:10 25:10;76:21 25:10;76:21 25:10;76:21 24:15;27:13;34:24; 16:13 25:10;76:21 25:10;76:21 25:10;76:21 25:10;76:21 25:20;56:15;66:10; 25:20;56:15;66:10; </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
67:2;158:25					th
subordinated (1) 91:4;96:23;97:2;98:9; 34:15;41:10;59:17; tendered (1) 50:18 99:4;100:1,17;105:1; 64:2;77:5;79:14;95:14; 138:5 subpoena (4) 126:8 117:10;121:18;125:21; 138:5 160:5;161:11; supervisors (1) 152:21;153:24 talked (5) tentative (2) subpoenaed (1) 159:23 6:12 56:11;107:1;124:15; 76:21;77:3 term (7) subpoenas (1) 48:13;71:17;74:5; 13:6;20:7;38:12,13; 32:17;50:12;52:16; 126:3;166:5,5,6 term (7) 32:17;50:12;52:16; 126:3;166:5,5,6 terminate (4) 43:4,6;51:11;50:12;52:16; 126:3;166:5,5,6 terminate (4) 43:4,6;51:11;52:2 terminate (4) 43:4,6;51:11;52:2 terminate (4) 43:4,6;51:11;52:2 terminate (4) 42:23 termina					LI.
50:18 99:4;100:1,17;105:1; 64:2;77:5;79:14;95:14; 138:5 subpoena (4) 126:8 supervisors (1) 123:2 tens (1) 160:5;161:11; 149:25 talked (5) tentative (2) subpoenaed (1) supplemental (1) 56:11;107:1;124:15; 76:21;77:3 subpoenas (1) 48:13;71:17;74:5; talking (16) 32:17;50:12;52:16; 161:2 48:13;71:17;74:5; 54:13;56:12,15;62:14; term (7) subro (2) 93:24;129:3;142:9; 54:13;56:12,15;62:14; terminate (4) 95:25;165:10 144:9 114:2,5;119:21; terminate (4) subroga (1) 137:21 supportive (1) 11,18 25:9,13,24;27:18; 130:16;132:14 149:7 93:20;163:1 29:19;35:21;40:2; 46:25;53:18;62:7,15; 106:4;131:13;136:2; 15:3;147:20;149:11; 44:16;143:15 24:15;27:13;34:24; 106:4;131:13;136:2; 15:3;147:20;149:11; targeted (2) 42:15;27:13;34:24; 115:11 supposed (4) 57:14 77:9;86:12;10:23; 115:11 supposed (4) 57:14 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>*</td><td>th</td></td<>				*	th
subpoena (4) 126:8 supervisors (1) 117:10;121:18;125:21; tens (1) 160:5;161:11; 149:25 talked (5) talked (5) tentative (2) subpoenaed (1) 159:23 6:12 56:11;107:1;124:15; 76:21;77:3 subpoenas (1) talking (16) 32:17;50:12;52:16; 126:14;142:23 term (7) subroga (1) 93:24;129:3;142:9; 54:13;56:12,15;62:14; 126:3;166:5,6 terminate (4) 95:25;165:10 supportive (1) 137:21 57:1 11,18 42:23 terminate (4) subroga (1) supportive (1) 137:21 130:16;132:14 149:7 terminology (2) 25:9,13,24;27:18; 29:19;35:21;40:2; 73:19;111:10 25:10;76:21 terminology (2) 29:19;35:21;40:2; 73:19;111:10 25:10;76:21 25:10;76:21 terms (18) 153:14 targeted (2) 36:16;43:1;50:12; 26:15;20;56:15;66:10; subros (1) 153:14 task (1) 77:9;86:12;101:23; 113:18;114:6;120:7 59:24;93:1;94:14 57:14 103:8;112:1,1;157:19;					ш
160:5;161:11; 162:16;164:13 149:25 suppoenaed (1) 159:23 56:11;107:1;124:15; 76:21;77:3 159:23 suppoenaed (1) 161:2 support (7) talking (16) 32:17;50:12;52:16; 13:6;20:7;38:12,13; 126:3;166:5,5,6 13:6;20:7;38:12,13; 126:3;166:5,5,6 13:6;20:7;38:12,13; 126:3;166:5,5,6 144:9 144:9 144:9; 144:18; 149:7; 14					
162:16;164:13 149:25 supplemental (1) 6:12 56:11;107:1;124:15; 126:14;142:23 term (7) 32:17;50:12;52:16; 13:6;20:7;38:12,13; 126:3;166:5,5,6 terminate (4) 137:21 57:1 11,18 13:13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;13;136:2; 13:13;13;13;13;13;13;14 13:13;13;13;13;14 13:13;13;13;14 13:13;14;14;15;15;13;13;14 13:13;13;13;13;14 13:13;14;14;15;15;15;15;15;15;15;15;15;15;15;15;15;					
subpoenaed (1) supplemental (1) 56:11;107:1;124:15; 76:21;77:3 subpoenas (1) support (7) talking (16) 32:17;50:12;52:16; 161:2 48:13;71:17;74:5; 54:13;56:12,15;62:14; 126:3;166:5,5,6 subro (2) 93:24;129:3;142:9; 54:13;56:12,15;62:14; 126:3;166:5,5,6 subroga (1) supportive (1) 11,18 129:14;150:25;154:10, 42:23 subrogation (16) supports (2) TAR (1) 42:23 terminating (1) 25:9,13,24;27:18; suppose (2) target (2) terminology (2) 29:19;35:21;40:2; 73:19;111:10 25:10;76:21 terms (18) 29:19;35:21;40:2; 73:19;111:10 25:10;76:21 terms (18) 29:13;77;158:20 73:19;111:10 25:10;76:21 24:15;27:13;34:24; subros (1) 153:14 supposed (4) targeted (2) 36:16;43:1;50:12; 55:20;56:15;66:10; substances (3) 148:2 TCC (32) 77:9;86:12;101:23; 103:8;112:1,1;157:19; substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 test (1) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
159:23					
subpoenas (1) support (7) talking (16) 32:17;50:12;52:16; 126:3;165:5,6 subro (2) 48:13;71:17;74:5; 93:24;129:3;142:9; 144:9 13:6;20:7;38:12,13; 54:13;56:12,15;62:14; 129:14;150:25;154:10, 11,18 126:3;166:5,5,6 terminate (4) subroga (1) supportive (1) 129:14;150:25;154:10, 11,18 42:23 terminating (1) 42:23 subrogation (16) supports (2) TAR (1) terminology (2) 93:20;163:1 14:15;27:13;34:24; 36:16;43:1;50:12; 55:20;56:15;66:10; 77:9;86:12;101:23; 77:9;86:12;101:23; 77:9;86:12;101:23; 77:9;86:12;101:23; 77:9;86:12;101:23; 77:9;86:12;10:12;21; <					
161:2 48:13;71:17;74:5; 13:6;20:7;38:12,13; 126:3;166:5,5,6 subro (2) 93:24;129:3;142:9; 54:13;56:12,15;62:14; 43:4,6;51:11;52:2 subroga (1) 137:21 129:14;150:25;154:10, 42:23 subrogation (16) supports (2) TAR (1) 42:23 25:9,13,24;27:18; 130:16;132:14 149:7 93:20;163:1 29:19;35:21;40:2; suppose (2) target (2) 25:10;76:21 24:15;27:13;34:24; 46:25;53:18;62:7,15; 73:19;111:10 25:10;76:21 24:15;27:13;34:24; 106:4;131:13;136:2; 15:3;147:20;149:11; 25:10;76:21 24:15;27:13;34:24; 153:14 supposed (4) 44:16;143:15 55:20;56:15;66:10; 15:11 supposedly (1) 57:14 103:8;112:1,1;157:19; 115:11 supposedly (1) 57:14 103:8;112:1,1;157:19; substances (3) 148:2 TCC (32) 166:23;167:3 113:18;114:6;120:7 supreme (3) 7:49;16:15,25; terrible (1) substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony					th
subro (2) 93:24;129:3;142:9; 54:13;56:12,15;62:14; terminate (4) 95:25;165:10 144:9 114:2,5;119:21; 43:4,6;51:11;52:2 subroga (1) 137:21 57:1 129:14;150:25;154:10, terminating (1) subrogation (16) supports (2) TAR (1) terminology (2) 25:9,13,24;27:18; 130:16;132:14 149:7 93:20;163:1 29:19;35:21;40:2; suppose (2) target (2) terms (18) 46:25;53:18;62:7,15; 73:19;111:10 25:10;76:21 24:15;27:13;34:24; 106:4;131:13;136:2; supposed (4) targeted (2) 36:16;43:1;50:12; 137:7;158:20 15:3;147:20;149:11; 44:16;143:15 55:20;56:15;66:10; subros (1) 153:14 task (1) 77:9;86:12;101:23; substances (3) 148:2 TCC (32) 166:23;167:3 substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 72:12;74:12;123:12 Sure (32) 35:19;42:9,11,13; text (1) 8uccessful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 44:16;53:17;56:25; 140:3 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
95:25;165:10 subroga (1) 137:21 subrogation (16) 25:9,13,24;27:18; 29:19;35:21;40:2; 46:25;53:18;62:7,15; 106:4;131:13;136:2; 137:7;158:20 subros (1) 153:14 supposed (4) 153:14 supposed (4) 153:14 supposed (9) 153:14 supposed (1) 153:14 supposed (1) 153:14 supposed (1) 153:14 supposed (2) 15:3;147:20;149:11; 15:11 substances (3) 113:18;114:6;120:7 substantial (3) 72:12;74:12;123:12 successful (1) 71:3 144:9 supportive (1) 129:14;25;119:21; 129:14;150:25;154:10, 11,18 TAR (1) 149:7 93:20;163:1					
subroga (1) supportive (1) 129:14;150:25;154:10, terminating (1) 137:21 57:1 11,18 42:23 subrogation (16) supports (2) TAR (1) terminology (2) 25:9,13,24;27:18; 130:16;132:14 149:7 93:20;163:1 29:19;35:21;40:2; suppose (2) target (2) 93:20;163:1 46:25;53:18;62:7,15; 73:19;111:10 25:10;76:21 24:15;27:13;34:24; 106:4;131:13;136:2; supposed (4) targeted (2) 36:16;43:1;50:12; 137:7;158:20 15:3;147:20;149:11; 44:16;143:15 55:20;56:15;66:10; subros (1) 153:14 task (1) 77:9;86:12;101:23; 57:14 103:8;112:1,1;157:19; 166:23;167:3 103:8;112:1,1;157:19; Substances (3) 148:2 TCC (32) 166:23;167:3 terrible (1) substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 test (1) 72:12;74:12;123:12 Sure (32) 35:19;42:9,11,13; 44:16;53:17;56:25; 140:3 71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20;					th
137:21 57:1 11,18 42:23 subrogation (16) supports (2) TAR (1) terminology (2) 25:9,13,24;27:18; 130:16;132:14 149:7 93:20;163:1 29:19;35:21;40:2; suppose (2) target (2) 24:15;27:13;34:24; 46:25;53:18;62:7,15; 73:19;111:10 25:10;76:21 24:15;27:13;34:24; 106:4;131:13;136:2; supposed (4) targeted (2) 36:16;43:1;50:12; 137:7;158:20 15:3;147:20;149:11; 44:16;143:15 55:20;56:15;66:10; subros (1) 153:14 task (1) 77:9;86:12;101:23; 115:11 supposedly (1) 57:14 103:8;112:1,1;157:19; Substances (3) 148:2 TCC (32) 166:23;167:3 113:18;114:6;120:7 supreme (3) 7:4,9;16:15,25; terrible (1) substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 72:12;74:12;123:12 Sure (32) 35:19;42:9,11,13; test (1) successful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 44:16;53:17;56:25; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2)					
subrogation (16) supports (2) TAR (1) terminology (2) 25:9,13,24;27:18; 130:16;132:14 93:20;163:1 29:19;35:21;40:2; suppose (2) target (2) terms (18) 46:25;53:18;62:7,15; 73:19;111:10 25:10;76:21 24:15;27:13;34:24; 106:4;131:13;136:2; supposed (4) targeted (2) 36:16;43:1;50:12; 137:7;158:20 15:3;147:20;149:11; 44:16;143:15 55:20;56:15;66:10; subros (1) 153:14 task (1) 77:9;86:12;101:23; substances (3) 148:2 TCC (32) 166:23;167:3 substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 72:12;74:12;123:12 Sure (32) 35:19;42:9,11,13; test (1) successful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 44:16;53:17;56:25; 140:3 71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2)	subroga (1)	supportive (1)	129:14;150:25;154:10,		th
25:9,13,24;27:18; 29:19;35:21;40:2; suppose (2) target (2) 24:15;27:13;34:24; 106:4;131:13;136:2; supposed (4) targeted (2) 36:16;43:1;50:12; 137:7;158:20 15:3;147:20;149:11; 15:11 supposedly (1) 15:11 supposedly (1) 15:11 supposedly (1) 13:18;114:6;120:7 substantial (3) 113:18;114:6;120:7 substantial (3) 72:12;74:12;123:12 successful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2) 149:7 targeted (2) 24:15;27:13;34:24; targeted (2) 36:16;43:1;50:12; 44:16;143:15 55:20;56:15;66:10; 77:9;86:12;101:23; 103:8;112:1,1;157:19; 166:23;167:3 terrible (1) 148:4 test (1) 140:3	137:21	57:1	11,18	42:23	
29:19;35:21;40:2; 46:25;53:18;62:7,15; 73:19;111:10 25:10;76:21 24:15;27:13;34:24; 106:4;131:13;136:2; supposed (4) targeted (2) 36:16;43:1;50:12; 137:7;158:20 15:3;147:20;149:11; task (1) 77:9;86:12;101:23; 15:11 supposedly (1) 57:14 13:18;114:6;120:7 substances (3) 13:18;114:6;120:7 substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 12:12;74:12;123:12 successful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2)			TAR (1)	terminology (2)	T
46:25;53:18;62:7,15; 73:19;111:10 25:10;76:21 24:15;27:13;34:24; 106:4;131:13;136:2; supposed (4) 44:16;143:15 36:16;43:1;50:12; 137:7;158:20 15:3;147:20;149:11; 44:16;143:15 55:20;56:15;66:10; subros (1) 153:14 task (1) 77:9;86:12;101:23; 115:11 supposedly (1) 57:14 103:8;112:1,1;157:19; Substances (3) 148:2 TCC (32) 166:23;167:3 113:18;114:6;120:7 supreme (3) 7:4,9;16:15,25; terrible (1) substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 72:12;74:12;123:12 Sure (32) 35:19;42:9,11,13; test (1) successful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 44:16;53:17;56:25; 140:3 71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2)	25:9,13,24;27:18;	130:16;132:14	149:7	93:20;163:1	
46:25;53:18;62:7,15; 73:19;111:10 25:10;76:21 24:15;27:13;34:24; 106:4;131:13;136:2; supposed (4) 42:16;143:15 36:16;43:1;50:12; 137:7;158:20 15:3;147:20;149:11; 44:16;143:15 55:20;56:15;66:10; subros (1) 153:14 task (1) 77:9;86:12;101:23; 15:11 supposedly (1) 57:14 103:8;112:1,1;157:19; Substances (3) 148:2 TCC (32) 166:23;167:3 113:18;114:6;120:7 supreme (3) 7:4,9;16:15,25; terrible (1) substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 72:12;74:12;123:12 Sure (32) 35:19;42:9,11,13; test (1) successful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 44:16;53:17;56:25; 140:3 71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2)		suppose (2)	target (2)	terms (18)	th
106:4;131:13;136:2; supposed (4) targeted (2) 36:16;43:1;50:12; 137:7;158:20 15:3;147:20;149:11; 44:16;143:15 55:20;56:15;66:10; subros (1) 153:14 task (1) 77:9;86:12;101:23; 115:11 supposedly (1) 57:14 103:8;112:1,1;157:19; Substances (3) 148:2 TCC (32) 166:23;167:3 113:18;114:6;120:7 Supreme (3) 7:4,9;16:15,25; terrible (1) substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 72:12;74:12;123:12 Sure (32) 35:19;42:9,11,13; test (1) successful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 44:16;53:17;56:25; 140:3 71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2)					
137:7;158:20 15:3;147:20;149:11; 44:16;143:15 55:20;56:15;66:10; subros (1) 153:14 task (1) 77:9;86:12;101:23; 115:11 supposedly (1) 57:14 103:8;112:1,1;157:19; Substances (3) 148:2 TCC (32) 166:23;167:3 113:18;114:6;120:7 Supreme (3) 7:4,9;16:15,25; terrible (1) substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 72:12;74:12;123:12 Sure (32) 35:19;42:9,11,13; test (1) successful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 44:16;53:17;56:25; 140:3 71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2)			1		th
subros (1) 153:14 task (1) 77:9;86:12;101:23; 115:11 supposedly (1) 57:14 103:8;112:1,1;157:19; Substances (3) 148:2 TCC (32) 166:23;167:3 113:18;114:6;120:7 Supreme (3) 7:4,9;16:15,25; terrible (1) substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 72:12;74:12;123:12 Sure (32) 35:19;42:9,11,13; test (1) successful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 44:16;53:17;56:25; 140:3 71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2)					
115:11 supposedly (1) 57:14 103:8;112:1,1;157:19; Substances (3) 148:2 TCC (32) 166:23;167:3 113:18;114:6;120:7 Supreme (3) 7:4,9;16:15,25; terrible (1) substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 72:12;74:12;123:12 Sure (32) 35:19;42:9,11,13; test (1) successful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 44:16;53:17;56:25; 140:3 71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2)			1		
Substances (3) 148:2 TCC (32) 166:23;167:3 113:18;114:6;120:7 Supreme (3) 7:4,9;16:15,25; terrible (1) substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 72:12;74:12;123:12 Sure (32) 35:19;42:9,11,13; test (1) successful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 44:16;53:17;56:25; 140:3 71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2)			* *		th
113:18;114:6;120:7 Supreme (3) 7:4,9;16:15,25; terrible (1) substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 72:12;74:12;123:12 Sure (32) 35:19;42:9,11,13; test (1) successful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 44:16;53:17;56:25; 140:3 71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2)					
substantial (3) 91:24;93:1;94:14 20:20;21:10;22:15; 148:4 72:12;74:12;123:12 Sure (32) 35:19;42:9,11,13; test (1) successful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 44:16;53:17;56:25; 140:3 71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2)					
72:12;74:12;123:12				, ,	
successful (1) 6:11;9:15;34:23; 44:16;53:17;56:25; 140:3 71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2)					
71:3 41:9;52:18;53:12;56:5; 62:4;85:14;86:2;95:20; testimony (2)					
50.14,14,39.11,04.10, 90.1,100.20,109.4; 74:12,103:24					41.
	successiumy (1)	36:14,14,39:11;04:10;	90:1;108:20;109:4;	74:12;105:24	th

than-calculated (1) 38:7 Thanks (1) 10:16 that'll (1) 34:9 theories (3) 21:15;133:14;135:10 theory (11) 7:11;123:22;131:10, 14,18;133:13;135:1,3; 144:6,25;145:24 thereafter (1) 95:5 therefore (14) 25:6;27:19;40:11; 42:8;44:3,9;56:4; 72:17;114:19;115:3; 123:17;132:13,16; 145:25 there'll (4) 24:18;77:5;87:21; 126:7 There're (1) 27:21 There've (1) 20:23 thinking (6) 34:22;61:22;84:25; 125:7;127:19;128:25 third (6) 17:14;63:2;92:13; 108:8;137:7;145:12 third- (3) 12:16;15:4;16:14 third-party (20) 10:19;12:9,13;13:8, 19;14:21;15:7,10,11, 22;16:24;17:17;18:10, 12,14;19:5;20:9; 164:13,14,18 thirty (5) 139:25;142:11; 143:18;147:11;148:7 thirty-five (1) 54:21 thirty-seven (1) 54:20 Thomas (1) 87:11 thoroughly (1) 145:21 though (5) 57:24;76:16;86:14; 109:17;146:4 thought (20) 9:16;34:11,18;37:15; 41:17;64:7;79:23; 83:10;88:1;91:7,10,18; 94:21;97:9,10;111:6; 124:14;126:11;127:3; 128:9 thoughtful (1)

GAS AND ELECTRIC C	OM AN			August 27, 2017
129:4	79:13	105:21,23,24,25;109:7,	144:22;151:16	uncharted (1)
thoughts (2)	topics (1)	9;117:4;129:11;	TUESDAY (2)	128:17
124:21,24	136:10	130:23;132:7,8,9;	5:1;163:21	uncommon (1)
three (11)	tort (22)	133:15;136:2;142:12;	turn (4)	50:21
9:17;36:12;40:16;	10:24;14:6,15;35:14,	147:14,17,17,19;	10:1;39:19;132:19;	unconstitutional (1)
45:12;59:3;61:7;67:21;	21;36:15,17;37:25;	154:12;155:25	147:12	81:5
117:22,25;121:20;	41:4;46:19,22;47:14;	trials (1)	turned (2)	uncontested (1)
151:12	103:12;110:8;123:24;	147:24	73:20;143:18	5:19
threshold (4)	129:20;152:24;155:2,	trick (1)	turns (1)	under (23)
40:15;81:22;83:25;	11,22;159:23;161:1	92:14	151:6	7:19;24:17;29:9;
84:6	torts (1)	Trident (7)	twelve (1)	34:7;37:25;38:1,19;
threw (1)	56:17	6:20;7:4;8:14,16,20;	125:22	44:17;46:18;47:4;
62:6	toss (2)	9:24,25	twenty (3)	60:22;67:15;68:3;81:1,
throughout (1)	41:12;110:4	7.24,23 Trident's (2)	56:25;93:11;121:10	6;82:15;83:1;107:21;
138:24	total (5)	7:9;9:18	twenty-five (1)	109:1;119:25;131:10;
throw (1)	36:2;61:25;135:21;	tried (4)	93:25	156:11;167:9
90:24	138:5;153:19	98:8;104:4;105:11;	twenty-four (1)	underlying (4)
throwing (1)	towards (3)	109:11	145:22	51:20;82:9;83:19;
139:14			twenty-nine (1)	137:8
	76:18;133:6;135:20	trier (1)	37:22	
ticking (1)	Toxic (3)	105:15		understands (5)
16:9	113:18;114:6;120:7	trigger (1)	twenty-one (1)	14:17;34:8;47:6;
tied (1) 66:25	track (11)	64:22 triggoring (1)	127:18	102:8,14
	23:19;30:16,16;	triggering (1)	twenty-two (2)	understood (8)
tight (2)	42:20;52:5;69:4;76:18;	64:19	113:3;127:18	81:3;86:24;106:8;
53:9;78:21	77:22;78:3;79:16;	triggers (1)	twice (2)	121:22;138:18;150:21;
times (5)	145:21	167:8	92:11;142:24	154:2;160:22
58:19;113:3;127:18;	tracks (1)	trimmers (1)	two (39)	undisputed (2)
151:12;156:4	30:15	146:14	5:5;9:17;22:12;25:2;	83:6;129:13
time's (1)	trade (1)	trouble (2)	26:21,24;27:22;32:18;	unfortunate (1)
92:13	36:14	13:19;98:16	40:12;43:11,15;49:2,	13:15
timing (5)	tradeoff (1)	Troy (12)	20;56:21;61:8,14;	Unfortunately (1)
13:7;34:6;48:25;	167:15	121:15,24;122:3,4,5,	65:21;73:6;78:22;80:9;	48:18
102:9;104:25	traditional (5)	21,22,25;123:5,9,11,25	99:12,23;101:12;	UNIDENTIFIED (2)
today (48)	30:13;59:16;130:12;	truck (1)	116:20;124:23;134:9;	94:22;128:20
5:4,19;10:20;11:8,	132:8;161:8	19:10	135:15;136:22;137:6,	uniform (1)
12;13:4;17:1;20:19;	train (1)	true (5)	22;140:23;141:22,23;	19:10
24:24;28:11;34:14;	109:18	9:12;45:23;100:17;	142:10;145:7;148:12,	unimpair (3)
40:13;41:10,18;42:17,	transactions (1)	115:10;121:8	15;151:15;163:7	48:2;49:25;50:4
19;43:17;48:8,13;64:2;	67:13	truly (1)	type (3)	unimpaired (6)
70:20;73:25;86:22;	trauma (1)	122:14	33:25;52:22;122:9	25:2;49:6;50:23,24;
94:12;97:11;105:4;	112:4	truncated (1)	types (7)	60:22,23
107:15;120:19;128:2;	treat (3)	9:3	21:20;38:24;48:5;	unique (1)
136:24;137:3;138:23;	36:19;120:23;131:3	trust (2)	102:12;114:7;120:8,8	165:2
140:22;141:7;142:12,	treated (3)	56:24;77:11	typically (1)	uniquely (1)
23;150:23;151:22;	39:14;61:2;119:23	Trustee (5)	55:3	8:18
153:15,16;154:13;	treating (1)	10:3;54:21;60:14,24;	**	UNISON (1)
157:4,5,6,8,13,22;	35:5	130:9	U	125:24
161:14	treatment (4)	try (15)		United (5)
today's (2)	26:18;33:25;56:3,10	24:2;31:11;46:15,23;	ultimate (3)	121:16;122:16;
23:6;56:13	Tredinnick (2)	77:4;79:13;88:8;90:16;	65:4;84:20;157:20	123:12,14,20
together (6)	95:22;96:6	125:21;139:22,22;	ultimately (8)	universe (1)
50:6;98:24;112:16;	tree (10)	140:22;155:24;161:25;	20:3;62:11,17;70:18;	51:14
136:23;160:5;167:4	145:23;146:13,13,	164:17	72:15;82:5;156:14;	University (1)
told (5)	14,14,15,17,21;147:1;	trying (15)	159:6	113:19
36:24;43:11;56:2;	150:11	15:24;16:2;38:21,22;	Um-hum (11)	unless (7)
106:16;118:6	trees (4)	39:16;41:10;44:23;	8:3;16:6;24:14;	14:23;71:22;86:23;
took (1)	112:6;150:1,1,3	50:8;55:8;124:8;125:9;	29:20;31:13;41:16;	87:13,14;100:2;107:11
109:25	trench (2)	136:22;143:20;145:13;	42:4;44:12;60:16;63:7;	unlimited (4)
toolbox (1)	89:4,5	150:22	77:18	27:9;29:2;63:13,14
119:9	trial (33)	Tubbs (13)	unable (1)	unliquid (1)
tools (1)	41:21;88:14;100:12,	26:22;28:20;34:5;	42:9	117:12
119:8	12;101:17;102:15;	38:5;56:19;62:13,21;	uncertainty (1)	unliquidated (21)
topic (1)	103:8,10,13,16,20,21;	104:4;121:8;132:1,15;	24:12	73:5;114:20,21;

GAS AND ELECTRIC C	UMPANY			August 27, 2019
115:3,5,15,21;117:7,	104:6	31:8;80:2	Walker (1)	what'd (1)
25;118:14;119:17;	using (4)	viable (3)	99:17	42:25
122:22;123:4,17;	29:18;77:25;161:9;	10:19;60:19;79:25	walking (1)	what's (21)
126:19,24;129:15,18;	163:2	vice (1)	99:10	7:20;13:25;33:23,24;
130:4,16;156:21	usually (1)	69:5	wants (12)	40:3;53:17;55:21;
	45:7	victim (11)	42:13;60:9;86:18;	56:10;85:10;99:19,20;
unnecessary (1) 31:4	Utilities (4)	37:6;38:2;55:20;	95:22;96:2;98:22;	105:1;111:11;115:1;
unrelated (1) 23:9	64:5;88:19;93:1; 94:16	74:1;113:21;120:9;	100:18;107:11;119:2;	126:7;128:2;146:22;
	utility (8)	122:17;127:12,12,13; 137:18	127:22;148:22,22	149:5;150:11;153:11, 15
unremarked (1) 62:25	63:10;81:2;84:2;		warranted (1) 21:25	wheel (1)
	87:8,16,16,19;103:6	victims (30) 7:12;8:13,20;9:8;		160:20
unsecured (1) 46:8	87:8,10,10,19;103:0		waste (1) 21:2	
unsettled (1)	V	17:3,4;26:25;27:17,24; 29:10,18,18,21;30:4;		Whereupon (1) 167:23
110:6	V	33:14;37:19;46:19;	wasted (1) 33:10	whole (12)
unsuccessful (1)	(1)	50:19;54:6;55:16,21;	water (1)	11:13,16;16:12,21;
140:2	vacuum (1)	57:16;59:13,21;60:2;	89:5	22:17;38:14;39:22;
	72:20			
up (49) 5:3;7:23;8:14;12:7,	validity (1)	76:18;77:11;110:12; 132:14;151:14	way (48)	48:3;112:16,18;
	137:9		8:8;9:5;17:12;20:17;	138:13;163:1
25;16:23;18:24;19:20;	valuation (1)	victims' (2)	24:2;28:22;29:12;35:3,	wholeheartedly (1)
32:5,19;34:9;41:23;	61:10	72:6;106:5	15;39:13,20;40:15;	122:7
47:17;52:10;55:17;	value (29)	victim's (1)	42:13;44:14;46:22;	whomever (1)
58:17;63:5,14;77:14;	35:24;36:2,3,7,9,20;	127:13	48:18;61:18;66:22;	161:11
80:16;85:8,19;86:5;	37:24;38:18,20,25;	view (12)	77:4;88:2;89:7;90:22;	who's (8)
87:12;90:8,17;92:4;	39:13,19;40:19;41:3,6;	6:4;13:13;32:3;	91:5,15;92:6;94:4;	5:3;8:14;16:3;24:6;
98:16;99:3;100:2;	42:8;46:23;47:4,15;	35:17;43:6;85:25;	96:4;98:16,17;108:23;	77:19;86:15;139:19;
101:21;104:11;106:4;	56:11;59:9;61:25;	100:11;109:5;123:14;	110:4;111:18;112:11;	140:4
109:1;116:6,6,8;117:9;	80:17,19;138:4,13;	131:12;149:5;151:7	119:18;126:9;131:5;	whose (1)
120:7,24;124:21;	139:15;155:1,2	views (6)	132:9;133:11;134:15,	129:22
128:4;135:3;140:6;	variety (4)	33:8,12;44:11,13;	17;135:20,20;137:2;	who've (1)
152:11;155:23;156:13;	57:20;65:6;69:14;	103:7;104:6	140:11;141:7;144:23;	22:17
162:3;165:8	106:18	violates (1)	153:13;167:2	why'd (1)
up/down (3)	various (6)	57:25	ways (2)	150:16
80:25;81:6;102:13	5:7;89:8;90:8;	violation (1)	28:19;132:21	wildfire (6)
up-and-down (1)	109:11;119:16;122:6	69:20	website (2)	19:3;29:10;66:9;
87:7	vary (1)	virtually (1)	7:25;8:2	67:15;72:6;122:14
upcoming (1)	27:1	104:18	wedded (1)	wildfire-related (1)
24:13	vast (2)	vis-a-vis (1)	23:15	72:10
update (2)	129:14,25	49:23	week (15)	wildfires (4)
101:11;139:21	vastly (1)	voice (1) 105:24	35:4;70:24;84:17,19,	69:19;92:24;122:14,
upload (2)	61:25		21,25;85:6,8,19;86:11;	19
6:5;10:8	vegetation (1)	vote (10)	93:3;103:9;135:4;	willing (8)
upon (14)	136:11	50:25;55:17;57:1,17;	148:18;164:17	9:6;11:19;20:20,22;
18:7,14;27:17;62:25;	vehicle (1)	74:12;76:6,7,10;	weeks (2)	28:11;102:21,22;
68:6;70:16;82:15;	47:20	118:25;119:7	135:24;142:10	103:22
109:25;110:13;124:15,	ventriloquist (1)	voter (1)	weigh (1)	wires (1)
15;130:20;139:15;	106:20	56:4	95:22	17:24
167:3	venue (1)	voters (1)	weighed (1)	wisdom (1)
up-or-down (1)	104:12	57:21	22:17	99:6
87:22	verdict (1)	votes (1)	Weil (1)	wish (1)
upstairs (2)	103:14	124:18	5:14	105:12
156:19;167:22	versa (1)	voting (1)	Weiss (1)	withdrawal (3)
urgency (1)	69:5	58:4	64:4	23:11;105:12;111:13
98:24	version (2)	***	welcome (3)	within (10)
use (11)	68:11;159:10	W	60:6;127:23;157:24	37:23;40:19;41:5;
40:7;43:1;50:12;	versus (10)		well-drafted (1)	65:18;141:21;142:10;
52:16;55:6;83:21;	44:18;80:7;81:11;	wait (4)	50:16	146:4;148:14,17,17
84:20;90:4,4;114:9;	105:4;107:14;108:7;	18:13;57:22;142:16;	weren't (1)	without (9)
161:8	109:15;110:7;126:15;	152:10	150:14	8:23;43:3;87:7;94:1,
used (5)	128:1	waiting (2)	Wharton (1)	2;95:12;109:21;151:2;
12:16;15:14,14;	Veteran's (1)	61:5;143:21	64:5	155:4
17:14;107:25	113:19	waive (1)	what-about (1)	witnesses (1)
useful (1)	vetted (2)	97:13	107:6	144:10
	1	1	1	1

GAS AND ELECTRIC C	UMPANY			August 27, 2019
		75.4 15.05.15		122.2
word (7)		75:4,15;85:15		133:3
9:7,8;40:7;70:3;	Z	16 (2)	3	800,000 (1)
98:25;107:25;125:17		118:13,14		137:19
words (17)	zero (3)	160 (1)	3 (2)	800-pound (2)
12:7;19:20;30:2;	121:10;132:13;142:7	118:13	50:18;154:20	155:3,3
35:25;37:24;56:17;	zeros (1)	16th (4)	30 (1)	9
64:8;77:4;86:10;98:18;	120:23	97:5;100:9;101:21;	66:8	9
99:6;111:25;122:23;		102:13	30,000 (1)	0/((1)
131:15;143:23;146:17;	1	17th (2)	130:5	9/6 (1)
155:7		78:1,2	30,000- (1)	163:18
work (42)	1 (11)	1984 (1)	139:6	9th (16)
14:16,17,22;19:9;	50:17,22;85:3;	112:13	300 (1)	23:19;29:2;31:2;
20:9;42:10;44:16,20;	134:18;141:17;142:19;	1991 (1)	133:3	33:20;34:12;47:12;
47:23;64:8;68:1;69:5, 6;70:16,17;72:9,21,23;	143:21,24;145:12;	153:2 19th (1)	3016 (2)	50:2;51:17;56:23,23; 75:14,18;77:8;125:8;
73:16;74:9,10,25;75:8;	148:13;163:9	90:9	31:24,25	166:2,20
79:10;80:7,7;90:13;	1,000 (1)	90.9	3017 (1)	100.2,20
94:7;96:21;99:16;	17:23	2	31:24	
103:23;109:23;110:18;	1.2 (1)	2	30b6 (1)	
136:22;153:8;160:4,	137:25	2 (12)	136:10	
17,19;161:25;162:16;	1:43 (1)	50:18;108:3;134:18;	30th (1)	
165:1,8	167:23	141:17;142:19,21;	43:8	
workable (1)	10 (1)	143:22,24;144:23;	31st (1)	
47:21	91:5	145:12;148:13;163:9	152:20	
working (6)	10,000 (1)	20 (2)	3421 (1)	
9:4;18:6;41:5;78:9;	153:10	64:7;94:10	113:17	
136:25;161:1	101 (2) 26:17,17	2004 (1)	360 (1) 131:2	
works (5)	1054 (3)	19:20	3rd (1)	
33:1;47:15;96:12;	29:9,12;30:3	2007 (2)	163:22	
151:1;161:6	10-K (1)	128:16;131:1	3X (1)	
world (10)	59:17	2015 (2)	47:18	
48:23;57:14;117:24;	10-Q (1)	129:11;150:3	17.10	
155:11,19,22,22,23;	59:16	2017 (3)	4	
156:3;162:25	10th (1)	69:18;82:25;150:3		
worn (1)	53:7	2018 (1)	4 (1)	
147:5	11 (8)	69:18	101:10	
worry (3)	26:17;65:7,14,23;	2019 (1)	435 (1)	
42:21;43:16;167:6	67:16;71:5;130:9;	5:1	131:2	
worth (5)	155:8	2020 (2)		
43:19;137:18;138:1;	1103 (1)	43:8;66:8	5	
139:4;140:5	7:19	20th (3)		
written (3)	1129 (1)	23:13;75:2,13	5,500 (1)	
133:7;145:16;154:18	57:21	22 (1)	151:14	
wrong (8) 30:20;38:1;40:7;	11s (1)	94:18	502c (2)	
	35:4	22nd (1) 22:15	155:9,10	
42:18;44:15;110:14; 111:10;113:1	11th (1)	22:15 24th (7)		
wrongful (1)	53:7	21:13;22:16;125:18;	6	
110:8	12:33 (1)	126:4;132:23;135:18;	C 000 (1)	
wrote (2)	125:3	143:9	6,000 (1)	
128:5;129:2	12:53 (1)	25 (6)	150:1	
120.3,127.2	125:3 12th (2)	94:19,21,21,22,23;	6th (1)	
${f Y}$	31:2;75:2	95:1	163:16	
	13 (5)	25th (3)	7	
year (3)	116:6;117:10,11;	85:11;94:24,25	,	
57:16;134:1;149:8	126:17;165:19	27 (1)	7 (1)	
years (1)	120:17;103:19 14 (1)	5:1	130:9	
54:20	31:4	29th (1)	7th (1)	
yes/no (1)	14th (1)	149:12	107:16	
80:25	102:16	2X (2)	107.10	
yesterday (5)	15 (3)	25:18;47:18	8	
87:11;91:17;97:2;	95:2;108:3;149:11		U	
101:13;145:13	15th (3)		800 (1)	
	(- /		(-)	